

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		•	
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: BENJAMIN J. TRA	VLOS		PHONE NUMB 573-893-1 4	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI FARM I	BUREAU			R OF STATE IVE AFFAIRS
ADDRESS: 701 S. COUNTRY (CLUB DRIVE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: ben.travlos@mofb	o.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	OATE: 4 6:41 AM
THE INCORNA	TION ON THIS EODI	A IO DUDI IO DECOD	D LINES OLIA	DTED 646 DOM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Missouri Farm Bureau supports this bill, due to its tightening of requirements for an initiative petitionand giving more integrity to the process as a whole. Missouri Farm Bureau has multiple member adopted policies in support of reforming the initiative petition process. One policy in particular reads, "We believe that for initiated constitutional amendments, proponents must collect signatures in each of Missouri's Congressional districts equal to at least eight percent and not greater than fifteen percent of the most recent gubernatorial vote in that district."



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: DON BICKHAUS			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: doniibecky@yaho	o.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/3/202	ATE: 4 3:49 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

Yes, we need to get the IP reform moving forward.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		MATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: AMY HAMMERMAN		PHONE NUM 314-494-	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: NATIONAL COUNCIL OF JEWISH WOMEN ST. LOUIS TITLE: STATE PO		OLICY ADVOCATE	
ADDRESS: 295 N. LINDBERGH BLVD.			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63141
EMAIL: akuo.hammerman@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20	DATE: 24 3:32 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Madam Chair and members of the committee, my name is Amy Kuo Hammerman, and I am submitting this testimony in opposition of HJR 67 on behalf of the National Council of Jewish Women St. Louis (NCJWSTL). NCJWSTL is a non-profit organization in metropolitan St. Louis, representing over 5,000 members and supporters throughout the state. We focus our work on community service, advocacy, education and philanthropy to improve the quality of life for women, children and families while supporting individual and civil rights, and have been doing so since 1895. HJR 67 would require proponents of proposed constitutional amendments to obtain signatures from 8% of the registered voters in all of Missouri's congressional districts, rather than in six of eight of the districts as is currently required. For more than a century, Missouri citizens across the political spectrum have been able to have their voices heard through the initiative petition process. I have a difficult time understanding why legislators wish to fix something that is not broken. Requiring more signatures than has been sufficient for the process for over a hundreds years is unnecessary. Moreover, this measure implies that the signatures in some congressional districts don't count as much as others. Adding this barrier does nothing more than censure the voice of the people. Lawmakers should reject efforts to make direct democracy more difficult. NCJWSTL encourages you to vote no on HJR 67.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFOR	MATIONAL PURPOSES	3
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE			PHONE NU	PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person		T DATE: 024 11:51 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTER 610, RSMo.	

Very Opposed. For additional information, please review the House archived video.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BEN SENTURIA			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: bsenturia@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/5/202	OATE: 24 11:09 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

Like the vast majority.....VAST MAJORITY...of voters, I support majority rule. I certaininly hope that iyr elected officials do as well. For that reason, i oppose HJR 67 and 93, We voters are watching.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: BYRON KEELIN		PHONE NUMBE 314-402-06	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: FREEDOM PRINCIPLE MO		TITLE: PRESIDEN	т
ADDRESS: PO BOX 15095			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63110
EMAIL: freedomprinciplestl@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	ATE: 4 8:52 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

This bill would require signatures from 8% of the legal voters in all the state's congressional districts to place a constitutional amendment on the ballot through an initiative petition. – It is already hard enough to get signatures from two-thirds of the districts, we don't need to change the collection process, we need to pass Ratification Reform.



carolschrei@gmail.com

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: DATE: 2/6/2024 **HJR 67** COMMITTEE: **Elections and Elected Officials** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES **TESTIFYING: WITNESS NAME** INDIVIDUAL: WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: **CAROL A. SCHREIBER BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:** TITLE: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: SUBMIT DATE: 2/6/2024 12:33 PM EMAIL: ATTENDANCE:

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Written

Requiring signatures from all 8 districts (from the current 6 of 8 districts) results in collection of over 100,000 additional signatures. At the same time the legislature complains that groups trying to put forward an initiative petition have to get paid signature circulators and some of them from out of state. Therefore, only groups with huge resources can put forward an initiative petition. The IP is for direct democracy. Making the hurdles so great is only designed to end direct democracy. And that is for conservative groups as well as liberal groups. I do not support this bill.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CAROL ELLIOTT			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cello@socket.net		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/6/202	DATE: 24 9:46 AM
THE INFORMA	THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.			

The initiative petition process as currently implemented in the Missouri Constitution doesn't need to be changed. It is an important tool of direct democracy when citizens don't agree with the choices legislators make.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CAROLINE TAYLO	OR		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: cjvtaylor@gmail.c	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	OATE: 4 1:40 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

Stay away from petition process!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67 COMMITTEE: DATE: 2/6/2024

Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
NDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CHERI L CROCKE	тт		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: chericrockett@gm	ail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 2/6/2024 1	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose HJR 67 because it seeks to make the Initiative Petition process harder in Missouri. The Initiative Petition is an important way for citizens to advance policies that are most important to them. The process is extremely important among Missourians of all political stripes. The current process is by no means easy. It is not broken. Please leave the Initiative Petition process alone.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: CHERYL ADELST	EIN		PHONE NUME 314-442-3	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL		INTERN E	XECUTIVE R	
ADDRESS: 12 MILLSTONE CA	AMPUS DR.			
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63146
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT [2/6/202	DATE: 24 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo



BILL NUMBER: DATE: 2/6/2024 **HJR 67** COMMITTEE: **Elections and Elected Officials** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES **TESTIFYING: WITNESS NAME** INDIVIDUAL: WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: **CHRISTOPHER FOSTER** BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: SUBMIT DATE: 2/6/2024 1:11 PM EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: cmfoster1986@yahoo.com Written

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

It is clear that this proposed change in the Citizens Initiative Petition does NOTHING to make the process reflect the will of Missouri voters. There should be no change. I.P. the one avenue we the people have answering the problems the state legislature refuses. The largest least dense districts are prohibitively expensive for grassroots movements.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CLARISSE TEEPE	-FRYREAR		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: twothewoods@ho	otmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/6/202	DATE: 14 12:23 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.

The initiative petition process needs no modification. Do not support HJR 67. Vote NO on HJR 67!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPO	SES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CLARISSE TEEPE	-FRYREAR		PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: twothewoods@ho	tmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20 2	DATE: 24 2:46 PM	
THE INCORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DIELIC DECOR	D HINDED CHA	DTED 640 DC	Mo

The people of this state deserve to have the power to override outrageous legislation. Our initiative petition process should not be modified!!!Vote NO on HJR67 Fo not support HJR 67.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSI	ES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: CYNTHIA MCEVO	Y		PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			<u> </u>		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: ccarms311@aol.c	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/6/202	DATE: 24 11:28 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DUBLIC PECOP	D LINDED CHY	DTED 610 DQM	_

As a citizen of Missouri, I am opposed to ANY attempt to modify the initiative petition process, which is a wonderful tool for citizens to use when we have no other recourse to make politicians listen to us. If

we wanted it changed WE would bring it up and do so. LEAVE THE PROCESS ALONE!



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: DANIEL LEIB			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: daniel.leib@gmail	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	ATE: 4 11:05 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.

