COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1459H.01I Bill No.: HB 558

Subject: Retirement - Local Government; Retirement Systems and Benefits - General; Law

Enforcement Officers and Agencies; County Officials; Counties

Type: Original

Date: March 5, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to the Sheriffs' Retirement

System.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1459H.01I Bill No. HB 558 Page **2** of **4** March 5, 2025

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 2028					
Total Estimated Net						
Effect on FTE	0	0	0			

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in	any
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act	ct.

☐ Estimated Net Ef	fect (savings or increa	used revenues) expected to	exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal ye	ears after implementat	ion of the act or at full im	plementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 2028						
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0			

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Sheriff's Retirement System** state the Missouri Supreme Court in 2021 ruled the collection of the \$3 criminal fee was unconstitutional. The collection of the fee was removed in July 2021. This is clean up language to remove the fee that can no longer be collected to fund the Sheriffs' Retirement System. Therefore, there is no impact to the retirement system.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCPER)** state the JCPER's review of HB 558 indicates it will affect retirement plan benefits as defined in Section 105.660(9). According to actuarial information provided by the retirement system, HB 558 would constitute a "substantial proposed change" in future plan benefits as defined in section 105.660(10). Pursuant to section 105.670, an actuarial cost statement must be filed with the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives, the Secretary of the Senate, and the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement as public information for at least five legislative days prior to final passage.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organization.

Oversight notes that the above mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1459H.01I Bill No. HB 558 Page **4** of **4** March 5, 2025

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Sheriff's Retirement System
Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement
Office of the State Courts Administrator

Julie Morff Director

March 5, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director March 5, 2025