

HCS HB 662 -- A COMMUNITY SOLAR PILOT PROGRAM

SPONSOR: Keathley

COMMITTEE ACTION: Voted "Do Pass with HCS" by the Standing Committee on Emerging Issues by a vote of 10 to 3. Voted "Do Pass" by the Standing Committee on Rules-Legislative by a vote of 9 to 0.

The following is a summary of the House Committee Substitute for HB 662.

This bill establishes a community solar pilot program requiring each retail electric supplier to implement a three-year community solar pilot program during calendar years 2026-2028. Retail electric suppliers, defined in the bill as an electrical corporation rate that provides retail electric service in this State, must allow subscriber administrators and owners or operators of community solar facilities to recruit customers as subscribers and process subscribers' bill credits as specified in the bill. Each retail electric supplier must continue operating its pilot program until the total solar electricity demand equals 5% of the retail electric supplier's electricity sales for the previous year.

A community solar facility can be built, owned, or operated by a third party entity under contract with an owner or operator of a community solar facility or a subscriber administrator. A subscriber administrator may contract to administer bill credits to the subscriber's electricity bill generated by the subscriber's share of the community solar facility. A subscriber administrator that provides a bill credit to a subscriber is not considered an electrical corporation or public utility. The owner or operator of a community solar facility can serve as a subscriber administrator.

No later than nine months after the effective date of the bill, the Public Service Commission must establish the value of the bill credit for each retail electric supplier to offset each subscriber's retail electric bill for each kilowatt hour subscribed from a community solar facility as specified in the bill.

A retail electric supplier must allow for the transferability and portability of subscriptions. On a monthly basis, a subscriber administrator is required to update the subscriber administrator's list of subscribers. The bill specifies the duties of and compensation for a retail electric supplier.

Each community solar facility must be subscribed with at least 10% low-income customers and 20% residential customers. A retail electric supplier must purchase unsubscribed energy from a community solar facility as specified in the bill.

Interconnection standards for community solar facilities under 100 kilowatts are the same as those for net-metered customers. For systems larger than 100 kilowatts, the Commission must develop technical and net metering interconnection rules for customer-generators intending to operate community solar facilities or renewable on-site generators in parallel with the electric utility grid.

This bill is similar to SB 386 (2025).

The following is a summary of the public testimony from the committee hearing. The testimony was based on the introduced version of the bill.

**PROPOSERS:** Supporters say that these provisions will result in significant savings on people's energy bills. Supporters further say that because there is currently such a large demand for electricity, power grids can become overtaxed and output can decrease, but that these provisions make our energy grid more resilient. With access to cheaper energy, the State will experience much more economic development.

Testifying in person for the bill were Representative Keathley; Renew Missouri Advocates; Jim Foley; Jonathan Dolan, Missouri Solar Energy Industries Association; Kevin Borgia, Sunvest Solar; Lori Saine, American Power Plan; Matthew Hargerton, Coalition For Community Solar Access.

**OPPOSERS:** Those who oppose the bill say that these provisions amount to a deregulation for solar energy, which in turn ends up favoring one sector of the energy economy. In fact, regular utility companies in this State and around the country already offer the very provisions or services that this bill claims to offer.

Testifying in person against the bill were Jessica Petrie, Liberty Utilities; Arnie Dienoff; Evergy; Ameren Missouri.

Written testimony has been submitted for this bill. The full written testimony and witnesses testifying online can be found under Testimony on the bill page on the House website.