I do not want the petition process to be weakened.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: DEE MILLER		PHONE NUME 816-258-3 3	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: FREEDOM PRINCIPLE MO		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY: HARRISONVILLE		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64701
EMAIL: deempr2662@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/4/202	DATE: 2 4 10:01 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Requiring signatures from 8% of the legal voters in all the state's congressional districts to place a constitutional amendment on the ballot through an initiative petition? It is already hard enough to get signatures from two-thirds of the districts, we don't need to change the collection process, we need to pass Ratification Reform.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: DENISE LIEBERMAN		PHONE NUME 314-780-1	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI VOTER PROTECTION COAL	ITION	TITLE: DIRECTO	R
ADDRESS: 6047 WATERMAN			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63112
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT I 2/6/202	DATE: 24 12:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FO	RM IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials				
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: DOUGLAS A. ALPERT			BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		·		
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: rabbidougalpert@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/6/202	DATE: 24 1:20 PM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I want to submit my strong opposition to HJR67 and any bill that would place further restrictions on the initiative petition process. In this crucial time in our state and in our country, we either trust the will of the people to. make decisions under our democratic form of government, or we sanction stacking the deck against the will of the people in order to establish policies contrary to their/our will. I don't always agree with the results of a ballot initiative, but I absolutely respect and trust the people of our state to engage in our democracy and decide what is best.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		•	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: EDWARD L BRYA	NT		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: elbryant1226@sb	cglobal.net	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	ATE: 4 12:24 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

I do not support these Bills. Placing these limits on voters is not a good thing.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials		•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ELISA NEILSON			PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ekatwell@yahoo.c	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	TE: 3:13 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Simply 1 person=1 Vote! The so-called "Freedom Caucus" is so afraid of losing they will do everything they can to cheat to win. They will actually take away our FREEDOM to make our VOTE COUNT!! They are so afraid of not being in power. They know that they are a minority in this Country and in Missouri, if they let Missourians vote...THEY WILL LOSE!! AGAIN ONE PERSON=1 VOTE!!! Serve our constitution, that you all swore to uphold.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67		ATE: /6/2024			
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ F	OR INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: ELIZABETH FRANKLIN					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:					
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: eafrank711@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 2/5/2024 7	:41 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Elizabeth Franklin, Plattsburg MO and I am submitting the following regarding House Joint Resolution No. 67. I do not support this House Joint Resolution and am respectively submitting testimony in opposition. HJR 67 proposes increasing the number of signatures needed to place a proposed constitutional amendment on the ballot by requiring signatures from voters all 8 Congressional districts, rather than 6, as is currently the law. This increase to require signatures from all 8 districts (from the current 6 districts) results in collection of tens of thousands of additional signatures. This would effectively exclude all but the most well-funded efforts from participation, and silence the voices of Missouri's citizens of all political persuasions, who have relied on the citizen initiative process to advance ideas across the political spectrum. We should be encouraging citizen participation, not limiting it. Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATI 2/6/	E: /2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ted Officials			•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INF	ORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUME GABRIELE EISSNER 920-627-5					
		TITLE: CO-I	DIRECTOR		
ADDRESS: 5021 ADKINS AVE.					
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE MO	Ξ:	ZIP: 63116
EMAIL: gabby@ifcla.net		ATTENDANCE: Written		UBMIT DATE: / 5/2024 4:1	6 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Dear Honorable Committee Members, I appreciate the opportunity to be able to present testimony today. I am a Co-Director of the Inter-Faith Committee on Latin America (IFCLA), an organization that has worked alongside immigrants and their families for more than 40 years, supporting their work for full inclusion in Missouri life. On behalf of IFCLA and the individuals and congregations we represent, I urge this committee to uphold our most direct form of democracy and reject HJR 67. The initiative petition process has been a beacon of representative government. It is an important tool of direct democracy that allows Missourians to make our voices heard on important issues in the state that we love. HJR 67 is attempting to change the initiative petition process to restrict our freedom. It would end majority rule in Missouri and take away our right to decide what happens in our own state. Our Missouri Constitution has long guaranteed that "the people reserve power to propose and enact or reject laws and amendments to the constitution by the initiative, independent of the general assembly." HJR 67 is a clear attack on the century old tradition of majority rule in Missouri. Allowing the minority to veto decisions about laws in our state makes no sense and violates the sacred principle of one person, one vote. If a majority of Missourians support a law, it should be the law. Keeping a simple majority as it's been for more than 100 years is just common sense. Voters from all sides of the political spectrum have used the initiative petition process to advance their priorities. This process is the only recourse voters have when politicians fail to address the issues that matter to us. If this legislation is to pass, future generations will not have the same rights that we do. We should not seek to permanently alter our constitution and deprive future citizens of the rights we all currently enjoy. When the majority is silenced by a minority, as would be the case if HJR 67 were to pass, our community would become even more polarized and disillusioned with our political system. For these reasons, I ask that you oppose HJR 67. Respectfully, Gabriele Eissner Co-Director Inter-Faith Committee on Latin America



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Electe	d Officials			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓IN OPPOSITION TO □F	OR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GENE DAVISON			PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION N	NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gdliberal@aol.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	OATE: 4 12:49 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Gene Davison, and I live in Springfield, where I was born and raised. I developed an interest in government and public policy from my father, who taught me that as a citizen it was important to be informed and involved in my community and the state. I was not able to vote until age 21 - the legal voting age at the time - but I have voted in every election open to me since that time. I take citizenship seriously. Because I take citizenship seriously, I am opposed to a bill under consideration in the Missouri House of Representatives, HJR 67. By changing the number of signatures required for an initiative petition - already a major task to accomplish, HJR 67 will make it virtually impossible to get enough signatures. I take citizenship seriously. That's why I have volunteered numerous times to gather petition signatures on issues that I consider important to the citizens of Missouri. Issues that benefit our residents; our families, our children, our senior citizens. Issues that benefit thousands, indeed hundreds of thousands, of Missourians but that have not been addressed by the legislature. Initiative petitions exist to extend democracy to the people. HRJ 67 is an attempt to limit the voice of the people. Nothing could be more undemocratic. When the people speak, the legislature should listen, not silence their voice through undue burdens. In the signature gathering I have done, it's long hours in both hot and cold weather. There is nothing easy about gathering signatures. You have to be dedicated and willing to face rejection from people who disagree with your petition as well as people who are "too busy." It's frustrating when the hard work fails. Not every petition makes it to the ballot; many don't. Not every petition that makes it to the ballot passes; many don't. Yet It's one of the most rewarding things I have done. It's rewarding because when gathering signatures for initiative petitions, I know that I am participating in a process that allows the citizens to have a direct voice in government. I am exercising my right as a citizen of the state of Missouri. It's rewarding when the hard work is successful; when the voters agree and pass the initiative. HRJ 67 will make that virtually impossible. Let the people speak without the undue burdens this bill imposes.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	\square FOR INFOR	MATIONAL PURPOSES	
WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GETTA R. HALL		PHONE NU	MBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TIT		TITLE:	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: getta_hall@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMI 2/5/2	T DATE: 024 6:57 PM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Good Day, A fully-paid Golden Life member of both THE NAACP and THE Delta Sigma Theta Sorority. Inc., a public service sisterhood founded on Christian principles, I have visited the Missouri Capitol several times over the years. I traveled from Kansas City as part of our Social Action Committee's annual Delta Days at the Missouri State Capital. I was an advocate of Medicaid Expansion. Established in 1913 at Howard University in Washington, DC. Delta's first social action was marching down Pennsylvania Avenue for women's suffrage.Communities Creating Opportunities and other community and faith-based organizations sponsored several bus trips to the Missouri State Capital in Jefferson City in support of Medicaid Expansion for citizens caught in the Affordable Health Care gap. I was impressed with the historic art and accessibility to the legislative process. The hallowed halls featured pictures of respected public servants such as Senator Yvonne Wilson and Representatives Mary Groves Bland, Bill Gray and Jackie McGee. Most legislators live up to the title, The Honorable. Years before, I wrote to The Honorable John Ashcroft, Missouri's 50th Governor (1984-1993) who went on to serve as US Senator (1995-2001) and 79th US Attorney General, aka Justice Dept CEO (2001-2005). I urged him to sign the bill on his desk passed by a progressive Missouri House and Senate to divest Missouri funds in an international response to apartheid in South Africa and then enforce the law he signed when the MOSERS (MO State Employees Retirement System) Board refused to comply 5 years later. I was surprised, both times. He did the honorable thing after I appealed to him citing Old Testament references in the name of Jesus. I supposed as a Republican, he would not sign the Democratic led divestment bill into law. Little did I know, he was the son of a Pentecostal preacher and was not Jewish as I assumed. I try to leave judging people to the Lord God Almighty now. Nobel Peace Prize Winner, Archbishop Desmond Tutu visited UMKC and praised Missouri's forward thinking in this important fight for human rights. Divestment did not cost the state any money as was predicted. In fact, funds increased. Nelson Mandela, another Nobel Peace Prize Winner, was subsequently released from prison after 27 years. He went on to vote for the first time in a presidential election he won. GLORY TO GOD.I do not understand why Medicaid Expansion did not pass the Missouri legislature. How could a state legislature refuse billion\$ of federal funding to comply with the spirit of federal law in deference to public health? Your health care is partially funded by the taxpayers in the gap!!! Many benefited from Affordable Health Care (aka. Obama Care) especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Missouri has profited from the influx of federal funding as one of the 41 Medicaid Expansion states. This was accomplished via a citizens' petition initiative. THE PETITION INITIATIVE PROCESS MUST BE MAINTAINED AS IS. It is an important check to the partisan politics I saw evident in Medicaid Expansion which required Supreme Court intervention to be enacted. The failure of a partisan Missouri legislature to act in the best interests of our citizenry was countered by this process to get the issue on the ballot and passed with the current percentage of signatures in the current number of Congressional Districts with the current simple majority. HJR-67, -72, -76, -86, -93, -102 AND -119 AND

