

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1683S.04T  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS No. 2 for HCS for HBs 594 & 508  
Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Income  
Type: Original  
Date: June 23, 2025

---

Bill Summary: This act modifies provisions relating to taxation.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>FY 2027</b>	<b>FY 2028</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2030)</b>
General Revenue Fund	More or less than (\$252,725,686)	More or less than (\$221,682,304)	More or less than (\$226,938,901)	More or less than (\$265,578,899)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>More or less than (\$252,725,686)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$221,682,304)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$226,938,901)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$265,578,899)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>FY 2027</b>	<b>FY 2028</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2030)</b>
School District Trust Fund (0688)	Could exceed (\$7,652,381)	Could exceed (\$10,940,595)	Could exceed (\$10,940,595)	Could exceed (\$10,940,595)
Parks and Soils State Sales Tax Fund(s) (0613 & 0614)	Could Exceed (\$765,238)	Could Exceed (\$1,094,060)	Could Exceed (\$1,094,060)	Could Exceed (\$1,094,060)
Conservation Commission Fund (0609)	Could Exceed (\$955,114)	Could Exceed (\$1,365,664)	Could Exceed (\$1,365,664)	Could Exceed (\$1,365,664)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>Could Exceed (\$9,372,733)</b>	<b>Could Exceed (\$13,400,319)</b>	<b>Could Exceed (\$13,400,319)</b>	<b>Could Exceed (\$13,400,319)</b>

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>FY 2027</b>	<b>FY 2028</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2030)</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>FY 2027</b>	<b>FY 2028</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2030)</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>FY 2027</b>	<b>FY 2028</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2030)</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$34,129,621)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$37,803,091)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$31,977,350)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$31,977,350)</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### **Section 67.547 - County Local Sales Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this section of statute currently allows a County to impose a county sales tax upon a vote of its citizens. The statute states that the tax could be at a rate of one-eighth of one percent, one-fourth of one percent, three-eighths of one percent, or one-half of one percent. This proposal is adding language in Section 67.547.3 that would prohibit a county from submitting to the voters any proposal that results in a combined sales tax rate of more than 1.5%.

DOR notes that once a political subdivision adopts a sales tax, they notify the Department. DOR reviews to determine if the political subdivision has statutory authority to have a sales tax and calculates the aggregate of any they have. If approved, DOR has the new tax rate start in the second quarter after DOR receives it. If it is determined that the county did not have statutory authority or that they exceed their aggregate rate allowed, the county is notified that their sales tax is null and void.

This proposal makes a one-time exception for sales tax elections that were held on November 8, 2022 (FY 2022). This proposal would allow a county that submitted a tax proposal to the citizens that violated the aggregate sales tax rate allowed, to be approved by DOR to collect that tax, as long as they did not exceed an aggregated 1.5% sales tax rate. This appears to be making a one-time exception to the rule for at least one county.

If a county is allowed to start their sales tax, then DOR would start it in the second quarter after the effective date of this proposal. This will not have a fiscal impact on DOR.

**Oversight** notes that current law limits the combined amount of sales tax levied by a county to 1%. This act increases such limit to 1.5%, and provides that any sales tax levy approved during the November 8, 2022, general election shall be deemed to be in compliance with state law if the combined amount of sales tax levied pursuant to the County Sales Tax Act is not in excess of 1.5%

**Oversight** will show the potential fiscal impact to locals as \$0 (not approved by voters) to an unknown positive impact (increase in tax approved by voters).

**Oversight** notes if a county approves a sales tax, DOR is allowed to retain 1% of collections which is deposited into general revenue. **Oversight** will show the potential fiscal impact to general revenue as \$0 (not approved by voters) to an unknown positive impact (increase in tax approved by voters).

### **Section 67.582 - County Sales Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note starting August 28, 2024, this proposal will allow any county to vote on a sales tax up to an aggregate 1% for the funding of law enforcement services. Currently, counties aggregate sales tax cannot exceed 0.5%. To implement a tax or to increase a tax they already have, a county must take the issue back to the ballot for their citizens to vote on. The Department has no way of determining which counties may seek to increase their sales tax rate in order to fund law enforcement.

The Department notes that if a county approves a sales tax, DOR will collect and distribute it. DOR is allowed to retain 1% of the amount collected. The DOR 1% collection fee is deposited into general revenue.

The revenue impact of this proposal is unknown. DOR will not have any administrative impact from this proposal.

**Oversight** notes current law authorizes certain counties to levy a sales tax for the purpose of providing law enforcement services to such county, with the rate not to exceed 0.5%. This act authorizes such levy not to exceed 1%

**Oversight** will show the impact to locals as \$0 (not approved by voters) to an unknown positive impact (increase in tax approved by voters).

**Oversight** notes if a county approves a sales tax, DOR is allowed to retain 1% of collections which is deposited into general revenue. **Oversight** will show the potential fiscal impact to general revenue as \$0 (not approved by voters) to an unknown positive impact (increase in tax approved by voters).

### **Section 67.1366 - City of Independent Transient Guest Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this allows the City of Independence to expand the purpose of their existing transient guest tax to allow it to pay for the operating costs of a community center. Transient guest taxes are collected by the local political subdivision and not DOR. This will not have a fiscal impact on DOR.

**Oversight** notes this section allows a city with a population of more than 100,000 to adopt a transient guest tax by a vote of their citizens. The tax currently can be used for the promotion, operation and development of tourism. This proposal would expand the use of the tax to include paying for the operating costs of a community center. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact for this proposal.

**Section 67.1367 - Perry & Ste. Genevieve County Transient Guest Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this allows Perry County and Ste. Genevieve County to adopt a transient guest tax upon a vote of their citizens. Transient guest taxes are collected by the local political subdivision and not DOR. This will not have a fiscal impact on DOR.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal authorizes Ste. Genevieve County and Perry County, upon voter approval, to enact a transient guest tax of not more than 6% per occupied room at hotels, motels, bed and breakfast inns or campground cabins per night for tourism purposes. Oversight assumes this proposal is permissive in nature and would have no local fiscal impact without action by the governing body and approval by a majority of voters. If a majority of voters approve this issue on the ballot, then there would be potential tax revenue for Ste. Genevieve and/or Perry counties. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 (no voter approval) or unknown revenue impact for this proposal.

**Section 94.900 - Public Safety Sales Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note the following:

City of Joplin

The legislation states any city with more than fifty-one thousand but fewer than fifty-eight thousand inhabitants and located in more than one county can impose a sales tax for public safety services. DOR believes that the City of Joplin is the one allowed the sales tax.

DOR records show that the City of Joplin has taxable sales of:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Jul-Sept</b>	<b>Oct-Dec</b>	<b>Jan-Mar</b>	<b>April- June</b>	<b>Total</b>
2020	\$333,332,340.53	\$350,430,676.71	\$379,642,023.94	\$411,620,125.33	\$1,475,025,166.51
2021	\$397,523,397.19	\$434,444,664.37	\$400,127,308.43	\$427,402,675.08	\$1,659,498,045.07
2022	\$384,224,088.04	\$430,650,070.85	\$436,430,186.68	\$447,415,995.47	\$1,698,720,341.04
2023	\$395,327,695.61	\$434,284,211.14	\$425,811,465.94	\$456,135,462.81	\$1,711,558,835.50

The Department notes this proposal allows a one-half of one percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount that Joplin would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>	<b>DOR 1% Fee</b>	<b>Final Collection</b>
2026	\$1,816,319,929	\$9,081,600	\$90,816	\$8,990,784
2027	\$1,852,646,327	\$9,263,232	\$92,632	\$9,170,599
2028	\$1,889,699,254	\$9,448,496	\$94,485	\$9,354,011

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months.

Joplin	1/2 of 1% Tax	
Fiscal Year	DOR 1%	Local Collection
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$61,755	\$6,113,733
2028	\$94,485	\$9,354,011
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

Cities of Hannibal & Sikeston

The legislation states any city with more than sixteen thousand but fewer than eighteen thousand inhabitants and located in more than one county can impose a sales tax for public safety services. DOR believes that the Cities of Hannibal and Sikeston are the ones allowed the sales tax.

