

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE 3/4/2 | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☑ IN SUPPORT OF | ☐ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFOR | MATION | IAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC "HO | NEST-ABE" DIENOFF | | PHONE NU | JMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | | IT DATE: 025 12:0 | 00 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORM | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CH | APTER | R 610, RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ALI GRAEFF | | PHONE NUMBER | ₹: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: alimariegraeff@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | TE: 8:14 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The people need to choose candidates, not the Government. HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. The United States Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORI | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: AMBER CRAWFOI | RD | | PHONE NUI | MBER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | N NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: amapatriot@pm.m | е | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | T DATE: 025 9:33 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Rep. Jim Murphy needs to be primaried. HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. The US Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: AMY | | | PHONE NUM | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION N | NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: amymariecastro@gn | nail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 25 8:28 PM |
| THE INCODMATI | ON ON THE FORM | A IO DUDI IO DECOD | D LINDED OLLA | DTED 040 DOM: |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Government should start at the basic level and move outward - township committee men and women are the primary level as members of their Central Committee. They are elected by the citizens in their designated township. And they should be able to allow or disallow an individual from running on the ticket as the declared party if they don't have an understanding of the party platform or display behavior in align with party values. The state should not tell the county how or who they should select as a candidate.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: AMY CASTRO | | | PHONE NUME 913-957-0 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION ACT FOR MISSOU | | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: 239 NW 41ST RD | | | | |
| CITY: WARRENSBURG | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 64093 |
| EMAIL: amy@act4mo.org | | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 9:18 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTFR 610 RSMo |

Act For Missouri stands strongly in opposition to this bill.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: AMY DECLUE | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: aemd07@aol.com | 1 | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 2/28/20 | DATE: 125 6:49 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTFR 610, RSMo. |

The government shouldn't be dictating how the parties choose or allow for their candidates to run. Political parties are private organizations. This is government overreach.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ANDREW | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: andyshearer7424(| @gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 5:05 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610, RSMo. |

I am against this bill trying to interfere with Free and Fair elections by a 1st amendment violation, giving to much power to county officials who gets to choose who gets in what party, basically becoming the gatekeeper of elections. At this point it will be so easy to corrupt this position, and control election's by who gets to run in what party.. this bill is a open door for corruption and political

control.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ANDY BRANNAN | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: andyb070671@yahoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/3/2025 2 | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

It's a shame that I have to contact you over this... HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ANITA ANDERSO | N | | PHONE NUMB | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: luvtoteach1960@y | yahoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | ATE: 5 6:30 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610, RSMo. |

This is a clear violation of the First Amendment, and has been ruled as such by the Supreme Court. No one should have control of which ballot a candidate should be placed on except the candidate themselves.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BETTY MALCOM | | PHONE NUMBER | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mogal65@yahoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/3/2025 | 1:52 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | N SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | TONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BETTY MORRIS | | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME | <u>:</u> | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: morrisbetty85@gmail.co | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/3/2025 | E: 3:02 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am adamantly opposed to passage of this bill, HB 1064-Murphy. It violates the First Amendment rights of all political parties. Our government entities such as the Missouri House have many important duties. However, trying to control who local political county committees allow on their ballots is not one of them. That is the prerogative only of the members of a political committee no matter which party they are affiliated with. The Supreme Court has ruled in the past that "political parties have a First Amendment right of political association" (Justice Scalia), also that they have the right to choose their own candidate. In 2024 the MO 29 Circuit Court made a ruling in Joplin, MO that political parties have the sole right to choose who goes on their primary ballots. This attempt to circumvent the rights of political parties of all affiliations is a very dangerous to precedent to set and will be a waste of tax dollars when the inevitable suits begin if this measure passes. I am also of the opinion the name of the bill "Candidate Filing Fees" is a bit deceptive since most people will think it just concerns costs not a matter of such importance that is really being considered. If a government body decides to tell us WHO we must put on our ballots we will no longer have a "government of the people, by the people, for the people" but will just be expected to be puppets. Please make the wise decision to vote against this bill and keep our elections in the hands of the people. Thank you!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BEV EHLEN | | | PHONE NUME 314-608-0 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION REPUBLICAN ASS | ON NAME: SOCIATION COUNTY O | OMMITTEES | TITLE: BOARD M | EMBER |
| ADDRESS: 601 MEADOW VIE | W CT. | | | |
| CITY: WARRENTON | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63383 |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 12:00 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610. RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BEV EHLEN | | | PHONE NUME 314-608-0 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION LIBERTY LINK MIS | | | TITLE: PRESIDEN | NT |
| ADDRESS: PO BOX 312 | | | | |
| CITY: WARRENTON | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63383 |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | PATE: 15 12:00 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610, RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | N SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES | |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZ | ATION: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BEV EHLEN | | | PHONE NUME 314-608-0 | | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME WARREN COUNTY REP | | ITTEE | TITLE: CHAIRMA | N | |
| ADDRESS: 601 MEADOW VIEW CT | | | | | |
| CITY: WARRENTON | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63383 | |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 12:00 AM | |
| THE INFORMATION | ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610. RSMo. | |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BRENDA BRAY | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written | | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 9:28 AM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo | | | | |

HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. STOP this nonsense right now.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | TONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BRUCE SHOCK | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: bwshock60@outlook.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/4/2025 | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFOR | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: BYRON KEELIN | | | PHONE NU 314-402 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION FREEDOM PRINC | | | TITLE: PRESID | ENT |
| ADDRESS: PO BOX 2 | | | | |
| CITY: BALLWIN | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63022 |
| EMAIL: freedomprincipler | no@protonmail.com | ATTENDANCE: In-Person | | T DATE: 025 9:35 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Byron Keelin, I am the president of the Freedom Principle MO. I am here today to testify against HB 1064. Political parties are considered private parties and should have the ability to reject the filing fees of any person the party does not want to affiliate with. This bill violates a political party's constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of whom it does not approve. Justice Antonin Scalia stated, "The Court has recognized that the First Amendment protects the freedom to join together in furtherance of common political beliefs..." "The right to associate is the right not to associate. Freedom of association would prove an empty guarantee if associations could not limit control over their decisions." "In no area is the political association's right to exclude more important than in the process of selecting its nominee."We state the following cases in which this situation is necessary and must not be changed:In 2024, the Missouri State GOP tried unsuccessfully to remove Darrell Leon McClanahan, a member of the Ku Klux Klan, from the Missouri gubernatorial race. However, their motion to remove McClanahan was denied because the party accepted his filing fees for his candidacy. However, in 2024, Former Missouri Representative Sarah Unsicker was not allowed to run for governor because the Democrat Party did not accept her filing fee as a Democrat. James Paul, the attorney for the Missouri Democrat Party stated in a letter to Ms. Unsicker, "Having been removed from the House Democratic Caucus by your peers, the Missouri Democrat Party does not wish to associate with you as a candidate." As the Missouri Supreme Court said in a case from over 100 years ago, by not allowing a party to reject candidates that do not adhere to their belief system, it could be constituted as fraud upon the voters to allow a candidate to try and deceive the electorate by running for office under a party label when the party does not want that candidate. The Committee must leave political parties alone to conduct their elections and reject this bill.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CATHERINE | | | PHONE NUM | IBER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: cmftzone@gmail.o | com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/3/20 | DATE: 25 7:56 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | APTER 610 RSMo |

The Supreme Court has upheld the parties to reject a candidate they do not approve of. This bill violates the party's right to refuse to back a candidate they do not approve of.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES | |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CEDRIC LEE | | | PHONE NUMBER | R: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | N NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE Written | | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | | • |
| THE INCORMATION ON THIS CODM IS DUDI IC DECORD LINDED CHARTER 640, DCM | | | | | |

What a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Rep Jim Murphy is a ignorant tyrant. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. Is his handlers/NGOs backing this? This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CHARLES F KNIG | нт | | PHONE NUMB | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: charles@logs.net | | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/2/202 | ATE: 5 7:03 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

The United States Supreme Court has said as recently as 2000, that it "violates a political party's Constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of who is does not approve."