HB-1749 ARE NOT IN OUR BEST INTERESTS. The process which already exists requires a high thresh hold of signatures. It has served us well. REGISTERED VOTERS HAVE A RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS BY FIRST INITIATING BILLS AND THEN VOTING THEM "UP OR DOWN".WE VOTE LEGISLATORS "IN OR OUT" .Amazed by His Grace, Sis. Getta R. Hall (pronounced " G " as in Glory - "Ta" - "Hall" as in Hallelujah(816)-483-9759 - getta_hall@yahoo.comPS:I recently worked with Missouri Jobs with Justice as a paid signature gatherer for the citizens' petition initiative to raise the minimum wage in Missouri. We were at over 150,000 of the 200,000 mark needed to get this on the ballot this year. We must take care of the working poor as Jesus admonished us to in Matthew 25:40.ln 2018, MoJwJ initiated a petition to raise the minimum wage which was then \$7.35. The campaign was successful and the subsequent ballot measure passed. The minimum wage was increased by \$.85/year until 2023 when it capped at \$12/hour. This 2024 measure would increase the minimum wage from \$12/hour to \$13.75 in 2025 and \$15/hour in 2026. A cost-of-living increase provision is attached. This will avoid the necessity of having to come to voters every 5 years. Additionally, paid sick leave based on number of hours worked would be included for other than small businesses. The public mental and physical health benefit of this is people would not have to go to work sick and/or send their children to school sick and make others sick because they have to go to work. This is especially important post-pandemic.Gathering signatures was sometimes very difficult. People were skeptical about giving out personal information. Even when you have on a 1. MoJwJ t-shirt and pass out literature, they can be hesitant. They might favor this bipartisan initiative but will not sign a petition handed to them by a stranger which requires them to provide their address. This is a legitimate concern these days given the incidence of identity theft. Being added to marketing lists causes the nuisance of unwanted solicitations.. They often asked if they can "sign on-line" so they can maintain their privacy. 2. **Some Congressional Districts were** In Jackson County, we were expected to gather 12 signatures/hour (CD5). harder than others. • In Congressional District 6, the expectation was 8. Then it was lowered to 5.3. Some businesses have a strict "no solicitation policy". I have been politely and not so politely asked to leave the premises. Even when management agrees in principle with what we were doing, they took the zero

-tolerance stance. The threat of being charged with trespassing was evident.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING: IN SUPP	ORT OF IN	OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WIT	TNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: GINA MEYER			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	•
EMAIL: jemeyer1955@comcast.net		ENDANCE: itten	SUBMIT 2/6/20	DATE: 24 7:25 AM	
THE INCODMATION ON T	IIIO EODA IO E	NIBLIA BEAAB	B LINEED OLLA	DTED 040 DOM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

One person, one vote/petition signature.Legislators claim that they are not taking away my one vote. But they are taking away my right to have my one signature counted, and by extension, the right to vote on initiative petitions placed on the ballot. If there are not enough signatures in all of the congressional districts, then my signature does not count, and I am denied the right to vote. This bill effectively allows voters in other districts to erase my signature and silences my voice.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GREG CAMPBELL	•		PHONE NUMBI	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gcampbell@hamm	nondshinners.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	ATE: 4 12:04 PM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.

This bill is an assault on democracy.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: HOLLY BERNSTE	IN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			<u> </u>	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: holly.e.bernstein@	gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/5/202	DATE: 24 9:04 PM
THE INCORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DUBLIC DECOR	D LINDED CHA	DTED 610 DSMo

For over 100 years, Missourians have had the right of the initiative petition. It is already very challenging to get enough signatures to have a petition make it to the ballot under current law. The legislature should not be curtailing the rights of the people by making it even more difficult for petitions to reach the vote of the people.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	F IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JAHNAVI DELMONICO		PHONE NUMBER	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jahnavidelmonico@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Hello, my name is Jahnavi Delmonico and I have often volunteered my time collecting signatures for ballot initiatives, both successful and unsuccessful. I know first-hand that the effort, coordination, and perseverance required to put ballot initiatives to a vote of the people is enormous as-is. I can find no justification to increase that burden. Ballot initiatives represent the purest form of democracy available to us as citizens and constituents. No ballot measure has ever reached the voting booth without widespread support from Missourians. I and others like me from across the political spectrum have spent hours upon hours engaging in conversations within our communities about the issues that we see and the democratic process that enables us to address them. Whether we agree or disagree, direct voting brings the will of the people to bear on political proceedings that are often exclusionary. So, with our pockets full of pens and tissue paper to wipe our running noses on frigid mornings, we hit the streets time and again to have those conversations and raise our voices, together.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JAMES ROBINSON	ı		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			<u> </u>	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jamesmrobinson11	17@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	OATE: 4 10:56 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

I am opposed to HJR 67. The initiative petition process is a check-and-balance against government overreach.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Electe	d Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JAMES ROY TURNE	R		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION N	NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jimturner301@gmail.	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/5/2024	TE: 4:04 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Our democratic initiative petition process serves the people of Missouri well, by enabling us to develop solutions to emerging problems, when entrenched special interests would block our Legislature from solving those problems. I oppose HJR 67 because it would weaken our democratic initiative petition process.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JASMINE CHING		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		·	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jching10718@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20 2	DATE: 24 10:18 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.			

I oppose



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JOAN GENTRY			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: joangentry2012@g	gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/5/202	OATE: 44 4:08 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

I am opposed HJR 67. This is bill is an affront to the process of direct democracy and one person one vote. Joan Gentry House District 136



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JONATHAN PATRICK GARTIN		PHONE NUMB	ER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:					
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: jg977s@login.missouristate.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	OATE: 4 5:24 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Jonathan Gartin and I am writing to oppose changes to the Missouri initiative petition process from the Missouri State legislator. Specifically HJR 67. I have talked with thousands of people in my community about getting petitions on the ballot and the importance of direct democracy in Missouri. I have personally benefitted from policies passed through the petition process, like the increased minimum wage petition in 2018. I have spoken with members of my community about how Medicaid expansion gave them better healthcare access, and how angry they were that the Missouri State government denied them those resources for so many years. Without voters taking that matter into their own hands and passing Medicaid expansion through the petition process, many more people in our State would be struggling to afford healthcare needs more than they already are today. The initiative petition process is sacred to the people of Missouri and our State Consitution. As a constituent in Springfield Missouri, I know that many members of the Missouri legislature only seek to make this arduous process harder for everyday people. Changes to the initiative petition process would limit voter's direct say in Missouri policy, and deny voters a fair process to take this initiative upon themselves. We the people of Missouri demand a fair process free from the intentional burden of our State government, to make our voices heard. One issue that is not divisive amongst the Missouri populous is their distrust of politicians in Jefferson City to work in their best interest. It is on you as representatives to regain that trust and legitimacy of your body. The worst action you could take towards that end would be to destroy the petition process which has held constant for more than one hundred years in our state. As a volunteer who has gathered signatures, and someone who values democratic principles at the core of government, I am outraged. There are so many pressing issues in the State of Missouri that our legislators should be addressing and have failed to do so. In conclusion, I share in the thoughts of many other Missourians that this effort is a power grab by Missouri politicians to take power away from everyday people and pocket it for themselves. I stand strongly in opposition to changes in the Missouri Initiative petition process