DOR records show that the City of Hannibal has taxable sales of:

Fiscal Year	Jul-Sept	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	April- June	Total
2020	\$69,982,368.93	\$87,152,350.18	\$85,155,681.85	\$87,018,478.50	\$329,308,879.46
2021	\$81,082,721.86	\$93,364,299.02	\$92,954,006.96	\$97,111,124.68	\$364,512,152.52
2022	\$81,170,292.21	\$100,642,087.33	\$100,479,879.44	\$102,098,456.41	\$384,390,715.39
2023	\$93,944,023.14	\$105,473,477.82	\$98,614,294.11	\$102,438,199.94	\$400,469,995.01

The Department notes this proposal allows a one-half of one percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount that Hannibal would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

Fiscal Year	Total Sales	Total Collections	DOR 1% Fee	Final Collection
2026	\$424,981,962	\$2,124,910	\$21,249	\$2,103,661
2027	\$433,481,602	\$2,167,408	\$21,674	\$2,145,734
2028	\$442,151,234	\$2,210,756	\$22,108	\$2,188,649

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be

October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months

Hannibal	1/2 of 1% Tax	
Fiscal Year	DOR 1%	Local Collection
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$14,449	\$1,430,489
2028	\$22,108	\$2,188,649
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

DOR records show that the City of Sikeston has taxable sales of:

Fiscal Year	Jul-Sept	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	April- June	Total
2020	\$77,014,327.29	\$87,785,994.44	\$83,655,316.11	\$84,822,741.37	\$333,278,379.21
2021	\$88,403,514.59	\$95,942,003.77	\$93,652,632.85	\$100,823,372.16	\$378,821,523.37
2022	\$90,545,427.58	\$98,830,654.31	\$97,693,783.35	\$99,809,523.86	\$386,879,389.10
2023	\$98,404,739.52	\$101,042,378.99	\$97,451,516.39	\$101,029,487.09	\$397,928,121.99

The Department notes this proposal allows a one-half of one percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount that Sikeston would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

Fiscal Year	Total Sales	Total Collections	DOR 1% Fee	Final Collection
2026	\$422,284,506	\$2,111,423	\$21,114	\$2,090,308
2027	\$430,730,197	\$2,153,651	\$21,537	\$2,132,114
2028	\$439,344,801	\$2,196,724	\$21,967	\$2,174,757

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months.

Sikeston	1/2 of 1% Tax	
Fiscal Year	DOR 1%	Local Collection
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$14,358	\$1,421,410
2028	\$21,967	\$2,174,757
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

City of Moberly

The legislation states any city with more than twelve thousand five hundred but fewer than fourteen thousand inhabitants and located in a county seat with more than twenty-two thousand but fewer than twenty-five thousand and with a county seat with more than nine hundred but fewer than one thousand four hundred inhabitants can impose a sales tax for public safety services. DOR believes that the City of Moberly is the one allowed the sales tax.

DOR records show that the City of Moberly has taxable sales of:

Fiscal Year	Jul-Sept	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	April- June	Total
2020	\$55,859,356.06	\$66,129,963.24	\$63,232,963.70	\$64,320,765.28	\$249,543,048.28
2021	\$64,437,630.42	\$69,254,646.34	\$68,914,973.65	\$73,071,081.41	\$275,678,331.82
2022	\$65,016,796.49	\$72,708,115.63	\$73,181,876.80	\$76,137,546.66	\$287,044,335.58
2023	\$71,062,661.33	\$76,973,260.28	\$76,239,424.62	\$78,417,427.60	\$302,692,773.83

The Department notes this proposal allows a one-half of one percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount that Moberly would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

Fiscal Year	Total Sales	Total Collections	DOR 1% Fee	Final Collection
2026	\$321,219,993	\$1,606,100	\$16,061	\$1,590,039
2027	\$327,644,393	\$1,638,222	\$16,382	\$1,621,840
2028	\$334,197,281	\$1,670,986	\$16,710	\$1,654,277

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months.

Moberly	1/2 of 1% Tax	
Fiscal Year	DOR 1%	Local Collection
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$10,921	\$1,081,226
2028	\$16,710	\$1,654,277
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

Village of Sunrise Beach

This proposal allows a village with more than four hundred thirty but fewer than four hundred eighty inhabitants and partially located in a county with more than forty thousand but fewer than fifty thousand inhabitants and with a county seat with more than two thousand but fewer than six

thousand inhabitants to adopt a sales tax for the purpose of funding public safety. DOR believes this is Sunrise Beach.

DOR records show that Sunrise Beach has taxable sales of:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Jul-Sept</b>	<b>Oct-Dec</b>	<b>Jan-Mar</b>	<b>April- June</b>	<b>Total</b>
2020	\$5,838,331	\$13,526,486	\$15,734,969	\$7,228,722	\$42,328,508
2021	\$7,048,910	\$13,555,591	\$15,540,917	\$8,049,232	\$44,194,651
2022	\$7,048,393	\$14,467,865	\$16,470,014	\$7,610,478	\$45,596,750
2023	\$7,724,185	\$16,244,642	\$20,493,780	\$9,592,952	\$54,055,558

The Department notes this proposal allows up to a one-half of one percent sales tax. For the fiscal impact DOR will assume the one-half of one percent sales tax is adopted. However, for informational purposes DOR is showing how much would be collected if they just chose full one-half percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount the Sunrise Beach would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>	<b>DOR 1% Fee</b>	<b>Final Collection</b>
2026	\$57,364,191	\$286,821	\$2,868	\$283,953
2027	\$58,511,475	\$292,557	\$2,926	\$289,632
2028	\$59,681,704	\$298,409	\$2,984	\$295,424

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months

Sunrise Beach	1/2 of 1% Tax	
<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>DOR 1%</b>	<b>Local Collection</b>
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$1,950	\$193,088
2028	\$2,984	\$295,424
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

*City of Nevada*

The legislation states any city with more than eight thousand but fewer than nine thousand inhabitants and that is the county seat of a county with more than nineteen thousand but fewer

than twenty-two thousand inhabitants can impose a sales tax for public safety services. DOR believes that the City of Nevada is the one allowed the sales tax.

DOR records show that the City of Nevada has taxable sales of:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Jul-Sept</b>	<b>Oct-Dec</b>	<b>Jan-Mar</b>	<b>April- June</b>	<b>Total</b>
2020	\$38,208,695	\$44,612,841	\$43,665,437	\$42,989,998	\$169,476,971
2021	\$43,931,887	\$49,116,769	\$46,410,826	\$48,826,592	\$188,286,074
2022	\$43,446,518	\$51,704,817	\$51,124,402	\$52,879,022	\$199,154,759
2023	\$48,624,132	\$53,461,870	\$51,767,032	\$56,676,505	\$210,529,539

The Department notes this proposal allows a one-half of one percent sales tax. Using the taxable sales and a 2% inflation rate in the future, DOR calculated the amount that Nevada would collect, and the fee retained by DOR as:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>	<b>DOR 1% Fee</b>	<b>Final Collection</b>
2026	\$223,415,631	\$1,117,078	\$11,171	\$1,105,907
2027	\$227,883,943	\$1,139,420	\$11,394	\$1,128,026
2028	\$232,441,622	\$1,162,208	\$11,622	\$1,150,586

DOR notes that this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, and the first election this issue could be presented to the voters would be the April 2026 general municipal election. This sales tax would become effective on the first day of the second calendar quarter after the director of revenue receives notice of the adoption of the sales tax, which is estimated to be October 1, 2026 (FY 2027) if adopted by the voters. Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection of the tax, so DOR estimates an impact for FY 2027 of 8 months.

Nevada	1/2 of 1% Tax	
Fiscal Year	DOR 1%	Local Collection
2026	\$0	\$0
2027 (8 months)	\$7,596	\$752,017
2028	\$11,622	\$1,150,586
*Effective Date 8/28/2025		

If any of these cities pass a sales tax the Department will need to make changes to its Revenue Premier system, Rate Manager system, MyTax portal system, Avalara Sales and use tax rate map, and website changes. These changes are estimated at \$1,832 per system change (\$7,328) per city that passes the sales tax.

**Sections 135.010, 135.025 & 135.030 - Senior Property Tax**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note the following:

***Background of Current PTC Program***

This proposal attempts to make modifications to the Senior Property tax credit (PTC). The PTC provides two tax credits, one to homeowners and one to renters that pay property tax. In order to qualify for the PTC program there are income eligibility requirements, and a person must:

Be over the age of 65,

Or 100% disabled,

Or a 100% disabled veteran,

Or at least 60 and the qualifying widow of someone in the previous categories.

For homeowners, the PTC provides a credit to offset the amount of actual property tax paid by the homeowner. The credit is up to \$1,100 in property tax actually paid but the credit amount phases out as an individual's income rises. The homeowner's credit is for those with incomes of less than \$30,000. It should be noted, there is no limit on the number of individuals who can receive the credit annually.

The PTC also currently provides a credit to offset the amount of property tax included in a taxpayer's rent payment. The tax credit for renters is up to \$750 in property tax paid and to qualify a renter must have an income less than \$27,500. The amount of the credit does phase out as income rises and there is no limit on the number of renters who can receive the credit annually.

This proposal says that most of the modifications of the property tax credit will begin on January 1, 2026. DOR notes that the majority of the PTC tax returns are received in the department's office between January and April of each year. DOR assumes that the changes made by this proposal would fully impact FY 2026.

***Proposed Changes***

This proposal would increase the income allowance for PTC claimants by \$800 for renters who are married (filing combined) and \$1,800 for homeowners who are married (filing combined) starting with calendar year 2026.

This proposal would increase the maximum PTC credit amount for renters and homeowners. Renters would increase from \$750 to \$1,055 and homeowners would increase from \$1,100 to \$1,550 starting with calendar year 2026. Additionally, this proposal allows this credit amount to be adjusted annually by the CPI. For fiscal note purposes, DOR uses a 2% inflation factor annually.

PTC Credit

Calendar Year	Renter	Homeowner
Current	\$750	\$1,100
2026	\$1,055	\$1,550

2027	\$1,076	\$1,581
2028	\$1,098	\$1,613
2029	\$1,120	\$1,645
2030	\$1,142	\$1,678

\*Assumes 2% average annual inflation.

