

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0590H.03P
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 105
Subject: Easements and Conveyances; Property, Real and Personal; Aircraft and Airports
Type: Original
Date: March 27, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes the conveyance of certain state property.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
General Revenue*	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

* Oversight assumes a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
Local Government	Unknown	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Property in Miller County

Officials from the **Office of the Governor** and **Office of Administration** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

As this parcel appears to be material in size, **Oversight** will reflect the transaction of the conveyance of state property in Miller County as:

- 1) a loss of the value of the state property;
- 2) the proceeds (if any) of the sale/conveyance; and
- 3) the annual savings (if any) to the state no longer maintaining the property.

Oversight will assume a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000.

Property in Jackson County (HA 1)

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (SB 247), officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the above mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (SB 247), officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight assumes AGO is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes AGO could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, AGO could request funding through the appropriation process. Officials from the AGO assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (SB 247), officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** stated the Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are determined, the fiscal impact is \$1.

Oversight notes the proposal allows for consideration of \$1 or less; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact.

Officials from **Jackson County** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact for this proposal.

Property in Webster County (HA 2)

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (HB 1470), officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the above mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (HB 1470), officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight assumes AGO is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes AGO could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, AGO could request funding through the appropriation process. Officials from the AGO assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a similar proposal from 2025 (HB 1470), officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** stated the Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are determined, the fiscal impact is \$1.

Oversight notes the proposal allows for consideration of \$1 or less; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact.

Officials from **Webster County** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact for this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Sale Proceeds</u> – conveyance proceeds of the property (if any)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0	\$0
<u>Property value</u> – loss of FMV of the property	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
<u>Savings</u> – for annual maintenance/upkeep of property – no longer a state property/interest	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Cost</u> – (City of Osage Beach) of acquiring the property from the state	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0	\$0
<u>Property increase</u> – (City of Osage Beach) acquired property’s value	Unknown	\$0	\$0
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	Unknown	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill authorizes the conveyance of certain state property.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration
Office of the Governor
Attorney General's Office
Missouri Department of Transportation



Julie Morff
Director
March 27, 2025



Jessica Harris
Assistant Director
March 27, 2025