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	NATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: Jonathan Schmid		PHONE NUM 314-652-3		
REPRESENTING: AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF	MISSOURI	TITLE: ATTORNI	ΕΥ	
ADDRESS: 906 OLIVE ST. STE. 1130				
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63101	
EMAIL: jschmid@aclu-mo.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/6/20	DATE: 24 4:39 PM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Dear Honorable Committee Members: The ACLU of Missouri (ACLU-MO) submits this testimony in opposition to HJR 67, which would increase the threshold for signature collection prior to placing a constitutional amendment before the voters via citizen initiative petition. The ACLU-MO is the state affiliate of the national ACLU and works in the courts, legislature, and Missouri communities to defend and preserve the civil rights of individual Missourians, specifically of that to vote. The citizen initiative petition process is an essential form of direct democracy in Missouri. For over a century, Missourians from across the political spectrum have employed the process to advance key causes important to the general electorate. If allowed to go forward, HJR 43 would degrade this essential constitutional right. Specifically, HJR 43 proposes increasing the number of signatures required for a petition to appear on the ballot. Currently for initiative measures – depending if they are statutory or constitutional – require signatures from a percentage of voters in two-thirds of Missouri's congressional districts. HJR 67 raises this threshold by requiring signatures from all of Missouri's congressional districts. This would greatly increase the number of signatures required and severely degrade access to the process. Currently, a constitutional initiative petition requires approximately 170,000 signatures to appear on the ballot. If adopted, HJR 67 would raise that requirement to over 240,000 signatures, an increase of over 40%. (see Missouri Secretary of State's Office, Make Your Voice Heard: Missouri's Initiative Petition Process, 5-6, https://www.sos.mo.gov/CMSImages/Elections/Petitions/MakeYourVoiceHeard.pdf) Signature collection efforts are already prohibitively expensive and can cost millions of dollars to obtain the requisite signatures. A 40% increase would mean hundreds of thousands if not millions of more dollars, further limiting who can access this essential right reserved by the people.Requiring more signatures be gathered for ballot qualification adds another hurdle to the people's power of direct democracy and would potentially prevent important and popular measures from ever reaching the ballot box. We urge you to vote NO on HJR 67. Sincerely, Jonathan Schmid



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JOSEPH JEFFERI	ES		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: joe.jefferies@joe4	lmo48.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	ATE: 4 12:22 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAI	PTER 610. RSMo.

I oppose each of these bills. Any changes to our initiative petition process are anti-democratic.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JUDITH ANN CAN	1PBELL		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jacampbell314@g	mail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 4 2:04 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHAI	PTER 610. RSMo.

I am against changing the current IP constitutional amendment. I want it to remain that 8% of the voters are needed in six (6) districts. I oppose HJR bill #67. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JULIANA SCHULZ	Έ		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: schulzeju@aol.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/6/202	DATE: 24 9:28 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Honorable Legislators, Three individuals, Silas Moser, Dr. William Preston Hill, and Anna Beard, worked in the early 1900s for ten years to ensure that Missouri citizens have a direct voice in their state government through the Initiative Petition and Referendum(I&R) bill. I for one am inspired by their work and determination to make Missourians an integral part of our state government. Being involved with passing Initiative Petitions makes me much more engaged in the issues that have influence over my and my neighbors lives. It is one time that I can engage with others and listen to their ideas. It is a chance for our representatives to listen to our voices. Making this process more difficult cuts off your ability to fully know what your constituents want or don't want in their government. The reason the three promoters of the I&R bill worked so hard was that they saw that the outsized influence wealthy donors over the ordinary citizen. With today's PACs and attempts to restrict voting we have a perilous parallel to the days of Silas Moser, William Preston Hill, and Anna Beard. The I&R is a useful tool for the Legislator too. It gives them a chance to listen and for the ordinary citizen it puts us on an equal footing with the legislator's ability to place items on a ballot with a mere 50 +1 vote. Thank you for listening. Sincerely, Juliana Schulze