This proposal also increases the maximum income limits allowed to qualify for the PTC. However, it limits the PTC to those with a filing status of “single” or “married filing combined”. Therefore, those who check the “married filing separate” box and those that do not check a box will no longer be eligible for the PTC credit.

Maximum Income by Filing Status

Filing Status	Own/Rent	2026 Max Income
Single	Rent	\$38,200
	Own	\$42,200
Married Filing Combined	Rent	\$41,000
	Own	\$48,000
Married Filing Separate	No longer qualifies	
Other	No longer qualifies	

In tax year 2023, there were 1,280 individuals who filed a PTC claim with a filing status other than single or married filing combined. They claimed \$798,801 and therefore, this would result in a savings to general revenue of these filers no longer being eligible for the PTC credit.

In addition to increasing the PTC maximum income limit, this proposal starting January 1, 2027, will allow the maximum limit to be increased annually by the CPI. For fiscal note purposes, DOR uses a 2% inflation factor. Therefore, DOR can expect the incomes to increase as follows:

Maximum Income

Calendar Year	Renter		Homeowner	
	Single	Married	Single	Married
Current	\$27,500		\$30,000	
2026	\$38,200	\$42,200	\$41,000	\$48,000
2027	\$38,964	\$43,044	\$41,820	\$48,960
2028	\$39,743	\$43,905	\$42,656	\$49,939
2029	\$40,538	\$44,783	\$43,509	\$50,938
2030	\$41,349	\$45,679	\$44,379	\$51,957

\*Assumes 2% average annual inflation.

DOR notes that the PTC credit is calculated using a formula that takes into account that as an individual’s income rises the amount of the credit, they are eligible for decreases. Currently for every \$300 increase in income the tax credit amount given decreases \$25.

This proposal increases the phase-out increments used when running the calculation. It increases the income limit from \$300 to \$495 and then allows it to be inflation adjusted in future fiscal years. DOR uses a 2% inflation factor for fiscal note purposes.

This proposal also changes the formula to cap the tax credit reduction to 2%. Currently the credit is reduced by 1/16% for each \$300 increment for a maximum reduction of 4%. This would change the \$300 to \$495 and change the 4% to 2%. Under current law, the reduction cap is not met however, this proposal would limit both the renters and homeowners. After 32 reductions the maximum tax credit allowed would remain constant.

PTC Phase-Out Increments

Calendar Year	Income Increment	Phase-Out Cap
Current	\$300	4%
2026	\$495	2%
2027	\$505	2%
2028	\$515	2%
2029	\$525	2%
2030	\$536	2%

\*Assumes 2% average annual inflation.

Impact of Maximum Credit and Slower Credit Phase-Out (Formula Changes)

Increasing the maximum credit and making changes to how the formula calculates the amount of credit each person gets will impact the current filers of the program. If no additional people were allowed in the program, this is the impact to the current filers from the changes in this proposal.

*Single Renters*

In tax year 2023, there were 28,534 single renters who claimed the PTC with a maximum credit of \$750. With this proposal increasing the amount of the credit from \$750 to \$1,055 and increasing the phase-out income limit from \$300 to \$495 and changing the cap reduction to 2% DOR can expect an increase in the amount of credits paid out over the next several years.

Single-Renters (Change in PTC formula)

Tax Year	Credit Cap	Income Increments	Reduction Cap	GR Impact
Current	\$750	\$300	4%	\$0
2026	\$1,055	\$495	2%	(\$6,886,188)
2027	\$1,076	\$505	2%	(\$7,329,438)

2028	\$1,098	\$515	2%	(\$7,794,365)
2029	\$1,120	\$525	2%	(\$8,258,759)
2030	\$1,142	\$536	2%	(\$8,721,689)

DOR notes that because the credit cap and income increments keep being inflated in future years, this will continue to have an increasing impact on general revenue. Additionally, these changes are about the formula only and do not include the changes made to the maximum upper limit.

#### *Married Filing Combined Renters*

In tax year 2023, there were 1,207 married filing combined renters claiming the PTC with a maximum credit of \$750. With this proposal increasing the amount of the credit from \$750 to \$1,055 and increasing the phase-out limit from \$300 to \$495 and changing the cap reduction to 2% DOR can expect an increase in the amount of credits paid out over the next several years.

#### Married Filing Combined - Renters (Change in Formula)

Tax Year	Credit Cap	Income Increments	Reduction Cap	GR Impact
Current	\$750	\$300	4%	\$0
2026	\$1,055	\$495	2%	(\$282,043)
2027	\$1,076	\$505	2%	(\$299,482)
2028	\$1,098	\$515	2%	(\$317,878)
2029	\$1,120	\$525	2%	(\$336,175)
2030	\$1,142	\$536	2%	(\$354,367)

DOR notes that because the credit cap and income increments keep being inflated in future years, this will continue to have an increasing impact on general revenue. Additionally, these changes are about the formula only and do not include the changes made to the maximum upper limit.

#### *Single Homeowners*

In tax year 2023, there were 28,778 single homeowners who claimed the PTC with a maximum credit of \$1,100. With this proposal increasing the amount of the credit from \$1,100 to \$1,550 and increasing the phase-out income limit from \$300 to \$495 and changing the cap reduction to 2% DOR can expect an increase in the amount of credits paid out over the next several years.

#### Single-Homeowners (Change in PTC formula)

Tax Year	Credit Cap	Income Increments	Reduction Cap	GR Impact
Current	\$1,100	\$300	4%	\$0
2026	\$1,550	\$495	2%	(\$8,160,188)
2027	\$1,581	\$505	2%	(\$8,642,843)
2028	\$1,613	\$515	2%	(\$9,142,232)
2029	\$1,645	\$525	2%	(\$9,642,369)
2030	\$1,678	\$536	2%	(\$10,153,312)

DOR notes that because the credit cap and income increments keep being inflated in future years, this will continue to have an increasing impact on general revenue. Additionally, these changes are about the formula only and do not include the changes made to the maximum upper limit.

#### *Married Filing Combined Homeowners*

In tax year 2023, there were 5,682 married filing combined homeowners who claimed the PTC with a maximum credit of \$1,100. With this proposal increasing the amount of the credit from \$1,100 to \$1,550 and increasing the phase-out income limit from \$300 to \$495 and changing the cap reduction to 2% DOR can expect an increase in the amount of credits paid out over the next several years.

#### Married Filing Combined-Homeowners (Change in PTC formula)

Tax Year	Credit Cap	Income Increments	Reduction Cap	GR Impact
Current	\$1,100	\$300	4%	\$0
2026	\$1,550	\$495	2%	(\$1,630,249)
2027	\$1,581	\$505	2%	(\$1,727,696)
2028	\$1,613	\$515	2%	(\$1,828,470)
2029	\$1,645	\$525	2%	(\$1,929,242)
2030	\$1,678	\$536	2%	(\$2,032,715)

DOR notes that because the credit cap and income increments keep being inflated in future years, this will continue to have an increasing impact on general revenue. Additionally, these changes are about the formula only and do not include the changes made to the maximum upper limit.

#### *Credit and Formula Changes Summary*

The changes to the amount of the credit allowed and the formula would result in the following impact to general revenue:

#### Higher Credit and Slower Phase-Out

Tax Year	Renter		Homeowner		Total
	Single	Married	Single	Married	
2026	(\$6,886,188)	(\$282,043)	(\$8,160,188)	(\$1,630,249)	(\$16,958,668)
2027	(\$7,329,438)	(\$299,482)	(\$8,642,843)	(\$1,727,696)	(\$17,999,459)
2028	(\$7,794,365)	(\$317,878)	(\$9,142,232)	(\$1,828,470)	(\$19,082,945)
2029	(\$8,258,759)	(\$336,175)	(\$9,642,369)	(\$1,929,242)	(\$20,166,545)
2030	(\$8,721,689)	(\$354,367)	(\$10,153,312)	(\$2,032,715)	(\$21,262,083)

#### Impact from Change in Maximum Upper Limit

Increasing the maximum upper limit will allow additional people to qualify for the credit that currently do not qualify. Using the department's individual income tax system, DOR is able to determine the number of additional people that would qualify with an income fitting the new limits in the proposal. Adding these new people into the program will result in the following impact.

Since DOR does not know how many of these additional people are homeowners and renters, DOR pulled the tax year 2023 PTC claims and found the current percentage of homeowners and renters.

PTC Homeowner vs. Renter

Filing Type	Homeowner	Renter
Age 65+	59.7%	40.3%
Widow(er)	67.5%	32.5%
Disabled	22.6%	77.4%

While DOR notes that as incomes rise, there is a likely hood more people will own their home rather than rent, it is unclear how DOR could calculate that. For the simplicity of the fiscal note, DOR will use this same split for the new people being added under this proposal as the current split.

*Single Renters*  
2026

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$38,200 who filed as a single filer.

218 widow/widower,  
29,700 65 years or older,  
1,504 disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

71 widow(er),  
11,976 age 65 and older,  
1,163 disabled.  
13,210 total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$458. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$6,044,175 (\$458 credit \* 13,210 new filers) in FY 2026.

2027

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$38,964 who filed as a single filer.

236 widow/widower,  
31,685 65 years or older,

1,603 disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

77 widow(er),  
12,776 age 65 and older,  
1,240 disabled.  
14,093 total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$472. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$6,648,693 (\$472 credit \* 14,093 new filers) in FY 2027.