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: /4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMATI | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CHARLES PORCH | I | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: cporch@kc.rr.con | 1 | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 1 | 1:22 AM |
| | | | | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order of control who goes on a party's ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot.SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important that in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights.Additionally, the 29th Circuit Court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.This bill needs to receive a "Do Not Pass" vote from the Elections Committee.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CONNIE R LARSO | DN | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: larsonfrme@aol.c | com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT E 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 7:35 AM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo. | | | | | |

A person should declare why they are a candidate, not just the amount of time.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING : UN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | \square FOR INFORMAT | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CYNDIA HAGGARD | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: vcrcmo@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/3/2025 | E: 9:23 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

This bill is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights. This bill needs to receive a "do not pass" vote from the Elections Committee.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: /4/2025 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CYNTHIA L. JONE | ES . | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: singingblonde@h | otmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/2/2025 7 | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAPT | ER 610. RSMo. |

Political parties are private organizations. Therefore, the state has no role to play in their operation. This bill is an example of state government overreach.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ▼IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | IATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: CYNTHIA REDBU | RN | | PHONE NUM | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: redburncl@yahoo | o.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 9:21 PM |
| THE INCOMA | TION ON THIS FOR | MIS DUBLIC DECOR | D LINDED CHA | DTED 640 DCMa |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

This bill prevents one of the most basic rights guaranteed by our 1st Amendment - freedom of association. As a member of a minor party, we thoroughly vet our candidates and have rejected many potential candidates, who fail to meet our constitutional standards. To lose the ability to reject candidates would jeopardize our firmly held principles and beliefs. This bill should die in committee.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DANA BUTLER | | | PHONE NUMBE | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: butlerfd@hotmail. | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/2/2025 | ATE: 5 6:12 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CHAP | PTER 610, RSMo. |

"It sounds good", but you shouldn't tell a private organization what they can and cannot do.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DANIEL JAMES H | AMPSON | | PHONE NUMB | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: djhampson@yaho | oo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D. 3/4/202 | ATE: 5 12:48 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CHAI | PTER 610, RSMo. |

HB1064 is in blatant violation of our first amendment rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DARLA HOFFMAN | N | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: dmmcgee@protoi | n.me | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 6:40 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PURI IC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo | | | | |

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. This is not where it belongs. Leave the First Amendment alone.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DARLEEN SKAGO | es S | | PHONE NUME | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: dbingskaggs@ho | tmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | OATE: 5 7:52 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORE | UNDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

The State should not be the one that determines who represents a particular party. That is the Party's responsibility.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DARLENE BROOM | MAN | | PHONE NUMBE | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: darbrooman@yah | oo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | ATE: 5 3:35 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORE | UNDER CHAP | PTER 610, RSMo. |

Government should not decide who should go on a primary ballot



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEBBIE CORMICA | AN | | PHONE NUMBER | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: cormican6@sbcg | lobal.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/4/2025 | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECORE | UNDER CHAP | TER 610, RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: / 4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEBORAH MARSH | · IALL | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | N NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: debity1983@gmail | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 12 | 2:13 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DAT 3/4 | E: /2025 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFO | RMATIO | NAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| NDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEBRA GARRETT | • | | PHONE N | UMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: debrag10@yahoo. | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBN 3/3/ | MIT DATE: 2025 9:0 | 4 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am in opposition to HB 1064. People filing for an office need more than just a name of a political party on their driver's license to show where their loyalties to governance and ideals lie. Parties should have more of a sayin who will represent them. Government should not be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | TE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO ☐ FO | R INFORMATIO | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEE ANN POOLE | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: catdeeann@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/4/2025 6: | 15 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose House Bill 1064 because I believe it undermines the integrity of our political parties. By taking away gatekeeper duties at the lowest level, you run the risk of less-than-desirable candidates running for office. I listened to Representative Murphy say that convicted felons cannot run for office, but he didn't mention WHO checks on that status. Is it up to the state or would it be better at the RCC level — the most basic level of gatekeeping? Personally, I want my RCC to exercise control over who runs under the Republican banner because it's their right as a private club. We know our people better than those in Jefferson City and should be allowed to say Yes or No to a potential candidate. Please vote NO on this bill so our rights aren't stripped away from us. Thank you.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | OATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEE BRAY | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: damberbee1999@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/4/2025 S | E: 9:24 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Rep Jim Murphy is a ignorant tyrant. HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. The United States Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



| devan81514@gmail. | | Written M IS PUBLIC RECOR | | 5 4:03 PM |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT D | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEVAN DANIELS | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |