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING: □ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: JULIE BURCHETT		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: burchett.juliekay@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/5/2024	TE: 8:20 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The initiative petition process is an important tool of direct democracy that allowsus to maintain our freedom to have a say on important issues. Initiative petition in Missouri already has one of the most difficult threshholds inn the country. Ours has worked for over 100 years. It does not need to be changed. Many legislators argue that it needs to be changed in order to protect the MO Constitution. That is a bunch of baloney! The Missouri legislatures' interest in making the initiative petition process moredifficult is a power grab that evades the will of the people. For their personal gain, these elected officials and wealthy special interests seek to make it harder forcitizens to place important issues on the ballot, more difficult to pass initiativesand easier to overturn the public's vote.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		,	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ F	OR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: KAREN AMOS		PHONE NUMBER	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: karenamos56@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/6/2024	TE: 7:35 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Karen Amos and I have had the opportunity to be involved with gathering signatures as a volunteer on multiple ballot initiative campaigns. As a senior citizen it has been eye opening for me to see how amazing this tool is as I am able to discuss the process and various issues with fellow Missourians. Having been a dedicated voter throughout my life I believe in the power of each person's vote and how important it is that every person's voice is heard. The process initiative is currently very thorough and must meet rigorous requirements to assure that all signatures are verified. Adding more barriers does nothing more than censure the voice of the people.Independents, conservatives, moderates and liberals have all used this tool. Keeping the ballot petition initiative is fundamental to ensuring our state remain a place where all people live in freedom by recognizing the voting will of the people.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KARIN PAGE			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: k1page1@yahoo.d	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/5/202	DATE: 24 11:07 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Requiring signatures from all 8 districts (from the current 6 of 8 districts) results in needing over 100,000 additional signatures - not necessary, since citizens are just wanting to put something on the ballot that all Missourians can vote on. It will exclude all but the most well - funded efforts from participation and silences the voices of Missouri's citizens of all political persuasions, who have relied on the citizen initiative process to advance ideas across the political spectrum. Citizen participation should be encouraged, not limited. We are a democracy. We must do everything to keep our state and country a democracy. Don't start chipping away at our rights.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			ATE: 2 /6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		·	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □	FOR INFORMAT	IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: KAY MILLS		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		•	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: millshosmer@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE 2/5/2024 4	:: 1:20 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I've gathered signatures on several initiative petitions in Missouri. Every time, I've been in awe of the process. Citizens talking to other citizens about problems and legislative solutions. I've had hundreds of conversations with registered voters in Missouri, some who agreed with me and signed the petition, others who wanted to think about it and others who disagreed and didn't want to sign, but all the conversations were valuable in that we were able to have dialogue and discourse and really feel engaged in the issues facing our state. The citizen initiative petition process is a very rigorous process. Hundreds of thousands of Missourians must sign with their correct registered voting address, from all parts of the state. Of the initiative petitions that I've gathered signatures on, not all have been passed by Missouri voters once they've gotten on the ballot. But that's what democracy is - one person, one vote - we all get an equal say. And these proposals seek to undermine majority rule, and take that valuable piece of democracy away from Missouri voters. I am opposed to this legislation because it would fundamentally alter the direct democracy that we've had access to in Missouri for over a hundred years, a process that gives Missourians a chance to work together for change.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING : IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ FO	OR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: KENDRA VARNS WALLIS		PHONE NUMBER 816-210-341	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI PARKS ASSOCIATION		TITLE: EXECUTIVE	DIRECTOR
ADDRESS: PO BOX 8531			
CITY: KANSAS CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 64114
EMAIL: kendra.wallis@missouriparks.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/6/2024	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Missouri Parks Association is in opposition to HJR 67. This House Joint Resolution to authorize a referendum on citizen-led ballot initiatives threatens the ability of Missouri citizens to participate in our state democracy. By setting the bar higher for voter signatures in more counties than what is currently needed for citizens to have initiatives placed on the ballot, it places Missouri citizens' ability to participate in the democratic process almost entirely out of reach. Some of the best of what Missouri has to offer has come from citizen-led ballot initiatives, such as the Parks, Soils and Water sales tax that funds our world class state parks. On behalf of the almost 3,000 members of Missouri Parks Association who enjoy and invest in Missouri, we oppose HJR 67 and any legislation that would put a citizen's right to participate in our democracy in jeopardy. Do not advance HJR 67.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: KORTNIE HUDDLESTON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: kortniehuddleston@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 4 4:08 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I urge you to preserve Missouri's initiative petition process. When legislators pay more attention to special interests than to their constituents, we look to the initiative petition, the most direct form of voter participation in our democracy. Missouri's initiative petition system has been in place for over 115 years. It allows citizens to add their signature to a petition and put an issue on the ballot for a statewide vote. This critical tool, used through the years by citizens from all political persuasions, is now under attack by lawmakers who want to curtail the people's power. This valuable and trusted process is not easy, and the citizens of Missouri have not abused it. The Missouri Legislature is currently proposing at least 24 different bills that would make it more difficult to get an issue on the ballot and/or increase the number of votes needed to pass once on the ballot. These bills (and the legislator who proposed them) are: HB1749 (Haffner), HJR102(Lewis), HJR67 (Billington), HJR72 (Lewis), HJR76 (Hudson), HJR81 (Kelley), HJR86 (Black), HJR91 (Schnelting), HJR93 (Falkner), HJR94 (Richey), HJR99 (Schwadron), SB1003 (Koenig), SB1076 (Koenig), SB1270 (Schroer), SJR48 (Hoskins), SJR49 (Koenig), SJR51 (Eigel), SJR56 (Cierpiot), SJR59 (Brattin), SJR61 (Moon), SJR73 (Schroer), SJR74 (Coleman), SJR77 (Carter), SJR79 (Brown), SJR81 (Carter), and SJR83 (Eigel) thus far. Missouri's current requirement for passage of an initiative petition is a simple majority of votes cast. Proposed changes would raise that requirement to 60% or even 67%. Others require a majority of votes cast in a majority of Missouri's representative districts. It is absurd and unprecedented to require more than a simple majority of votes cast to approve an issue in Missouri.It is already difficult to meet the current requirement of gathering enough signatures from 8% of voters in six of Missouri's eight Congressional districts to qualify for the statewide ballot. Several proposed bills would increase that to 10% in all districts, requiring hundreds of thousands more signatures and making it almost impossible to get an issue on the ballot. This is unacceptable. Our officials are elected by simple majority vote and can legislate by simple majority vote. It's hypocritical for them to legislate that we, their constituents, must then require 60-67% of the vote to pass ballot measures to counter their unpopular legislation. How is it that today's majority vote can restrict the Missouri voters of tomorrow and reverse over 115 years of precedent?Our democracy depends on the power of people to be directly involved in their government. The initiative petition process is a sacred and trusted right of the citizens of Missouri. I urge you to oppose any bill that restricts the initiative petition process in any way.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: LAURA BURKHARDT		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		·	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: laburkhardt3@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	TE: 11:21 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Just stop. At this point, you're embarrassing yourself. One person, one vote. It's been that way for over a century in my home state of Missouri. Who do you think you are, coming in and assuming you're entitled to take that away from WE the people? Even your own base sees through this anti-American nonsense. Leave our citizens petition process alone. If you would do your job, we wouldn't have to put so many issues on the ballot. I strongly urge the committee to vote NO. This is 1 of over 25 pieces of legislation trying to move the goalposts on Missouri voters. This is getting old. I'm so embarrassed for the bill sponsor.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE 2/6/ 2	: 2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Official	s			•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUP	PORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	OITAN	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: LAURA MARCUS MOUNTJOY	,		PHONE NUM	IBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:
EMAIL: Lmmountjoy@gmail.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20	DATE: 24 10: 3	31 AM
THE INCODMATION ON T	THE FORM	LIC DUDI IC DECOD	D LINDED CIT	DTE	CAO DOMA

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I want you to vote NO on this bill. This would exclude all but the most well-funded efforts from participation and silence the voices of Missouri's citizens of all political persuasions. Missouri citizens have relied on the citizen initiative process to advance ideas, across the political spectrum, for years We are 1 of 26 states with an initiative petition process to allow voters to have their voices heard, and do not need a change to this already difficult process.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: LESLIE DERRING	TON		PHONE NUMBI	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: lederrington@gma	ail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D. 2/6/202 4	ATE: 4 3:51 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAI	PTER 610, RSMo.

Leave IP alone. Missourians aren't asking for this!



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: LINDA REZNY		PHONE NUMBER	₹:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:					
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: Irezny@hotmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/1/2024	1E: 4:58 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Getting an IP on the ballot is already very difficult and expensive. The expense makes it more likely that petitions for a local issue never make it to the ballot and only those with support from national groups or large businesses will ever get to the ballot. It also does nothing to make it more difficult to get an IP passed once it makes it to the ballot. Crippling the ability of the people to use the IP seems to be the goal of the current Republicans so it will likely find little support.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67					TE: 6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: MADELINE FRISKE					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mmfriske77@gmail.	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/6/2024 6:	02 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Mads Friske and I have been a volunteer signature gatherer for multiple ballot initiative campaigns. Regardless of the outcome of the campaign, I have valued the chance the initiative process has given me to discuss issues that my neighbors in Springfield, Missouri, face. I have had conversations with folks I never would have imagined to agree with via some of the initiatives I've backed, and the process has made my community feel more like a community. I've had hundreds of conversations with registered voters in Missouri - some of whom agreed with me and signed the petition, others who wanted time to think about it, and others who disagreed and didn't want to sign. But, all of the conversations were valuable to me and to the voter, because we were able to feel engaged about the issues facing our state. I vote regularly in elections, and I understand that sometimes my candidate or issue will win, and sometimes they will lose - but that is what democracy is. It is when everyone gets an equal chance to weigh in. Proposals that seek to do the opposite, are fundamentally undemocratic and unAmerican. This legislation will only further polarize our community and frustrate our citzenry because it means that the majority is being silenced by a minority. A majority is 50% plus 1. Changing the threshold just makes it harder for the public to make decisions. The process is already rigorous - you have to get hundreds of thousands of voters to sign on, with their correct registered voting address, from all parts of the state, before a measure even gets considered for the ballot. Adding additional barriers does nothing more than censor the voice of the people. Voters from all sides of the political spectrum have used the ballot initiative process to advance their priorities. The ballot initiative process is the only recourse voters have when politicians fail to address the issues that matter to them. This tool has been used by conservatives, progressives, moderates, and independents. I was granted the right to petition my neighbors directly as a voter in Missouri, if I ever felt that our government wasn't prioritizing things properly. I was inherited this privilege by previous generations of Missourians. It is a tool in our democratic toolbox. Future generations won't have the same rights as mine if this legislation is passed. Since 1907, Missouri's constitution has guaranteed, "The people reserve power to propose and enact or reject laws and amendments to the constitution by the initiative, independent of the general assembly." It is not appropriate for us to deny future generations the rights that we presently have. I am opposed to this legislation because it would fundamentally alter the direct democracy that we've had access to in Missouri for over a hundred years, a process that gives Missourians a chance to work together for a change. I respectfully request that you vote no.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: MAGGIE EDMONDSON		PHONE NUMB	ER:
REPRESENTING: ABORTION ACTION MISSOURI (FORMERL MISSOURI)	Y PRO CHOICE	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1210 S VANDEVENTER AVE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63110
EMAIL: maggie@abortionactionmissouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	OATE: 4 6:52 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Chair, Vice-Chair, members of the committee; thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony today in opposition to HJRS 67 & 93 which would squander the opportunity for the working people and families of the Show me state to effectively participate in their own democracy. Abortion Action Missouri, formerly Pro Choice Missouri, works to protect and expand every Missourians' right to bodily autonomy, to access essential reproductive healthcare including abortion care, to access accurate information to make the best decisions for ourselves and our families, and to parent our children in safety and dignity.HJRS 67 & 93 would make the ballot initiative process prohibitively difficult, because the task is already a monumental one. These efforts to upend majority rule in Missouri are nothing but retaliation to the millions of Missouri voters who took their freedom and their futures into their own hands and knocked doors, collected signatures, and voted in favor of medical marijuana, ethics reform, medicaid expansion, and so much more. Over the last 3+ years Abortion Action Missouri has been heavily invested in several ballot initiatives that align with reproductive freedom including Medicaid Expansion, Raise up Missouri, and Missourians for Healthy Families and Fair Wages Our small nonprofit organization puts considerable effort into supporting these ballot initiatives, and our contributions make up only a small fraction of the signatures already required. This amendment would make it so only well financed groups could access the ballot. This change denies working people their voice in the process and favors large monied organizations with big bank accounts. The false sense of urgency promoted by those hoping to effectively dismantle our people's initiative petition process is nothing but a poorly veiled attempt to subvert and punish working Missourians who again, are ready to take their freedom and futures into their own hands and overturn Missouri's abortion ban. The supermajority party leaders of both chambers have said as much out loud- that they know that if the question of overturning Missouri's ban on abortion makes it to the ballot- that Missourians will vote to restore access to abortion in the Show Me State. Don't sell out the working people and families of our state just to maintain a policy that isn't even popular, and is causing daily and devastating harm. The majority of Missourians, 7 in 10, support Missourian's freedom to access abortion care without interference from politicians. Voters from all sides of the political spectrum have used the ballot initiative process to advance their priorities. The ballot initiative process is the only recourse voters have when politicians fail to address the issues that matter to them. This tool has been used by conservatives, progressives, moderates, and independents, and is a critical tool to ensuring the people have direct access to their democracy. Missourians support majority rule- don't go behind their backs. Abortion Action Missouri, representing more than 60,000 Missourians across the state, urges you to vote NO on advancing HJRS 67 & 93 and all attacks on majority rule. Thank you.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPO	OSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MAHREE SKALA			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: skalamahree@ya h	noo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20 2	DATE: 24 5:02 PM	
THE INCORMATION ON THIS CODM IS BURLIC DECORD LINDER CHARTER 610, DSM					