### 2028

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$39,743 who filed as a single filer.

254 widow/widower,  
33,670 65 years or older,  
1,701 disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

82 widow(er),  
13,577 age 65 and older,  
1,316 disabled.  
14,975 total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$493. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$7,377,059 (\$493 credit \* 14,975 new filers) in FY 2028.

### 2029

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$40,538 who filed as a single filer.

264 widow/widower,  
35,814 65 years or older,  
1,788 disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

86	widow(er),
14,441	age 65 and older,
<u>1,383</u>	disabled.
15,910	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$514. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$8,175,292 (\$514 credit \* 15,910 new filers) in FY 2029.

### 2030

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$41,349 who filed as a single filer.

286	widow/widower,
37,910	65 years or older,
1,892	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

93	widow(er),
15,286	age 65 and older,
<u>1,464</u>	disabled.
16,843	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$528. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$8,898,095 (\$528 credit \* 16,843 new filers) in FY 2030.

DOR notes that the annual loss will continue past FY 2030 due to the inflation rate continuing into the future.

### *Married Filing Combined Renters*

#### 2026

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$41,000 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

32,070	65 years or older,
4,598	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

12,932            age 65 and older,  
3,557            disabled.  
16,489            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$455. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$7,506,159 (\$455 credit \* 16,489 new filers) in FY 2026.

*2027*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$41,820 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

33,738            65 years or older,  
4,852            disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

13,604            age 65 and older,  
3,753            disabled.  
17,357            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$469. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$8,141,053 (\$469 credit \* 17,357 new filers) in FY 2027.

*2028*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$42,656 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

35,360            65 years or older,  
5,115            disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

14,258            age 65 and older,  
3,957            disabled.  
18,215            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$490. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$8,917,813 (\$490 credit \* 18,215 new filers) in FY 2028.

*2029*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$43,509 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

37,115	65 years or older,
5,356	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

14,966	age 65 and older,
<u>4,143</u>	disabled.
19,109	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$510. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$9,748,672 (\$510 credit \* 19,109 new filers) in FY 2029.

*2030*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$27,500 and \$44,379 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

38,886	65 years or older,
5,576	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the renters.

15,680	age 65 and older,
<u>4,313</u>	disabled.
19,993	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$526. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$10,517,651 (\$526 credit \* 19,993 new filers) in FY 2030.

*Single Homeowners*

*2026*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$42,200 who filed as a single filer.

263	widow/widower
32,775	65 years or older,
1,591	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

178	widow/widower
19,559	age 65 and older,
<u>360</u>	disabled.
20,097	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$940. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$18,891,180 (\$940 credit \* 20,097 new filers) in FY 2026.

#### 2027

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$43,044 who filed as a single filer.

284	widow/widower
34,960	65 years or older,
1,668	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

192	widow/widower
20,863	age 65 and older,
<u>378</u>	disabled.
21,433	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$964. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$20,661,412 (\$964 credit \* 21,433 new filers) in FY 2027.

#### 2028

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$43,905 who filed as a single filer.

300	widow/widower
37,083	65 years or older,
1,748	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

203	widow/widower
22,130	age 65 and older,
396	disabled.
22,729	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$990. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$22,501,710 (\$990 credit \* 22,729 new filers) in FY 2028.

#### 2029

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$44,783 who filed as a single filer.

321	widow/widower
39,278	65 years or older,
1,828	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

217	widow/widower
23,440	age 65 and older,
414	disabled.
24,071	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$1,017. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$24,481,067 (\$1,017 credit \* 24,071 new filers) in FY 2029.

#### 2030

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$45,679 who filed as a single filer.

338	widow/widower
41,497	65 years or older,
1,915	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

228	widow/widower
24,764	age 65 and older,
<u>434</u>	disabled.
25,426	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$1,043. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$26,520,195 (\$1,043 credit \* 25,426 new filers) in FY 2030.

*Married Filing Combined Homeowners*

2026

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$48,000 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

39,688	65 years or older,
5,622	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

23,685	age 65 and older,
<u>1,273</u>	disabled.
24,958	total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$940. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$23,460,520 (\$940 credit \* 24,958 new filers) in FY 2026.

2027

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$48,960 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

41,641	65 years or older,
5,899	disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

24,850            age 65 and older,  
1,336            disabled.  
26,186            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$964. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$25,243,304 (\$964 credit \* 26,186 new filers) in FY 2027.

2028

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$49,939 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

43,629            65 years or older,  
6,181            disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

26,037            age 65 and older,  
1,400            disabled.  
27,437            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$990. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$27,162,630 (\$990 credit \* 27,437 new filers) in FY 2028.

2029

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$50,938 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

45,627            65 years or older,  
6,476            disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

27,229            age 65 and older,  
1,466            disabled.  
28,695            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$1,017. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$29,174,924 (\$1,017 credit \* 28,695 new filers) in FY 2029.

*2030*

Using the most current year data, there were the following individuals with a Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) between \$30,000 and \$51,957 who filed as a married filing combined filer.

47,730            65 years or older,  
6,775            disabled

Using the homeowner/renter split discussed above DOR assumes the following could potentially be the homeowners.

28,484            age 65 and older,  
1,534            disabled.  
30,018            total new filers

In addition to the additional maximum credit and formula changes, DOR estimates that the average PTC credit for these additional people may be \$1,043. Therefore, this could result in an increased loss to general revenue of \$31,301,270 (\$1,043 credit \* 30,018 new filers) in FY 2030.

DOR notes that the annual loss will continue to increase given the inflation factor language.

***Maximum Upper Limit Summary***

Adding the additional people to the PTC program will result in the following impact:

**Higher Maximum Income Limit**

Tax Year	Renter		Homeowner		Total
	Single	Married	Single	Married	
2026	(\$6,044,175)	(\$7,506,159)	(\$18,891,180)	(\$23,460,520)	(\$55,902,034)
2027	(\$6,648,693)	(\$8,141,053)	(\$20,661,412)	(\$25,243,304)	(\$60,694,462)
2028	(\$7,377,059)	(\$8,917,813)	(\$22,501,710)	(\$27,162,630)	(\$65,959,212)
2029	(\$8,175,292)	(\$9,748,672)	(\$24,481,067)	(\$29,174,924)	(\$71,579,955)
2030	(\$8,898,095)	(\$10,517,651)	(\$26,520,195)	(\$31,301,270)	(\$77,237,211)

***Total Bill Summary***

All the changes in this proposal will result in the following impact.

Table 8: Summary of GR Impact

Fiscal Year	No Longer Qualify	Renter		Homeowner		Total GR Loss
		Higher Income	Increased Credit	Higher Income	Increased Credit	
2026	\$798,801	(\$13,550,334)	(\$7,168,231)	(\$42,351,700)	(\$9,790,437)	(\$72,061,901)
2027	\$798,801	(\$14,789,746)	(\$7,628,920)	(\$45,904,716)	(\$10,370,539)	(\$77,895,120)
2028	\$798,801	(\$16,294,872)	(\$8,112,243)	(\$49,664,340)	(\$10,970,702)	(\$84,243,356)
2029	\$798,801	(\$17,923,964)	(\$8,594,934)	(\$53,655,991)	(\$11,571,611)	(\$90,947,699)
2030	\$798,801	(\$19,415,746)	(\$9,076,056)	(\$57,821,465)	(\$12,186,027)	(\$97,700,493)

This will require website changes, form changes (\$2,200) and changes to the department's individual income tax computer systems (\$7,327). These changes will need to occur each year, and therefore are estimated to cost \$9,527 annually.

**Oversight** will note the redemptions could be substantially lower or exceed the estimates provided by DOR each year thereafter depending on the increase or decrease in homeowners and renters applying for the tax credit, future CPI adjustments, or home versus rent pattern behaviors in the markets.

**Oversight** will note the DOR estimated impact in the fiscal note.

**Oversight** notes that this proposal will only impact state revenues, therefore, Oversight will reflect zero impact to the local political subdivisions in the fiscal note.

### **Section 137.1050 – Property Tax Credit**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this is handled by the county assessors and the State Tax Commission and therefore will not impact DOR.

**Oversight** notes under current law, if in any tax year after the eligible taxpayer's initial credit year the taxpayer's real property tax liability is lower than such liability in the initial credit year, such tax year shall be considered the taxpayer's new initial credit year for all subsequent tax years.

This provision clarifies that the taxpayer's initial credit year for the calculation of the Homestead property tax credit shall not change if the taxpayer's property tax liability is less than his/her tax liability in the initial credit year due to a levy adjustment made pursuant to 321.905.

Additionally, **Oversight** notes that current law authorizes ambulance and fire protection districts in certain counties to propose a sales tax at a rate of up to 0.5%. This act allows such districts to propose a sales tax of up to 1.0% and repeals a prohibition on certain counties imposing such tax. (Section 321.552)

**Oversight** assumes this proposal adds clarifying language for implementation measures for the property tax credit established in SB 190 (2023).

**Oversight** notes this credit is optional and a county must submit the proposal to voters or pass a county ordinance in order to participate.