I oppose HB 1064!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: DEVEN W HOOE | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ronin380@yahoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | TE: 8:41 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: EDWARD WALTO | N | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ewalton@enginee | r.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT E 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 10:36 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D LINDER CHA | PTER 610 PSMo |

This bill would make the political parties of no effect. The voters would have else of an idea of what the candidates stood for. The party affiliation could become meaningless.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: EMILY PORTER | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: porter.emilyr@gm | nail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT E 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 1:00 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D LINDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

I'd like to request that this bill does not go through. We need to have more thorough vetting of candidates and make sure they represent the people appropriately. Please vote no.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ERMA KELLY | | | PHONE NUMBI | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: noel65438@gmail | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D. 3/4/202 | ATE: 5 11:31 AM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I don't believe a party should have to accept a person's filing under that party if their beliefs do not line up with the platform. It's forcing counties to accept anybody and everybody for that matter you could have a Democrat registering as a Republican and vice versa.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: FREDDIE R. PERI | KINS | | PHONE NUME | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | OATE: 5 12:00 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610, RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | ATE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: GEORGE M MILLER | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: gmmiller1980@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 8 | 41 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

This bill would violate a political parties Constitutional right & privilege to reject a candidate a majority of which the party does not approve for any number of valid reasons: character, reputation, motives or timing. Passing this bill would violate an already adjudicated this matter-- allowing county committees the RIGHT to whom goes on the party's primary ballot.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ▼IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: GERI THWING | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: jimger5@sbcglob | al.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 3:39 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I OPPOSE HB1064



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: GERILYNN HOOV | ER | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ghoover18@emba | arqmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 2:59 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610, RSMo. |

I



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: GINA AND RICHARD BROWN | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: beamber1999@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 9:31 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Jim Murphy is not a serious person, let alone a public servant. HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. The US Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: HELEN SANDERS | . | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: helensanders1951 | I@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | ATE: 5 9:39 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAP | PTER 610, RSMo. |

This bill blocks the ability to confirm party affiation.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: HERBERT JOSEPH BAUMANN | | PHONE NUMB | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: hbaumann@BAUMANNPROPERTY.CO M | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/3/202 | ATE: 5 8:36 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Plese do NOT pass this bill. It violates the rights of individuals to freely associate. The United States Supreme Court has said as recently as 2000, that it "violates a political party's Constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of who is does not approve."



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DAT 3/4 | E: /2025 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPO | ORT OF IN OPPOSIT | TON TO ☐ FOR IN | NFORMATIO | NAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NA | ME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: HOLLY GIRGIN | | PHC | ONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITL | E: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | STA | ATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: hollygirgin@outlook.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | | SUBMIT DATE: 3/4/2025 7:1 | 6 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I strongly believe that HB 1064 is profoundly misguided and poses a serious threat to the integrity of political parties. By allowing candidates with as little as six months of party affiliation to file for office, this bill severely undermines the reasonable safeguards a private political party should have in determining who may represent it. Political parties must have the ability to vet candidates to ensure they align with the party's values, principles, and objectives. Without this essential function, a political party becomes nothing more than a meaningless label. Voters rely on their chosen party's platform as a guiding framework—one that reflects a shared vision and common goals. If we strip parties of their ability to uphold these standards, we invite a future where parties stand for everything and, consequently, nothing. I urge you to protect party integrity with a NO vote on HB 1064. Respectfully submitted.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: HOLLY SCHMITZ | | PHONE NUMBE | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: holly.patriot@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | ATE: 5 4:44 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Please protect our rights as citizens and political parties. We should NOT be forced to put on a party affiliation just because someone pays the filing fees for candidacy in MO!HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights. Sincerely, Holly SchmitzConcerned Citizen and RCC member Johnson County