This bill would increase the number of signatures that must be collected for an initiative petition to reach the ballot. Therefore, it would exclude all but the most well-funded efforts. Missourians have relied on the initiative petition process to implement policies supported by people across the political spectrum, much to the state's benefit over the last more than 100 years. These changes would discourage citizen participation in government for no good reason.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected C	Officials		·	
TESTIFYING:	N SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZ	ZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MARILYN MCLEOD			PHONE NUME 573-239-3	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAM LEAGUE OF WOMEN V		OURI	TITLE: PRESIDEN	NT
ADDRESS: 8706 MANCHESTER ROAD, SUITE 104				
CITY: ST. LOUIS			STATE: MO	ZIP: 63144
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT D 2/6/202	ATE: 4 12:00 AM
THE INFORMATION	ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: MARLA J MARANTZ		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:		·	
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: mjmarantz@aol.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	TE: 12:46 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose raising the bar for initiative petitions. First, I object to the term "legal" voters. It suggests there are lots. of people voting who are not legally registered and signing petitions. We know this is not a problem. It is, however, very difficult to collect petition signatures. Raising the threshold makes it nearly impossible to get an issue on the ballot Do you really want to disenfranchise voters, making them feel voiceless? Only the wealthiest of organizations, would be able to launch such an effort, leaving Missouri vulnerable to the pressures of outside forces. We need to make sure this important check, important balance in our representative democracy stays in place for the future. After all, none of us knows when we will be on the side needing the petition to correct an unforeseen consequence of legislation. In our highly stratified political climate, we need to keep our pathways for democracy open.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials				
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPC	SES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MARY GROSS			PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			<u> </u>		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: marygva@icloud.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/5/202	OATE: 24 7:26 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	M IS DUBLIC PECOP	D LINDED CHY	DTED 610 DQ	Mo

Voters from all sides of the political spectrum have used the ballot initiative process to advance their priorities. Please leave the Initiative petition process alone. It is already hard enough for citizens to participate in this process. I am opposed to any changes unless you want to make it easier.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DAT 2/6	ге: 6 /2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR IN	FORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MAY HALL			PHO	NE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLI	E:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STAT	ГЕ:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/6/2024 12	:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER	CHAPTE	R 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MELANIE BUSSE			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: melbusse7@gmail.	com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [2/6/202	OATE: 4 11:45 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610 RSMo				

It is just another attempt to take away the voices of Missouri citizens who cannot get the legislature to hear them. I am opposed to this and all bills that limit the initiative petition process.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:	BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA VATTEROTT		PHONE NUM 314-727-0			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI COALITION FOR THE ENVIRONMENT TITLE: POLICY DIRECTOR					
ADDRESS: 725 KINGSLAND AVENUE, SUITE 100					
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63130		
EMAIL: mvatterott@moenvironment.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20 2	DATE: 24 8:38 AM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

February 5, 2024Representative Peggy McGaughElections and Elected Officials Committee Missouri House of Representatives 201 W Capitol Ave., Rm. 313-1 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Dear Chairwoman McGaugh and Members of the Committee, The Missouri Coalition for the Environment is a statewide, advocacy nonprofit organization that works to empower Missourians to protect their environment and health. House Joint Resolution No. 67 seeks to weaken this power by proposing a constitutional amendment that would make it harder for Missourians to participate in the democratic process. For this reason, we respectfully ask that you oppose HJR 67. The constitutional amendment outlined in HJR 67 specifically requires initiative petitions to be signed by 8% of voters in each congressional district in Missouri if the petition is proposing a constitutional amendment and 5% of voters in two thirds of Missouri's congressional districts if the petition is proposing a law. This modifies the current requirement that petitions be signed by the aforementioned percentage of voters in two-thirds of the congressional districts. The official fiscal analysis of HJR 67 projects that this will increase the number of required signatures by 40%, which would make it significantly more difficult for Missourians to make their voices heard. The Constitutional sections at issue in this bill, as currently written, were designed to give Missourians the right to independently engage in the legislative process in the face of corrupt politicians. Any attempt by politicians to weaken this right is only further proof of this process's importance. Once again, the Missouri Coalition for the Environment asks you to vote "no" on HJR 67 in order to preserve the constitutional right of Missourians to engage in the initiative petition process without interference from politicians who wish to make this process more difficult. Thank you for your time.Sincerely, Melissa Vatterott, JD Policy Director Missouri Coalition for the Environmentmvatterott@moenvironment.org (314) 727-0600, ext. 111



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials		•		
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPO	SES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL			PHONE NUMB	ER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: MichaelWesten.3up	@protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/5/202	ATE: 4 9:01 PM	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I OPPOSE HJR 67 as originally drafted. I think state House districts are a much better option than congressional districts for three main reasons: The finer granularity of state House districts does a better job of assessing the true will of the most people, and Because most of the congressional districts combine urban areas with vast rural areas that have less population than the urban areas, the rural voters would get "vetoed" if lumped with the urban voters, and using state House districts empowers individuals and small groups to make a difference by working to "win" their own state House districts without a lot of money. Stopping bad ballot measures will no longer require huge amounts of money that never seems to be available.