### **Section 143.121 - MO Adjusted Gross Income Subtraction**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note starting January 1, 2025, this proposal would allow an individual taxpayer, to subtract from their Federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) any amount reported as capital gains for determining their Missouri adjusted gross income (MAGI). DOR notes this proposal would become effective on August 28, 2025, in the middle of the 2025 tax year. DOR notes that these changes will begin January 1, 2025, however, they will not impact state revenue until the first tax returns are filed in January 2026 (FY 2026). DOR also notes this would limit the amount of time taxpayers have to adjust their withholdings for the tax year.

Then starting January 1<sup>st</sup> of the year after the individual income tax rate is adjusted to 4.5% per section 143.011, this subtraction would expand to all entities filing taxes under Section 143.071. Therefore, this would allow corporations to claim the capital gains subtraction.

All sources of income are reported on the federal return and only the total income amount (FAGI number) is reported on the Missouri return. DOR used its internal Income Tax Model that contains confidential taxpayer data from both the federal and state tax return to calculate the fiscal impact of this proposal to individuals.

DOR notes that subtractions do not reduce revenues on a dollar-for-dollar basis, but rather in proportion to the top tax rate applied. SB 3 adopted in 2022, lowers the individual income tax rate over a period of years based on certain revenue triggers. The individual income tax rate for tax year 2025 is 4.7%. For fiscal note purposes only, and based on the current consensus revenue estimates, DOR will show the next reduction of the individual income tax rate occurring in consecutive years starting in tax year 2028.

#### Individual Income Tax

Tax Year	Amount
2025	(\$111,051,234.18)
2026	(\$111,035,453.09)
2027	(\$111,028,327.15)
2028	(\$108,683,825.07)
2029	(\$106,287,765.44)

Based on collection data, DOR knows that 42% of all individual income tax is received in the first fiscal year and 58% is received in the second year. Therefore, DOR would expect to see a loss to general revenue per fiscal year as follows:

**Individual Income Tax**

Fiscal Year	Loss to GR
2026*	(\$157,686,124.47)
2027	(\$111,032,460.20)
2028	(\$110,043,636.28)
2029	(\$107,677,480.02)
2030	(\$106,287,765.44)

\*FY26 will be impacted by 100% of the subtraction for tax year 2025 and a portion of the subtraction for tax year 2026.

Additionally, this proposal allows corporations to subtract their capital gains for determining MAGI after the individual income tax rate reaches 4.5%. DOR used its internal Income Tax Model that contains confidential taxpayer data from both the federal and state tax returns to calculate the fiscal impact of this proposal to corporations. DOR notes the data was only able to pull information from the electronically filed returns and not those filed on paper. Therefore, DOR notes the actual impact will be larger than estimated.

For fiscal note purposes, DOR will show the corporate portion becoming effective starting in tax year 2029 per SB 3. Corporate taxpayers pay declaration payments on capital gains four times a year in anticipation of their final tax liability. Corporate declarations are due in April, June, September, and December. Based on this, DOR will reflect the impact of tax year 2029 starting in FY 29.

Based on actual collections data, DOR notes that 45% of corporate income taxes are paid during fiscal year 1 and 55% are paid during fiscal year 2. This will result in a loss to general revenue of greater than \$183,626,879 in FY 2029 and of greater than \$126,639,225 in FY 30 and beyond.

**Summary**

This proposal is estimated to impact general revenue by:

Table 3: Impact Summary

Fiscal Year	Individual Income	Corporate Income	Total
FY26*	(\$157,686,124)	\$0	could exceed (\$157,686,124)
FY27	(\$111,032,460)	\$0	could exceed (\$111,032,460)
FY28	(\$110,043,636)	\$0	could exceed (\$110,043,636)
FY29	(\$107,677,480)	could exceed (\$126,639,225)	could exceed (\$234,316,705)
FY30	(\$106,287,765)	could exceed (\$126,639,225)	could exceed (\$232,926,990)

\*FY26 will be impacted by 100% of the subtraction for tax year 2025 and a portion of the subtraction for tax year 2026 for the individual income tax.

This proposal will require DOR to modify the department’s MO-A and MO-1040 forms at a cost of \$14,654 (\$7,327 apiece), its website at a cost of \$2,200 and its individual income tax computer programming system at a cost of \$3,664. These items are estimated to cost \$20,518.

**Oversight** notes that according to the latest IRS [data](#) available for TY 2022, the Summary statistical tables for Missouri AGI shows the Net Capital Gain for all taxpayers in Missouri was \$13,311,914,000. Therefore, taking the 4.7% top rate would yield \$625,659,958 for FY 2026.

**Oversight** notes the following estimated fiscal impact assuming \$13,311,914,000 in capital gains remittance by fiscal year:

Tax Year	Fiscal Year	Current Rate	Proposed Rate	Capital Gains Subtraction
2025	2026	4.70%		(\$625,659,958)
2026	2027	4.70%	4.7%	(\$625,659,958)
2027	2028	4.70%	4.7%	(\$625,659,958)
2028	2029	4.60%	4.6%	(\$612,348,044)
2029	2030	4.50%	4.4%	(\$585,724,216)
2030	2031	4.50%	4.2%	(\$559,100,388)
2031	2032	4.50%	4.0%	(\$532,476,560)
2032	2033	4.50%	3.9%	(\$519,164,646)
2033	2034	4.50%	3.8%	(\$505,852,732)
2034	2035	4.50%	3.7%	(\$492,540,818)
2035	2036	4.50%	3.6%	(\$479,228,904)
2036	2037	4.50%	3.5%	(\$465,916,990)

2037	2038	4.50%	3.4%	(\$452,605,076)
------	------	-------	------	-----------------

**Oversight** notes the SOI data is based on TY 2022 remittance; therefore, the actual fiscal impact could be greater than or less than the amounts in the table above.

**Oversight** notes that it does not currently have the resources and/or access to state tax data to produce a thorough independent revenue estimate and is unable to verify the revenue estimates provided by DOR. Oversight notes, the only publicly available state level data on income including capital gains is from the IRS Statistics on Income (SOI). In previous years, Oversight has attempted to benchmark DOR estimates using SOI data. However, these estimates have been inconsistent with estimates provided by DOR. For informational purposes, Oversight has included an estimate of the capital gains deductions using the SOI data. However, without access to state income tax data, Oversight is strictly reliant on DOR for income tax changes analyses.

Therefore, **Oversight** will show DOR’s estimated impacts in the fiscal note.

**Oversight** has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that DOR has or on information regarding a similar bill(s). Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note.

**Section 144.029 - Sales Tax Exemptions**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note the following:

*Diapers*

Beginning August 28, 2025, the tax levied and imposed under Chapter 144 (Section 144.030.2(47)) on all retail sales of kid’s diapers and adult diapers shall be exempt from taxation. This exemption extends to the local sales tax rate as well as the state sales tax rate. The current state sales tax rate of is 4.225%. The current state tax rate is distributed as:

General Revenue is	3%	
School District Trust Fund is	1%	(Section 144.701)
Conservation Commission Fund is	.125%	(Article IV, Section 43(a))
Parks, Soil & Water Funds	.1%	(Article IV, Section 47(a))

In an effort to more accurately reflect the estimated local impact, B&P and DOR have moved from a population weighted average local sales tax rate to a location weighted average local sales tax rate. This change was made to reflect where sales actually occur, rather than exclusively where people live. For fiscal note purposes, the local sales tax rate will be 4.46%.

*Kid Diapers*

The Department notes that the average child wears diapers for three years before becoming fully toilet trained. DOR found the price of diapers vary from \$0.16 per diaper for generics to \$0.60

for name brand. Prices of diapers also depend on the size of the diaper. Estimates by various children’s organizations indicate that an infant in the first year of life goes through 2,500 diapers. The next two years as toddlers they go through 1,500 diapers annually.

Wearing Diaper	How Many	Low Price per Diaper	High Price per Diaper	Total Cost Low	Total Cost High
First Year (Size 1)	2,500	0.16	0.31	400	775
Second Year (Size 3)	1,500	0.18	0.38	270	570
Third Year (Size 5)	1,500	0.29	0.60	435	900

Based on information from the MO Dept of Health & Senior Services, the average number of children born in the last three years, is 69,167. Given that 3 years’ worth of children are wearing diapers in any one year (1 set of infants and 2 sets of toddlers) DOR estimates the following:

Births Annually	69,167
# of kids in Diapers Annually	207,500
# of Diapers Annually	
infant	172,916,667
toddler (2yrs)	207,500,000
total (kids * diapers)	380,416,667

Since this would start on August 28, 2025, and sales tax is remitted one month behind collection, this would result in 9 months collected in FY 2026. This would result in a loss to the state sales tax funds and local funds as follows:

State Funds	FY 2026 (9 months)		FY 2027+	
	Low	High	Low	High
General Revenue	(\$1,719,656)	(\$3,493,781)	(\$2,292,875)	(\$4,658,375)
School Districts	(\$573,219)	(\$1,164,594)	(\$764,292)	(\$1,552,792)
Conservation	(\$70,219)	(\$142,663)	(\$93,626)	(\$190,217)
Park, Soil & Water	(\$57,322)	(\$116,459)	(\$76,429)	(\$155,279)
Local Funds	(\$2,556,556)	(\$5,194,088)	(\$3,408,741)	(\$6,925,451)

Adult Diapers

Approximately one third of adults aged 65 and older have moderate to severe urinary incontinence and 6 percent had moderate to severe bowl incontinence. According to the United State Census Bureau 2020 population report, 1,077,757 individuals residing in Missouri were 65

or over. The Department notes that it is estimated that people with minor to moderate incontinence wear approximately 4 diapers per day while those with those with full urinary or fecal incontinence wear 6 diapers per day. The Department estimates that approximately 290,994 individuals aged 65 and over would utilize the four adult urinary incontinence diapers while 64,665 would wear 6 adult diapers daily.

