# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 2284H.03P

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978

Subject: Crimes And Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Motor Vehicles

Type: Original

Date: March 12, 2025

Bill Summary: Establishes the offense of unlawful tracking of a motor vehicle.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                | FY 2026   | FY 2027    | FY 2028    |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Revenue                              | (\$8,737) | (\$21,389) | (\$21,817) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                   |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Effect on General</b>                     |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue                                      | (\$8,737) | (\$21,389) | (\$21,817) |  |  |  |  |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                             | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect on Other State                     |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funds                                     | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |  |  |  |  |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978

Page **2** of **7** March 12, 2025

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 2027 |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>            |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect on All Federal                 |     |     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funds                                 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Total Estimated Net</b>                         |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect on FTE                                      | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |  |  |  |  |

| Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in an      | y |
|---|---|
| of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act. |   |

| ☐ Estimated Net Effe  | ct (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,0   | 00 in any of |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| the three fiscal year | rs after implementation of the act or at full implementation of | f the act.   |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUND AFFECTED FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Government \$0 \$0              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978

Page **3** of **7** March 12, 2025

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§565.260 – Unlawful tracking of a motor vehicle

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state §565.260 provides that the offense of unlawful tracking of a motor vehicle is a class A misdemeanor for a first offense and a class E felony for any second or subsequent offense.

As misdemeanors fall outside the purview of DOC, there is no impact to DOC for the offense resulting in the class A misdemeanor. The offense resulting in a class E felony would be considered a new crime. As there is little direct data on which to base an estimate, the DOC estimates an impact comparable to the creation of a new class E felony.

For each new nonviolent class E felony, the DOC estimates one person could be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years could be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years could be on parole. Probation sentences could be 3 years.

The cumulative impact on the DOC is estimated to be 2 additional offenders in prison and 7 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2028.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class E Felony (nonviolent)

|                               | FY2026       | FY2027 | FY2028 | FY2029 | FY2030 | FY2031 | FY2032 | FY2033 | FY2034 | FY2035 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| New Admissions                |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Current Law                   | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| After Legislation             | 1            | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| Probation                     |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Current Law                   | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| After Legislation             | 2            | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      |
| Change (After Legislation     | - Current La | w)     |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Admissions                    | 1            | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| Probations                    | 2            | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      |
| <b>Cumulative Populations</b> |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Prison                        | 1            | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      |
| Parole                        |              |        | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| Probation                     | 2            | 4      | 6      | 6      | 6      | 6      | 6      | 6      | 6      | 6      |
| Impact                        |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Prison Population             | 1            | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      |
| Field Population              | 2            | 4      | 7      | 7      | 7      | 7      | 7      | 7      | 7      | 7      |
| Population Change             | 3            | 6      | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9      | 9      |

<sup>\*</sup> If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978

Page **4** of **7** March 12, 2025

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$28.73 per day or an annual cost of \$10,485 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$100.25 per day or an annual cost of \$36,591 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

If the incarcerated population impact of any one piece of legislation, or combined impact of multiple pieces of legislation, results in a prison population that exceeds the current physical capacity of 26,835, the state would need to construct additional capacity. Based on current construction projects in other Midwest states, the department estimates the cost of constructing a new 1,500-bed maximum security prison at approximately \$825 million to \$900 million.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

|         | # to<br>prison | Cost per year | Total Costs<br>for <b>prison</b> | Change in probation & parole officers | Total cost for probation and parole | # to<br>probation<br>& parole | Grand Total -<br>Prison and<br>Probation<br>(includes 2%<br>inflation) |
|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Year 1  | 1              | (\$10,485)    | (\$8,737)                        | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 2                             | (\$8,737)  |
| Year 2  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$21,389)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 4                             | (\$21,389)   |
| Year 3  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$21,817)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$21,817)   |
| Year 4  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$22,254)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$22,254)   |
| Year 5  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$22,699)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$22,699)   |
| Year 6  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$23,153)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$23,153)   |
| Year 7  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$23,616)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$23,616)   |
| Year 8  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$24,088)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$24,088)   |
| Year 9  | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$24,570)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$24,570)   |
| Year 10 | 2              | (\$10,485)    | (\$25,061)                       | 0                                     | \$0                                 | 7                             | (\$25,061)   |

L.R. No. 2284H.03P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978 Page **5** of **7** March 12, 2025

**Oversight** has no information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will present the fiscal impact of this proposal as provided by DOC.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** state per the National Public Defense Workload Study, the new charge contemplated by this change to §565.260 would take approximately thirty-five hours of SPD work for reasonably effective representation. If one hundred cases were filed under this section in a fiscal year, representation would result in a need for an additional one or two attorneys. Because the number of cases that will be filed under this statute is unknown, the exact additional number of attorneys necessary is unknown. Each case would also result in unknown increased costs in the need for core staff, travel and litigation expenses.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal will not create the number of new cases required to request additional FTE for the SPD and that the SPD can absorb the additional caseload required by this proposal with current staff and resources. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact to the SPD for fiscal note purposes. However, if multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties, the SPD may request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The enactment of a new crime (§565.260) creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors and the circuit attorney which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, the Department of Social Services, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Phelps County Sheriff, the Kansas City Police Department, Branson Police Department and the St. Louis County Police Department assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978

Page **6** of **7** March **12**, 2025

| FISCAL IMPACT – State Government  | FY 2026   | FY 2027    | FY 2028    |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|
| GENERAL REVENUE FUND  | (10 Mo.)  |            |            |
|   |           |            |            |
| Costs – DOC (§565.260) – increase in incarceration, probation and prole costs | (\$8,737) | (\$21,389) | (\$21,817) |
|   |           |            |            |
| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND                              | (\$8,737) | (\$21,389) | (\$21,817) |

| FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government | FY 2026<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2027    | FY 2028    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                                  | (10 1110.)          |            |            |
|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|                                  |                     |            |            |
|                                  |                     |            |            |

## FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This bill establishes the offense of unlawful tracking of a motor vehicle, which a person commits if the person knowingly installs, conceals, or otherwise places an electronic tracking device on a motor vehicle without the consent of all owners of the vehicle. There are several exceptions to the offense, as described in the bill, including, but not limited to, for the purposes of a criminal investigation, a vehicle rental or leasing company for the purpose of tracking or managing vehicles owned by such company, at the discretion of a parent or legal guardian, or if the vehicle is being repossessed.

The offense of unlawful tracking of a motor vehicle is a class A misdemeanor. Second and subsequent offenses are a class E felony.(§565.260)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 2284H.03P Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 971, 293 & 978 Page **7** of **7** March 12, 2025

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Mental Health
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety – Missouri Highway Patrol
Department of Social Services
Missouri Department of Transportation
Office of the State Public Defender
Phelps County Sheriff
Kansas City Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator

Julie Morff Director

March 12, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director March 12, 2025