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JACKIE PECK | | | PHONE NUM | MBER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: cleankp@proton.i | me | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/3/20 | DATE: 125 10:09 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JAMES AND VIRG | SINIA OLIVER | | PHONE NUM | IBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: truthi1seek@gma | il.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 25 9:15 AM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, PSMo | | | | | |

HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOS | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JAMES W BOSCH | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: basch56@gmail.c | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 9:11 AM | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS DUBLIC PECOP | D LINDED CHY | DTED 610 DCM | 10 |

I believe this bill could make it harder to vet candidates with true conservative values. And could be used to alow folks to sneek in and run for office that dont meet the deffinitions of what they are pretending to support.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JANET L DABBS | | | PHONE NUM | MBER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: janetdabbs7@gma | ail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 1 25 4:12 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CH | APTER 610. RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | ATE: /4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JANET STARK | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: rstark3@kc.rr.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 1 | 1:50 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Janet Stark. I am a member of our local Republican Central Committee. I am speaking in opposition to having someone only claim they are a Republican 26 weeks or 6 months out. This is not enough. Their active involvement in politics or helping others get elected is a good sign that they are a true and faithful Republican. Their genuine and honest character and financial support is another sign. Their willingness to acknowledge that they don't have anything in their recent background (the past five years) that would be an embarrassment or bring discredit on themselves and the Republican Party if it were brought out in the open. Also, check their voting record on the GOP data center. We need to be able to have a process that is through enough to distinguish between a genuine hard core Republican and someone who is just trying to get elected locally to get a good pay check or position of power. Thank you!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPO | OSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JASON REED | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 5 | | | | | |
| THE INCORMATION ON THIS CODM IS BURLIC DECORD LINDER CHARTER 610, DSM | | | | | |

To the Missouri House of Representatives, This bill would stop the right of citizens to form political parties and run their candidates for office. The voters have a right to determine who is a part of their own respective political party when voting for election candidates. V/r, Jason Reed



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JENNIFER SPENA | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DAT 3/2/2025 | | DATE: 25 7:49 PM | | | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS BURLLO DECORD LINDER CHARTER 610 DSMo | | | | | |

When someone goes to vote they need to be able to trust that the "R" for Republican or "D" for Democrat etc. designation on the ballots for the various candidates accurately represents the candidates adherence to the party platform and principles. County central committees must have the freedom as already determined by the Supreme Court to refuse a filing fee for a candidate that does not stand for the platform and principles of the particular party.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | OATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JERRY HAGGARD |) | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: jerryhfd@gmail.co | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 1 | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAPT | ER 610. RSMo. |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JIMMY R THWING | i | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: jimger5@sbcglob | al.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | ATE: 5 4:59 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I oppose HB 1064!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JOSEPH FULLER | СОРЕ | | PHONE NUMBI | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: josefc1608@gmai | l.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D. 3/4/202 | ATE: 5 5:06 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I oppose this bill



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | TE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JOSHUA WILCUTT | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: joshua.wilcutt@live.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/1/2025 1: | 28 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

WE BELIEVE government governs best when it governs least.WE BELIEVE all political power is vested in, and derived from, the people; all government originates from the people, is founded upon their will only, and is instituted solely for the good of the whole by protecting the unalienable and God-given rights of the people.- this is according to the GOP platform. I ask everyone on this commitee how does the bill serve the people? I dont believe it serves the people OR the elected commitee men and womens constituants. In no commite would the treasurer alone be able to withhold the filing fee without a majority vote within the commitee. This isn't something that deserves legislation- it deserves communication within each local commitee for them to discuss if they are going to adopt this.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JOY LEEANNA SI | итн | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| | | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | OATE: 5 5:37 PM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I strongly appose HB 1064