Michael.Berg@sierraclub.org

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: DATE: 2/6/2024 **HJR 67** COMMITTEE: **Elections and Elected Officials** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF **✓** IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES **TESTIFYING: WITNESS NAME** REGISTERED LOBBYIST: WITNESS NAME PHONE NUMBER: **MICHAEL BERG** 314-644-1011 **REPRESENTING** TITLE: SIERRA CLUB MISSOURI CHAPTER SIERRA CLUB MISSOURI **CHAPTER POLITICAL** DIRECTOR ADDRESS: PO BOX 432010 CITY: STATE: ZIP: **SAINT LOUIS** MO 63143 SUBMIT DATE: 2/2/2024 1:59 PM ATTENDANCE:

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Sierra Club sees House Joint Resolution 67 as designed to weaken the ability of citizens ofMissouri to utilize their voice and their vote to democratically enact policies that meet their needs. Wesupport democracy and citizen engagement and we oppose HJR 67.

Written



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials					
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL DREYER		PHONE NUME	BER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:		•			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: mdreyer93@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 2/5/202	DATE: 24 4:08 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I urge you to preserve Missouri's initiative petition process. When legislators pay more attention to special interests than to their constituents, we look to the initiative petition, the most direct form of voter participation in our democracy. Missouri's initiative petition system has been in place for over 115 years. It allows citizens to add their signature to a petition and put an issue on the ballot for a statewide vote. This critical tool, used through the years by citizens from all political persuasions, is now under attack by lawmakers who want to curtail the people's power. This valuable and trusted process is not easy, and the citizens of Missouri have not abused it. The Missouri Legislature is currently proposing at least 24 different bills that would make it more difficult to get an issue on the ballot and/or increase the number of votes needed to pass once on the ballot. These bills (and the legislator who proposed them) are: HB1749 (Haffner), HJR102(Lewis), HJR67 (Billington), HJR72 (Lewis), HJR76 (Hudson), HJR81 (Kelley), HJR86 (Black), HJR91 (Schnelting), HJR93 (Falkner), HJR94 (Richey), HJR99 (Schwadron), SB1003 (Koenig), SB1076 (Koenig), SB1270 (Schroer), SJR48 (Hoskins), SJR49 (Koenig), SJR51 (Eigel), SJR56 (Cierpiot), SJR59 (Brattin), SJR61 (Moon), SJR73 (Schroer), SJR74 (Coleman), SJR77 (Carter), SJR79 (Brown), SJR81 (Carter), and SJR83 (Eigel) thus far. Missouri's current requirement for passage of an initiative petition is a simple majority of votes cast. Proposed changes would raise that requirement to 60% or even 67%. Others require a majority of votes cast in a majority of Missouri's representative districts. It is absurd and unprecedented to require more than a simple majority of votes cast to approve an issue in Missouri.It is already difficult to meet the current requirement of gathering enough signatures from 8% of voters in six of Missouri's eight Congressional districts to qualify for the statewide ballot. Several proposed bills would increase that to 10% in all districts, requiring hundreds of thousands more signatures and making it almost impossible to get an issue on the ballot. This is unacceptable. Our officials are elected by simple majority vote and can legislate by simple majority vote. It's hypocritical for them to legislate that we, their constituents, must then require 60-67% of the vote to pass ballot measures to counter their unpopular legislation. How is it that today's majority vote can restrict the Missouri voters of tomorrow and reverse over 115 years of precedent?Our democracy depends on the power of people to be directly involved in their government. The initiative petition process is a sacred and trusted right of the citizens of Missouri. I urge you to oppose any bill that restricts the initiative petition process in any way.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elections	cted Officials		,	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMAT	TONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: NATHAN WOLCO	TT BLACK		PHONE NUMBER	t:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: nwblack@gmail.c	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DAT 2/6/2024	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Nathan Black. I have lived in Missouri for 7 years and have been a regular voter in elections. Free and fair elections are vital to our democracy. Fairness means a process that voters understand and respect, even if they are not deeply engaged in politics on a day to day basis. Under no circumstances do these proposals qualify as fair to the average voter. They mean in essence that 20% of voters in the state could prevent a measure the other 80% of voters want from taking legal effect. How would you explain to your constituents that that is fair? Over the last decade initiative petitions have been an essential check on the policy failures of this legislature -- by which I mean (a) failure to make policy that represents the interests of the majority of citizens and (b) more recently, failure to do much of anything. This pro-democracy institution should be preserved, both now and in the future.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: OTTO FAJEN			PHONE NUME 573-634-3	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI NEA			TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1810 EAST ELM S	TREET			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 2/6/202	DATE: 24 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: RACHEL SPEED			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: rachelghana@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20	DATE: 24 1:45 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

I greatly oppose any changes in the initiative petition process. For over 115 years Missourians across the political spectrum, have used this process to have a voice in our democracy and to implement changes regarding issues we feel are important and will benefit the people of Missouri. We value our freedom and deserve to have a mechanism as a Check and balance against our legislature. If made into law, these bills would limit peoples voting power and our right to Implement the will of the people.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elect	ed Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: RIVA E CAPELLAR	l		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	I NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: rivacapellari@gmai	I.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/4/20 2	DATE: 24 8:20 PM
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo

Requiring signatures from the current 2/3 of the state's districts should be more than enough to put a Constitutional Amendment on the ballot as is the current requirement. It is not necessary to have a percentage of signatures from all districts as these amendment will be eventually be voted on by all legal voters in Missouri when it gets on the ballot.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □ F	OR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: RON BERRY		PHONE NUMBE 660-537-22	
REPRESENTING: JOBS WITH JUSTICE VOTER ACTION		TITLE: REGISTER	ED LOBBYIST
ADDRESS: PO BOX 722			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL: ronalddberry@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DA 2/6/2024	ATE: 11:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD UN	IDER CHAP	PTER 610, RSMo.

Oppose



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SARAH A. SWISH	ER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: salswisher@gmai	l.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 2/6/202	DATE: 24 10:37 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

We should not make it more difficult for the citizens to be heard. I strenuously oppose changing the requirements to place initiatives on the ballot



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH YOO		PHONE NUMBE	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: sarah.k.yoo@wustl.edu	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/5/2024	TE: 3:00 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	LIS BLIBLIC BECOR	D LINDED CHAR	TED 610 DSMo

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Sarah Yoo, from St. Louis. I oppose HJR 67, because democracy belongs in the hands of the people. The initiative petition process is a long-standing tool that allows citizens to let their voices be heard, especially when our elected representatives are unable to effectuate the will of the people. I oppose any bill that impedes direct democracy. I respectfully urge you to vote no on HJR 67.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024	
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			•	
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPO	SES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SHERRY L BUCHA	ANAN		PHONE NUM	IBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: sherrybuchanan6	6@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/5/20	DATE: 24 9:27 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	MIS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	DTER 610 PS	Mo