The average cost for urinary incontinence diapers is \$1.33 per diaper.

Number of people	# of Diapers	Days per year	Total Diapers per person	Price per diaper	Total Sales
290,994	4	365	1460	1.33	565,052,907
64,665	6	365	2190	1.33	188,350,969
					753,403,875

Since this would start on August 28, 2025, and sales tax is remitted one month behind implementation, this would result in 9 months collected in FY 2026. This would result in a loss to the state sales tax funds and local funds as follows:

State Funds	FY 2026 (9 months)	FY 2027+
General Revenue	(\$16,951,587)	(\$22,602,116)
School Districts	(\$5,650,529)	(\$7,534,039)
Conservation	(\$706,316)	(\$941,755)
Park, Soil & Water	(\$565,053)	(\$753,404)
Local Funds	(\$25,201,360)	(\$33,601,813)

Feminine Hygiene

Information from numerous sources indicates that a woman menstruates 500 times in her lifetime, usually between the ages of 12-51. The average length of a period is 3-7 days. Sources indicate that a woman uses the following:

	Number per cycle	Number per year	Number in Box	Boxes per year
Tampons	20	260	36	7.22
Pads/Panty Liners	5	65	36	1.81

Note a woman has 13 cycles a year (28 day cycle)/352 days a year.

The price per tampons and pads vary. DOR used a low and high price when determining the fiscal impact.

	Price Low	Price High	Total Cost Low	Total Cost High
Tampons	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$50.56	\$72.22
Pads/Panty Liners	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$12.64	\$18.06
			\$63.19	\$90.28

Using information from the US Census Bureau (2020), DOR calculated the number of women having a period in Missouri (those between 12-51) as 1,555,626.

	Total Cost Low	Total Cost High
Total estimated cost per year	\$98,306,921	\$140,438,458

This proposal begins August 28, 2025 (FY 2026). Sales tax is remitted one month behind collection and therefore, this will impact state and local revenue for only 9 months in FY 2026.

	Tax Rate	FY 2026 (9 months)		FY 2027 +	
		Low	High	Low	High
TSR	4.225%	(\$3,115,101)	(\$4,450,144)	(\$4,153,467)	(\$5,933,525)
General Revenue	3.00%	(\$2,211,906)	(\$3,159,865)	(\$2,949,208)	(\$4,213,154)
School	1.00%	(\$737,302)	(\$1,053,288)	(\$983,069)	(\$1,404,385)
Conservation	0.125%	(\$92,163)	(\$146,290)	(\$122,884)	(\$175,548)
Park, Soil & Water	0.100%	(\$73,730)	(\$105,329)	(\$98,307)	(\$140,438)
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Locals	4.46%	(\$3,288,367)	(\$4,697,666)	(\$4,384,489)	(\$6,263,555)

Summary of Sales Tax Exemptions

This proposal will result in the need for DOR to do one time computer programming changes (\$1,832) and form changes (\$2,200). Therefore, this is estimated to cost \$4,032. Notification through various means will have to occur to notify the vendors to stop collecting tax on diapers.

This will reduce state and local revenues by the following:

State Funds	FY 2026 (9 months)		FY 2027+	
	Low	High	Low	High
General Revenue	(\$20,883,149)	(\$23,605,234)	(\$27,844,199)	(\$31,473,645)
School Districts	(\$6,961,050)	(\$7,868,411)	(\$9,281,400)	(\$10,491,215)

Conservation	(\$868,698)	(\$980,640)	(\$1,158,264)	(\$1,307,520)
Park, Soil & Water	(\$696,105)	(\$786,841)	(\$928,140)	(\$1,049,122)
Local Funds	(\$31,046,282)	(\$35,093,114)	(\$41,395,042)	(\$46,790,819)

These changes will require DOR modify its forms (\$2,200) its website and its computer programming (\$1,832).

**Oversight** notes officials from DOR have conducted independent research and assume the proposal will have a direct fiscal impact on state revenues. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a fiscal impact that could exceed DOR’s estimated impacts in the fiscal note.

Lastly, **Oversight** notes this proposal includes a sales tax exemption for incontinence products “designed specifically for hygiene matters related to urinary incontinence”. Oversight assumes there could be additional exempt items aside from the diapers included in the estimates provided by DOR and B&P. Therefore, **Oversight** will show an unknown loss of sales tax revenue for the sales tax exemption of “incontinence products” for state and local funds beginning in FY 2026.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume Section 144.029 of the proposed legislation that exempts diapers and feminine hygiene products from the city's sales tax has a negative fiscal impact of \$6.7M based a negative fiscal impact of \$1.675 million for the Kansas City Missouri Police Department.

Officials from the **City of O’Fallon** assume this would cost the City around \$162,880 annually for the sale tax exemptions of hygiene products.

**Section 144.812 - Broadband Sales Tax Exemption**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this will provide a sales tax exemption for all machinery and equipment used to provide broadband communication services. DOR is unable to determine how much of the product outlined in this proposal is sold annually in Missouri.

DOR, however, can find data on the amount of federal grants received by broadband providers. Below is a chart of the federal grants received in Missouri and split between labor costs and estimated equipment costs. Based on additional research DOR knows that about 60% of broadband production costs is labor. Therefore, DOR assumes the grants are split as follows:

Table 1: Estimated Broadband Grants

Tax Year	Federal Grants	Est. Labor	Est. Equipment
2019	\$30,301,421	\$18,180,853	\$12,120,568

2020	\$137,577,527	\$82,546,516	\$55,031,011
2021	\$39,629,766	\$23,777,860	\$15,851,906
2022	\$94,975,079	\$56,985,047	\$37,990,032
2023	\$364,851,264	\$218,910,758	\$145,940,506
2024	\$457,790,308	\$274,674,185	\$183,116,123
2025	\$421,776,466	\$253,065,880	\$168,710,586
2026	\$395,565,663	\$237,339,398	\$158,226,265

DOR notes that these grants are given to install and create a broadband network. It should be noted that these networks will take ongoing maintenance in future years. Therefore, any impact projected estimate could be exceeded.

DOR notes that the amount of funding spent on broadband in the future is unknown. As shown above the amount of funding can vary widely year over year. For the fiscal note, DOR will show the impact for each year as the lowest year (2019) to the highest year (2024) of grants received in Missouri.

The exemption will begin on January 1, 2026. Using the data above DOR estimates the impact as follows:

Estimated Sales Tax Loss by Tax Year

		TY 2026 +	
		Low	High
	Est. Investment	\$12,120,568	\$183,116,123
General Revenue	3%	(\$363,617)	(\$5,493,484)
School District	1%	(\$121,206)	(\$1,831,161)
Conservation Park, Soil & Water	0.125%	(\$15,151)	(\$228,895)
TSR	0.10%	(\$12,121)	(\$183,116)
Local Sales Tax	4.46%	(\$512,095)	(\$7,736,656)
		(\$540,577)	(\$8,166,979)

It should be noted that sales tax is remitted one month behind collection and therefore this will impact state revenue for only 5 months in FY 2026. When converting from tax year to fiscal year DOR uses a 50% in the first year and 50% in the second fiscal year.

Estimated Sales Tax Loss by Fiscal Year

State Funds	FY 2026 (5 months)		FY 2027+	
	Low	High	Low	High
General Revenue	(\$151,507)	(\$2,288,952)	(\$363,617)	(\$5,493,484)
School District	(\$50,503)	(\$762,984)	(\$121,206)	(\$1,831,161)
Conservation	(\$6,313)	(\$95,373)	(\$15,151)	(\$228,895)
Park, Soil & Water	(\$5,050)	(\$76,298)	(\$12,121)	(\$183,116)
<b>Total State Revenues</b>	<b>(\$213,373)</b>	<b>(\$3,223,607)</b>	<b>(\$512,095)</b>	<b>(\$7,736,656)</b>
<u>Local Funds</u>				
Local Sales Tax	(\$225,240)	(\$3,402,908)	(\$540,577)	(\$8,166,979)

This will require DOR to update the department’s forms (\$2,200), its website and its computer programs (\$7,327).

**Oversight** notes the DOR requests one-time cost for website tax changes and updates to comply with the proposed language; however, Oversight notes that DOR receives appropriation for routine website updates and will not show those costs in the fiscal note.