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | TONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JOYCE WEBER | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: joyceweber22@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/4/2025 | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JUDY CLOSSIN | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| | | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | OATE: 5 11:17 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I oppose HB 1064.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: JUDY SOFKA | | | PHONE NUM 314-265-7 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI PRECINCT PROJECT TITLE: MS | | | | |
| ADDRESS: 15 ELIZABETH ER | RIN | | | |
| CITY: OFALLON | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63368 |
| EMAIL: jsofka@yahoo.coi | m | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 25 10:19 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Political parties are PRIVATE institutions and as such they have a priven legal right to determine who can represent saud oarty...! The United States Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



sichenederk@gmail.com

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: KAREN SICHENEI | DER | | PHONE NUMBE | R: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: sichenederk@ama | ail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | TE: 5 9:48 AM | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As an elected member of a central committee, the ability to prevent bad actors from running on our party ticket needs to be upheld. However, in light of what happened in Vernon County this last election cycle, I would support a bill that more clearly outlined the process of removal from the ballot. People should not be allowed to be kicked off a ticket without cause other than "people on the central committee don't like them". County clerks do not currently have the authority to refuse to take a filing, resulting in central committees having to sue to remove a candidate. This process needs to be made better. I don't believe that the Vernon County Republicans' process of requiring a "moral values" survey should also be the basis of removal from a ticket. The arbitrary demand of requiring a receipt from the central committee does not exist in statute and cannot be placed there by a judge. This bill does nothing to address any of these issues and creates additional problems for parties that are not able to reject candidates on a very valid basis.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: KRISTINE MILLER | <u> </u> | | PHONE NUMBER | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: kmm.miller08@gn | nail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 8 | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAPT | ER 610, RSMo. |

This violates a constitutional right of political parties to select their candidates.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LAINE JEEP | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written | | | SUBMIT E 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 7:38 PM |
| THE INFORMA | THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo | | | |

We need to strengthen our parties. We already have open primaries, we don't need to further dilute the process.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES | ; |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LAUREL MORTON | I | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written | | | SUBMIT E 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 7:59 AM | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS EOD | M IS DUBLIC DECOR | D LINDED CHY | DTED 610 DSMo | |

This Bill is a very obvious violation of the FIRST AMENDMENT!Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's ballot! The Supreme Court ruled that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates.Please do not violate our First Amendment rights by supporting this bill!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMAT | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LES J LARSON | | | PHONE NUMBER | ₹: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: les.larson@yahoo | o.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHAP | TER 610. RSMo. |

Central Committees should determine what a candidate stands for not just the amount of time



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | | ATE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | □FOR | INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LINDA | | | Pi | HONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NA | AME: | | TI | TLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | | |
| CITY: | | | S | TATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: Linda.Rantz@pm.me | | ATTENDANCE: Written | · | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 1 | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As the Vice Chair of the Osage County Republican Committee, I stand in firm opposition to House Bill 1064, a proposal that undermines the foundational autonomy of county committees and threatens the integrity of our political process in Missouri. This bill seeks to strip county committees of their longstanding statutory authority to determine whether to accept filing fees from candidates, a right enshrined in RsMO 115.357. Under current law, a political party's treasurer must accept these fees for them to be valid—a clear acknowledgment of our committees' role in safeguarding the values and identity of our party. HB 1064 would dismantle this safeguard, and I urge its rejection. Our committee, like many across Missouri, operates under bylaws and a constitution that we have the legal and moral right to establish. These governing documents, rooted in over half a century of statutory precedent, empower us to conduct our business—including the vetting of candidates—according to the will of our local members. This autonomy is not a mere procedural footnote; it is a cornerstone of grassroots governance, ensuring that county committees remain accountable to their constituents rather than to top-down dictates. The existing framework, reflected in RsMO 115.357, balances the interests of political parties with the broader goal of secure, bipartisan elections—a principle that has served Missouri well for decades.HB 1064, however, represents a troubling overreach, likely driven by elements seeking to centralize control over county committees. In Osage County, we have embraced tools like the REPACCMO.org vetting system, which uses a values-based survey to ensure candidates align with the principles our voters expect—principles often tied to the "America First" agenda championed by President Trump. The pushback we've faced has not come from Democrats, but from self-identified "Republicans" who appear threatened by this transparency. This bill reeks of an agenda to silence dissenting voices within our party, handcuffing committees that dare to assert their independence. It is, in essence, anti-Trump and anti-America First—an affront to the very voters who have demanded a return to principled leadership. Missouri's political landscape demands vigilance. We know Democrats have, at times, sought to run as Republicans to exploit our electoral dynamics. The ability to refuse filing fees has been a critical tool to prevent such subterfuge, ensuring that only those who truly represent our party's values appear on our ticket. HB 1064 would strip us of this defense, opening the door to manipulation and eroding the trust of our constituents. I implore the House to reject this bill. It is not merely an attack on county committees—it is an assault on the democratic process itself, a power grab masquerading as reform. Let us preserve the rights of local committees to govern themselves, uphold our party's integrity, and protect the will of the people we serve. Thank you for your consideration.