I oppose HJR 67. It would encourage more big money control over citizens' voices. Our Legislature should be promoting citizen participation in government, not attempting to squelch it.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	cted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON			PHONE NUM	MBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@p	orotonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/3/20	DATE: 124 10:26 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORI	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CH	APTER 610. RSMo.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		,	
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □ F	OR INFORMA	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: TERESA DANIELEY		PHONE NUMBE	ER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: tkmithen@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 2/5/2024	ATE: 4 4:35 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am the Rey, Dr. Teresa Danieley, a lifelong Missourian, I have participated in grassroots initiative petition campaigns many times as both a volunteer from 2005 to 2012 and as a staff person at Missouri Jobs with Justice since 2017. I first participated in gathering signatures to raise the minimum wage in 2005-2006 because, as a parish priest in the City of St. Louis, I encountered many parishioners and members of the wider community who were working for minimum wage, but struggling to make ends meet. Raising the minimum wage seemed like a common sense way to alleviate suffering and the need for working people to seek charity. In November 2006, that first increase in the minimum wage won easily statewide, across geographic and political boundaries. The initiative petition process is nonpartisan and used by people across the political and philosophical spectrum. Since that first experience with the Missouri initiative petition process, I have participated again many times, as both a volunteer and a staff person. I have spent all day outside polling places and along parade routes in blazing heat and freezing cold. I have collected signatures in churches, synagogues, mosques, and union halls. Even in the nicest weather with the friendliest crowd, the signature gathering is slow, tedious work, filling pages ten signatures at a time. In the past year, I have also been notarizing initiative petitions and found that it is more complex to notarize an initiative petition than it is to notarize someone's advance health directive. One small mistake on my part could disqualify the signatures of ten Missouri voters and the hard work of a signature gathering volunteer. As a Missourian, I am proud that we have had the grassroots ballot initiative petition process available to us since 1907 as an important tool of direct democracy. The initiative petition process allows us to maintain our freedom to have a say on important issues. Qualifying a grassroots ballot initiative is already incredibly difficult. Making it even more difficult does nothing other than undermine democracy. As a Missouri voter, I have had the right to directly petition my neighbors if I thought our government wasn't prioritizing the right things. This right was passed down to me from previous generations of Missourians. It is part of our toolkit of democracy. If this legislation is to pass, future generations will not have the same rights that I do. We should not seek to permanently alter our constitution and deprive future citizens of the rights we all currently enjoy. A majority is 50% plus 1. Changing the threshold is undemocratic and would make it harder for the public to make decisions. As I have described from my own experience, the initiative petition process is already rigorous and incredibly difficult - hundreds of thousands of voters must sign on before a measure even gets considered for the ballot. Adding additional barriers does nothing more than censure the voice of the people.I respectfully request that you vote no on undermining majority rule. One person, one vote.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elec	ted Officials			
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFOR	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: TRINA RAGAIN			PHONE NU	MBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO EMPOWER MISSO			TITLE: LEAD PO	OLICY STRATEGIST
ADDRESS: 1750 JEFFERSON	STREET, #104900			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65109
EMAIL: trina@empowermi	ssouri.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		T DATE: 024 10:18 AM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As the largest and oldest anti-poverty non-profit in our state, Empower Missouri is committed to improving the quality of life for all Missouri residents through advocacy. On behalf of our staff, board, and coalition members, we express our opposition to HJR 67 and HJR 93. We respectfully ask this committee not to advance any legislation which will alter Missouri's existing initiative petition process, including the two bills before it today. The initiative petition process is foundational to the Missouri law making process and ensures each Missourian has a voice in the laws that govern our state. The modifications proposed in HJR 67 and HJR 93 only serve to make it more difficult for Missourians to raise constitutional amendments for a vote of their fellow citizens at the ballot box. HJR 67 unnecessarily increases the number of Congressional districts in which initiative petition signatures must be collected, from the current requirement of two-thirds of Congressional districts to a full 100 percent of Congressional districts. This modification would drastically increase the time and resources required to complete what is already a resource-intensive signature gathering process, only serving to make the initiative petition process more inaccessible to Missouri citizens. HJR 93 unnecessarily increases the voter approval threshold from the longstanding simple majority of 50% plus one to 55% when amending the Missouri Constitution or imposes the state legislative body into the people's initiative petition process, requiring both chambers to hold committee hearings and floor debate on every certified initiative petition before it can appear on the ballot. This inflicts another roadblock requiring citizen-led initiative petitions to complete the signature gathering and certification process in advance of a newly imposed, arbitrary deadline. Missourians can and should be trusted to utilize the initiative petition process without unnecessary interference from the state legislature. The initiative petition process is one of the most important avenues for Missourians to directly engage in shaping the laws that govern our state. The bills being considered here today propose changes that will effectively exclude regular citizens from accessing the initiative petition process. Please do not take this important democratic tool away from Missourians. We respectfully request your no vote on HJR 67 and HJR 93.



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials			
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: VANESSA WELLBERY		PHONE NUMI 314-531-7	
REPRESENTING: ADVOCATES OF PLANNED PARENTHOOI REGION AND SOUTHWEST MISSOURI	O OF THE ST. LOUIS	VICE PRE & ADVOC	SIDENT OF POLICY
ADDRESS: 4251 FOREST PARK AVE			
CITY: ST. LOUIS		STATE: MO	ZIP: 63108
EMAIL: vanessa.wellbery@ppsir.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/6/202	DATE: 2 4 5:05 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HJR 67 is yet another attempt to rig the rules with the goal of ending majority rule in Missouri. Majority rule has been Missouri law for 100+ years. HJR 67 undermines the bedrock principle of "one person, one vote." The initiative petition process allows Missourians to participate directly in our democracy. When out-of-touch politicians in Jeff City ignore what voters and their families want, Missourians can take a stand and push for change directly. The initiative petition process and "one person, one vote" are integral parts of Missouri's democracy.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024			
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials						
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES			
	WITNESS NAME					
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: WINIFRED COLWILL		PHONE NUMBER	R:			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:				
ADDRESS:						
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:			
EMAIL: Colwillw@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA- 2/6/2024	TE: 2:33 PM			

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HJR 67 is designed to discourage Missouri citizens from pursuing their constitutional right to use the initiative petition process to place a constitutional amendment on the ballot. Requiring petition signatures from 8% of voters in all of Missouri's eight districts, instead of the current six, poses a significant barrier for citizens; 100,000 more signatures would have to be collected by the May deadline. Note that the bill does not extend the deadline for submitting signatures for certification, thus adding pressure on signature collectors.HJR 67 effectively prevents all but the most well-funded initiatives from advancing. It stymies the efforts of average Missouri citizens of all political persuasions who historically have relied on the initiative petition process to put issues on the ballot. I respectfully urge you to oppose HJR 67 and any measure that would change the current initiative petition process. Winifred Colwill



WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 67			DATE: 2/6/2024
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials		•	
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: WINSTON APPLE		PHONE NUMBER	R:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: winston.apple@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT DA 2/5/2024	

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

There is a spiritual dimension to democracy that has been obscured by the tsunami of anger, fear, animosity, and hatred that has become the norm within politics-as-usual in America today. We need a political revival in America. We also need a spiritual revival. And the political revival will likely be impossible without the spiritual revival. Democracy is rooted in spirituality. The spiritual roots of democracy can be traced to Richard Hooker, an Anglican priest who introduced people's capacity for reason and the concept of natural rights into the religious debates of his time - the 16th century. John Locke was inspired by Hooker as he wrote his Second Treatise of Government. A deeply spiritual man, Locke placed both individual liberty and political power within a moral framework. He stated, as "selfevident" truths, that "all men by nature are equal", endowed by God with natural rights to "life, health, liberty, (and) possessions"; and that "the consent of the people" is the only "lawful basis" for government. If those ideas sound familiar, it is because they were immortalized by Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence, which included the "self-evident" Truth that governments derive their "just powers from the consent of the governed". The essence of Locke's Second Treatise can be summed up in a single sentence: "The majority, having the whole power of the community naturally in them, may employ all that power in making laws for the community, and executing those laws by officers of their own appointing; and then the form of the government is a perfect democracy."That same self-evident Truth is reflected in our state's Constitution, which opens with a powerful proclamation of "the principles on which our government is founded": "All political power is vested in and derived from the people. All government of right originates from the people, is founded upon their will only, and is instituted solely for the good of the whole."A government that truly and fully lived up to those ideals would be a perfect democracy. The world has yet to see a perfect democracy. Our governments, in Missouri and in America, may have been founded upon democratic principles but we have never fully lived up to them. Missouri is one of only fifteen states where the citizens can amend the Constitution by majority vote through the initiative process. Instead of looking for ways to roll back democracy in Missouri, I encourage the members of this committee to seek ways to make Missouri a perfect democracy.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 67				DATE: 2/6/2024		
COMMITTEE: Elections and Elected Officials						
TESTIFYING:	\square IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: YVONNE D REEVI	ES-CHONG		PHONE NUME	BER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:						
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: seedistheword@g	ımail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/6/2024 10:23 PM			
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						

I oppose all attempts to strip or weaken Missouri voters' ability to hold elected officials accountable. The current IP systems ensconced in the Constitution the principle that the will of the people is greater than the whims of the government,