**Oversight** notes using data provided by the Department of Revenue, the average amount of federal grants used on the purchase of equipment from 2023-2025 was \$165,919,538. For purposes of this fiscal note, Oversight assumes this proposal could exempt at least \$165,919,538 in taxable sales each year. Oversight notes the following estimated impact by fund/fiscal year:

Fund	FY 2026 (5 months)	FY 2027+
General Revenue	(\$2,073,994)	(\$4,977,586)
School District Trust Fund	(\$691,331)	(\$1,659,195)
Conservation	(\$86,416)	(\$207,399)
Parks & Soils	(\$69,133)	(\$165,920)
<b>Locals</b>	<b>(\$3,083,338)</b>	<b>(\$7,400,011)</b>

**Oversight** is unable to determine the amount of qualifying items sold in the future, therefore, Oversight will show a fiscal impact that could exceed the figures estimated above.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume Section 144.812 of the proposed legislation has a negative fiscal impact of an indeterminate amount.

Officials from the **City of O’Fallon** note without knowing what the exemption on broadband machinery and equipment sales tax and how much is spent in O’Fallon, the city would estimate possible around or under \$25,000 per year.

### **Section 321.552 & 321.554 & 321.556 - Sales Tax for Fire & Ambulance Districts**

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this proposal would allow any governing body of an ambulance or fire protection district to impose a sales tax in an amount up to one percent on all retail sales made in such district. Previously the cap was at one-half of one percent. This proposal would not allow the districts in Clay, Greene, Jackson, Jefferson, St. Charles County, and St. Louis City to increase their rates. In order to increase their sales tax, the district would be required to hold an election and notify the Department of the increase. The first available election would be April 2026, so the tax would not be collected until October 2026 (FY 2027) and remitted starting November 2026.

Currently there are districts that have a sales tax that varies from 0.375% to 0.5%. Using information on the amount of sales tax DOR collects from these districts, DOR calculated how much additional revenue would be raised by the districts if **all** raised their sales tax to the maximum 1% allowed by this proposal. DOR notes that DOR is able to retain 1% of all sales tax collected as reimbursement of the department's collection costs out of this revenue. DOR's 1% collection fee is deposited into general revenue.

If all eligible political subdivisions increased their fee to the 1% it would result in an additional \$134,347,107 in sales tax revenue. Additionally, general revenue would retain an additional \$1,366,787.

This will not have a fiscal impact on the Department to administer unless a political subdivision increases their sales tax rate. At that time, it would cost \$1,832 to update the department's computer system per political subdivision rate change.

**Oversight** does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by DOR for the potential increase in revenue from the 1% administration fee and the cost for computer updates to DOR's system for each political subdivision. Oversight notes in order for the updates to DOR's computer system to reach the \$250,000 threshold, 34 (\$250,000/\$7,327) political subdivisions would need to adopt a new sales tax rate. Those cost would also be offset by the 1% administration fee. Oversight does not have enough information at this time to determine the amount of revenue that would be generated from these political subdivisions if a new sales tax on emergency services is adopted. Therefore, until more information is available, Oversight will assume the unknown impact to GR will not meet the \$250,000 threshold.

**Oversight** is unclear how many additional governing bodies of these counties would increase their sales tax. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 (no sales tax adopted) or unknown revenue to these LPS for this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Kansas City Election Board** state that depending on when the election is held, costs could range up to \$800,000. The state would pay their pro-rata share based on registered voters.

**Oversight** assumes the timing for an election to adopt a sales tax for emergency services would take place during a regular election cycle (April or November) to streamline any election costs that would be impacted. Therefore, Oversight will assume no direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

### **Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole**

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume an unknown fiscal impact. The Conservation Sales Tax funds are derived from one-eighth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to Article IV Section 43 (a) of the Missouri Constitution. The Department defers to the Department of Revenue as it is responsible for tax collection and would be better able to estimate the anticipated fiscal impact that would result from this proposal.

**Oversight** notes that the Conservation Sales Tax funds are derived from one-eighth of one percent sales and use tax of the Missouri Constitution, thus MDC's sales taxes are constitutional mandates. Oversight assumes the proposed sales tax exemption(s) may decrease the amount of sales tax revenue distributed to this fund. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's fiscal impact estimates for MDC's funds.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** notes the Park, Soil, and Water Sales Tax funds are derived from the one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to Article IV Section 47 (a) thus DNR's sales taxes are constitutional mandates. Oversight assumes the proposed sales tax exemption(s) may decrease the amount of sales tax revenue distributed to this fund. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's fiscal impact estimates for DNR's funds.

Officials from the **Kansas City Election Board, Platte County Board of Elections, Branson Police Dept, Kansas City Police Dept., St. Louis County Police Dept** and the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<b>GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>				
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §§67.547 & 67.582 - DOR 1% Collection Fee, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (4-5)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection Fee - Joplin, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (6)	\$0	\$0 or up to \$61,755	\$0 or up to \$94,485	\$0 or up to \$94,485
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection Fee - Hannibal, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (7)	\$0	\$0 or up to \$14,449	\$0 or up to \$22,108	\$0 or up to \$22,108
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection Fee - Sikeston, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (7)	\$0	\$0 or up to \$14,358	\$0 or up to \$21,967	\$0 or up to \$21,967
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection Fee - Moberly, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (8-9)	\$0	\$0 or up to \$10,921	\$0 or up to \$16,710	\$0 or up to \$16,710
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection	\$0	\$0 or up to \$1,950	\$0 or up to \$2,984	\$0 or up to \$2,984

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
Fee - Sunrise Beach, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (9-10)				
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §94.900 - DOR 1% Collection Fee - Nevada, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (11)		\$0 or up to \$7,596	\$0 or up to \$11,622	\$0 or up to \$11,622
<u>Potential Cost</u> - §94.900 - DOR - System updates, sales/use map changes, and website updates, <b>if</b> approved by voters	\$0	\$0 or up to (\$43,968)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost</u> – Property Tax Credit – §135.010, §135.025 & §135.030 – p. (27)	More or Less than (\$72,061,901)	More or Less than (\$77,895,120)	More or Less than (\$84,243,356)	More or Less than (\$97,700,493)
<u>Cost</u> - §143.121 - DOR - Income Tax Subtraction for Capital Gains - p. (30)	(\$20,518)	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §143.121 - Individual Income Tax Subtraction for Capital Gains - p. (30)	(\$157,686,124*)	(\$111,032,460)	(\$110,043,636)	(\$106,287,765)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §143.121 - Corporate Income Tax Deduction for Capital Gains - p. (30)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$126,639,225)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on child diapers - p. (32)	Could Exceed (\$1,719,656)	Could Exceed (\$2,292,875)	Could Exceed (\$2,292,875)	Could Exceed (\$2,292,875)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult diapers - p. (33)	Could Exceed (\$16,951,587)	Could Exceed (\$22,602,116)	Could Exceed (\$22,602,116)	Could Exceed (\$22,602,116)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult incontinent products - p. (35)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on feminine hygiene products - p. (34)	Could Exceed (\$2,211,906)	Could Exceed (\$2,949,208)	Could Exceed (\$2,949,208)	Could Exceed (\$2,949,208)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.812 - Sales & Use Tax Exemption for Certain Broadband Equipment - p. (37)	Could exceed (\$2,073,994)	Could exceed (\$4,977,586)	Could exceed (\$4,977,586)	Could exceed (\$4,977,586)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §321.552 - DOR - potential collection of 1% administration fee on the adoption of a sales tax for emergency services, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (38)	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Cost – DOR –</u> updates to computer system per local political subdivision’s rate change	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$252,725,686)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$221,682,304)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$226,938,901)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$265,578,899)</b>
<b>SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND</b>				
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on child diapers - p. (32)	Could Exceed (573,219)	Could Exceed (764,292)	Could Exceed (764,292)	Could Exceed (764,292)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult diapers - p. (33)	Could Exceed (\$5,650,529)	Could Exceed (\$7,534,039)	Could Exceed (\$7,534,039)	Could Exceed (\$7,534,039)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult incontinent products - p. (35)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on feminine hygiene products - p. (34)	Could Exceed (\$737,302)	Could Exceed (\$983,069)	Could Exceed (\$983,069)	Could Exceed (\$983,069)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.812 - Sales & Use Tax Exemption for Certain Broadband Equipment - p. (37)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$691,331)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$1,659,195)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$1,659,195)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$1,659,195)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND</b>	<b><u>Could exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$7,652,381)</u></b>	<b><u>Could exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$10,940,595)</u></b>	<b><u>Could exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$10,940,595)</u></b>	<b><u>Could exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$10,940,595)</u></b>
<b>PARKS AND SOILS STATE SALES TAX FUNDS</b>				
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on child diapers - p. (32)	Could Exceed (\$57,322)	Could Exceed (\$76,429)	Could Exceed (\$76,429)	Could Exceed (\$76,429)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult diapers - p. (33)	Could Exceed (\$565,053)	Could Exceed (\$753,404)	Could Exceed (\$753,404)	Could Exceed (\$753,404)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult incontinent products - p. (35)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on feminine hygiene products - p. (34)	Could Exceed (\$73,730)	Could Exceed (\$98,307)	Could Exceed (\$98,307)	Could Exceed (\$98,307)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.812 - Sales & Use Tax Exemption for Certain Broadband Equipment - p. (37)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$69,133)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$165,920)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$165,920)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$165,920)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PARKS AND SOILS STATE SALES TAX FUNDS</b>	<b><u>Could Exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$765,238)</u></b>	<b><u>Could Exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$1,094,060)</u></b>	<b><u>Could Exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$1,094,060)</u></b>	<b><u>Could Exceed</u></b> <b><u>(\$1,094,060)</u></b>
<b>CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND</b>				
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on child diapers - p. (32)	Could Exceed (\$70,219)	Could Exceed (\$93,626)	Could Exceed (\$93,626)	Could Exceed (\$93,626)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult diapers - p. (33)	Could Exceed (\$706,316)	Could Exceed (\$941,755)	Could Exceed (\$941,755)	Could Exceed (\$941,755)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on adult incontinent products - p. (35)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.029 Exemption on feminine hygiene products - p. (34)	Could Exceed (\$92,163)	Could Exceed (\$122,884)	Could Exceed (\$122,884)	Could Exceed (\$122,884)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> - §144.812 - Sales & Use Tax Exemption for Certain Broadband Equipment - p. (37)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$86,416)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$207,399)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$207,399)	<u>Could exceed</u> (\$207,399)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND</b>	<b>Could Exceed</b> <b>(\$955,114)</b>	<b>Could Exceed</b> <b>(\$1,365,664)</b>	<b>Could Exceed</b> <b>(\$1,365,664)</b>	<b>Could Exceed</b> <b>(\$1,365,664)</b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – Local Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<b>LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>				
<u>Potential Revenue Gain</u> - §67.547 - County Sales Tax Rate Limit Increase, <b>if</b>	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – <u>Local</u> <u>Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
approved by voters - p. (4)				
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain</u> - §67.582 - Law Enforcement County Sales Tax Rate Limit Increase, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (5)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Revenue</u> – §67.1366 – City of Independence- Potential income from transient guest tax, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (5)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain</u> - §67. 1367- Ste. Genevieve County - Potential income from transient guest tax, <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (6)	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain</u> - §67.1367 - Perry County - Potential income from transient guest tax, <b>if</b> approved by voters p. (6)	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> <u>- Local</u> <u>Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Joplin, if approved</u> <u>by voters - p. (6)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$6,113,733	\$0 or up to \$9,354,011	\$0 or up to \$9,354,011
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Hannibal, if</u> <u>approved by voters</u> <u>- p. (8)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$1,430,489	\$0 or up to \$2,188,649	\$0 or up to \$2,188,649
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Sikeston, if</u> <u>approved by voters</u> <u>- p. (8)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$1,421,410	\$0 or up to \$2,174,757	\$0 or up to \$2,174,757
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Moberly, if</u> <u>approved by voters</u> <u>- p. (9)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$1,081,226	\$0 or up to \$1,654,277	\$0 or up to \$1,654,277
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Sunrise Beach, if</u> <u>approved by voters</u> <u>- p. (10)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$193,088	\$0 or up to \$295,424	\$0 or up to \$295,424

