COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1792H.03C

Bill No.: HCS for HB 828

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Income; Tax Credits; Taxation and Revenue - General;

Department of Revenue

Type: Original

Date: March 12, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to tax credits.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1792H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 828 Page **2** of **6** March 12, 2025

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act of at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1792H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 828 Page **3** of **6** March 12, 2025

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Section 143.121 MAGI Subtractions for Farmers

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume that this is clarifying language that is not expected to have any additional fiscal impact on DOR.

Officials from the **Office of Administration – Budget & Planning (B&P)** assume B&P's estimated cost for HB 202 / SB 138 was based on the definition of beginning farmer. B&P was unable then (and now) to separate sales by owner type. Therefore, the estimated costs reflected in the TAFP fiscal notes included all potential owners. This proposal will not impact TSR or the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e) beyond what was already estimated during the 2023 session.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

§§ 143.511 Individual Income Tax Filing Deadlines

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal would allow the filing deadline for the individual income tax returns to move when the federal government moves the deadline. Currently, Missouri tax returns are due on April 15th each year unless moved by the Governor Office. Sometimes, the federal filing deadline is moved to another day. DOR assumes no administrative impact from this change.

Officials from the **Office of Administration – Budget & Planning (B&P)** assumed this provision would allow the annual income tax due date to change from April 15th to the date required for federal income tax returns. B&P notes that there are many years where the federal annual due date falls after April 15th. B&P further notes that DOR already matches the Missouri income tax due date with the federal due date. Therefore, this provision will not impact state revenues.

Oversight notes that DOR and B&P both assume the provision will have no fiscal impact on their respective organization or overall state revenues. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this section.

§§ 143.512 - Certain Penalties or Interest

Officials from the Office of Administration – Budget & Planning (B&P) assume this proposal would waive any addition to tax, interest, and penalties on taxes due because of tax credits being apportioned, if the resulting tax due is paid within 60 days. B&P notes that this would only apply

L.R. No. 1792H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 828 Page **4** of **6** March 12, 2025

to tax credits that are apportioned among taxpayers, in the event that redemptions are greater than the amount allowed per statute or appropriation.

B&P notes that currently taxpayers are encouraged remit their full tax liability, calculated before a tax credit, in the event that their tax credit claim is denied. However, for those taxpayers that do not remit the full amount and end up with a tax due notice, interest and penalties are currently levied on the overdue amount.

Based on information provided by DOR, this provision could reduce TSR by an unknown, likely minimal, amount.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal would allow a taxpayer who attempts to claim a tax credit, that is denied from a lack of available funds, and that denial causes a balance due notice to be generated by DOR, to pay their balance due without paying a penalty or interest for sixty days. If the balance due is not paid within sixty days, the penalty and interest would still be owed.

DOR notes that tax credits can be denied for various reasons. Many of the state tax credit programs have caps that are first come first serve and taxpayers can be denied if their claim is filed later than others.

DOR has two tax credits that have caps and are apportioned credits. These credits allow people to apply and then the amount of the credit is adjusted downward should the number of credits claimed exceed the cap. Those two credits are the Champion for Children and Food Pantry tax credit programs.

DOR notes the Champion for Children tax credit program already grants DOR the authority to notify taxpayers of the apportionment of their credit and to adjust the credit downward. Additionally, the program also allows DOR to give those taxpayers a sixty-day grace period to make an additional payment should their account require it. This proposal would not impact the Champion for Children program.

DOR notes that the Food Pantry program does not allow for such a grace period. At this time, DOR would notify the taxpayer of the adjustment and then is required to assess penalties and interest. It appears this proposal attempts to allow DOR to give them a grace period. DOR assumes this would have minimal impact of less than \$10,000 annually.

Oversight notes that officials from the DOR and B&P both assume this section would have a minimal impact on the general revenue, if any.

Oversight is unsure of how many taxpayers previously receive notice of apportionment thus additional tax due. However, based on responses from DOR and B&P, Oversight will assume that the total amount of interest and penalties owed, less than \$10,000, is not material. Therefore, Oversight will not show an impact in the fiscal note.

L.R. No. 1792H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 828 Page **5** of **6** March 12, 2025

Officials from the **Oversight Division** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1792H.03C Bill No. HCS for HB 828 Page **6** of **6** March 12, 2025

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue Office of Administration – Budget & Planning Office of the Secretary of State Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Oversight Division

Julie Morff Director

March 12, 2025

Guie Morff

Jessica Harris Assistant Director March 12, 2025