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> <u>- Local</u> <u>Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Potential Revenue</u> <u>Gain - §94.900 -</u> <u>DOR 1%</u> <u>Collection Fee -</u> <u>Nevada, if</u> <u>approved by voters</u> <u>- p. (11)</u>	\$0	\$0 or up to \$752,017	\$0 or up to \$1,150,586	\$0 or up to \$1,150,586
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> <u>- §144.029</u> <u>Exemption on child</u> <u>diapers - p. (32)</u>	Could exceed (\$2,556,556)	Could exceed (\$3,408,741)	Could exceed (\$3,408,741)	Could exceed (\$3,408,741)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> <u>- §144.029</u> <u>Exemption on adult</u> <u>diapers - p. (33)</u>	Could exceed (\$25,201,360)	Could exceed (\$33,601,813)	Could exceed (\$33,601,813)	Could exceed (\$33,601,813)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> <u>- §144.029</u> <u>Exemption on adult</u> <u>incontinent</u> <u>products - p. (35)</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> <u>- §144.029</u> <u>Exemption on</u> <u>feminine hygiene</u> <u>products - p. (34)</u>	Could exceed (\$3,288,367)	Could exceed (\$4,384,489)	Could exceed (\$4,384,489)	Could exceed (\$4,384,489)
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> <u>- §144.812 - Sales</u> <u>&amp; Use Tax</u> <u>Exemption for</u> <u>Certain Broadband</u> <u>Equipment - p. (37)</u>	Could exceed (\$3,083,338)	Could exceed (\$7,400,011)	Could exceed (\$7,400,011)	Could exceed (\$7,400,011)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> <u>- Local</u> <u>Government</u>	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully Implemented (FY 2030)
<u>Potential Revenue -</u> §321.552 - Revenue on new sales taxes adopted for emergency services <b>if</b> approved by voters - p. (38)	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$34,129,621)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$37,803,091)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$31,977,350)</b>	<b>More or Less than (\$31,977,350)</b>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Certain small businesses that sell diapers, incontinence products, feminine hygiene products, and/or certain broadband equipment could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

LAW ENFORCEMENT SALES TAXES

Current law limits the aggregate amount of sales tax levied by a county pursuant to the County Sales Tax Act to 1%. This act increases such limit to 1.5% for Ozark County, provided that any tax in excess of 1% is levied for the purpose of providing law enforcement services, and provides that any sales tax levy approved during the November 8, 2022, general election shall be deemed to be in compliance with state law if the aggregate amount of sales tax levied pursuant to the County Sales Tax Act is not in excess of 1.5%. (Section 67.547)

Current law authorizes certain counties to levy a sales tax for the purpose of providing law enforcement services to such county, with the rate not to exceed 0.5%. This act authorizes such levy not to exceed 1%. (Section 67.582)

TRANSIENT GUEST TAXES

Current law authorizes certain cities to impose a transient guest tax for the purpose of funding the promotion, operation, and development of tourism. This act also allows the proceeds from such tax to be used for the operating costs of a community center. (Section 67.1366)

This act adds the counties of Ste. Genevieve and Perry to the list of counties authorized to impose a transient guest tax for the promotion of tourism. (Section 67.1367)

#### PUBLIC SAFETY SALES TAXES

This act adds the village of Sunrise Beach and the cities of Hannibal, Moberly, Nevada, and Joplin to the list of cities authorized to impose a sales tax for the purposes of public safety. (Section 94.900)

#### SENIOR CITIZENS PROPERTY TAX CREDIT

Current law authorizes an income tax credit for certain senior citizens and disabled veterans in amount equal to a portion of such taxpayer's property tax liabilities, with the amount of the credit dependent on the taxpayer's income and property tax liability. This act modifies the definition of "income" to increase the amount deducted from Missouri adjusted gross income from \$2,000 to \$2,800, or, for claimants who owned and occupied the residence for the entire year, such amount is increased from \$4,000 to \$5,800. (Section 135.010)

The maximum allowable credit under current law is limited to \$750 in rent constituting property taxes actually paid or \$1,100 in actual property tax paid. This act increases such amounts to \$1,055 and \$1,550, respectively, and annually adjusts such maximum amounts for inflation. (Section 135.025)

Additionally, current law limits the tax credit to qualifying taxpayers with an income of \$27,500 or less, or \$30,000 in the case of a homestead owned and occupied by a claimant for the entire year. This act increases such maximum income to \$38,200 for claimants with a filing status of single, \$42,200 for claimants with a filing status of single and who owned and occupied a homestead for the entire year, \$41,000 for claimants with a filing status of married filing combined, and \$48,000 for claimants with a filing status of married filing combined and who owned and occupied a homestead for the entire year, and annually adjusts such amounts for inflation. (Section 135.030)

#### CAPITAL GAINS DEDUCTION

For all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2025, this act authorizes an income tax deduction for one hundred percent of all income reported as a capital gain for federal income tax purposes by an individual subject to individual income tax.

For all tax years beginning on January 1 of the tax year immediately following the tax year in which the top rate of income tax is equal to or less than 4.5%, this act authorizes an income tax

deduction for one hundred percent of all income reported as a capital gain for federal income tax purposes by entities subject to corporate income tax. (Section 143.121)

#### HYGIENE PRODUCTS SALES TAX EXEMPTION

This act authorizes a state sales tax exemption for retail sales of diapers, feminine hygiene products, and incontinence products. (Section 144.029)

#### BROADBAND SALES TAX EXEMPTION

For all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2026, this act authorizes a state and local sales tax exemption for machinery and equipment used to provide broadband communications service by a broadband communications service provider, as such terms are defined in the act. (Section 144.812)

#### EMERGENCY SERVICES SALES TAXES

Current law authorizes counties to provide a credit for the property tax liabilities of certain seniors. This act provides that the calculation of such credit shall not include any reduction in emergency services property tax levies. (Section 137.1050)

Current law authorizes ambulance and fire protection districts in certain counties to propose a sales tax at a rate of up to 0.5%. This act allows such districts to propose a sales tax of up to 1.0%, and repeals a prohibition on certain counties imposing such tax. (Section 321.552)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
Missouri Department of Conservation  
Department of Natural Resources  
City of Kansas City  
City of O'Fallon  
Kansas City Election Board  
Platte County Board of Elections  
Branson Police Dept  
Kansas City Police Dept.

L.R. No. 1683S.04T

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS#2 for HCS for HBs 594 & 508

Page **53** of **53**

June 23, 2025

St. Louis County Police Dept

St. Louis County Board of Elections



Julie Morff

Director

June 23, 2025



Jessica Harris

Assistant Director

June 23, 2025