their priorities.

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LISA BRASSFIELI | D | | PHONE NUMB | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written | | SUBMIT D 3/3/202 | OATE: 5 10:57 AM | |
| THE INFORMA | THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | |

In a political climate where it is hard to find the truth, it is imperative that political parties be able to vet a candidate in any way they see fit. The Supreme Court has already ruled that political parties have a constitutional freedom to approve or reject candidates that will represent their party and are aligned in



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: LUKE JENNINGS | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: onelukeoneleia@g | gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/4/2025 9:23 AM | |
| THE INCORNA | TION ON THIS EOD | MIC BUBLIO BECCE | D LINDED OLLA | DTED 646 DOM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

What a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. Is his handlers/NGOs backing this? This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. Rep Jim Murphy is a clown not to be taken seriously.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES | |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MARILYN | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: rightthinking@rocketmail.com ATTENDANCE: Written SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 6:2 | | OATE: 05 6:25 PM | | | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS EOD | MIO DUDI IO DECOD | D LINDED OLLA | DTED 040 DOM | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I'm opposed to this bill because a political party should be able to reject the filing fee of any candidate it wishes. That's why we vet candidate. The Supreme Court has already ruled on this type of measure so it would be unconstitutional anyway. The United States Supreme Court has said as recently as 2000, that it "violates a political party's Constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of who it does not approve."



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MARK LIENEKE | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | N NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 3:42 Pl | | | | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS BURLED BECORD UNDER CHARTER ASS BOM- | | | | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I oppose any changes that does not allow the local political committees to approve candidates that intend to run under a selected party. Currently the political parties can refuse to accept a filing fee and if a candidate does not have the same values and objectives, they should retain that right. No party should be forced to let a KKK or BLM operative run as a party member when they do not support the parties platform or objectives. Mark Lieneke Osage County Republican Central Committee Chair



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MARSHA GREER | | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: martygreer@hotm | nail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/2/2025 | TE: 6:46 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAP | TER 610, RSMo. |

Should not be telling a private organization what to do.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MATT CRAWFORI | D | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: truthspeaking@pr | roton.me | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | ATE: 5 9:35 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORI | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | UNDER CHAP | PTER 610, RSMo. |

HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MAUREEN LAUER | R | | PHONE NUME | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: reencat75@yahoo | o.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | DATE: 5 11:29 AM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD LINDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo | | | | |

Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: 4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MELISSA HATHAWA | ΛΥ | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: Melissanbrea02@ho | tmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 9: | 14 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.house.mo.govThis bill is ANTI-Trump agenda! We should be able to chose our candidates!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| NDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MELISSA THOMA | s | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE: | | | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: melissaforliberty@ | @pm.me | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/2/202 | DATE: 25 8:07 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I'm OPPOSED to this bill. The United States Supreme Court has said as recently as 2000, that it "violates a political party's Constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of who is does not approve." Why shouldn't we be choosy about who wears our brand?? Our country is in a HUGE mess and the people overwhelmingly voted for MAGA. Yet at every turn, Missouri Legislators seem to be working AGAINST that mandate. Removing the party's freedom and speech and right to choose in Unconscionable.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | ATE: /4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATI | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MEREDITH MOULDER | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mj_moulder@hotmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 7 | : :11 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.house.mo.govThis bill is ANTI-Trump agenda! We should be able to chose our candidates!



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPO | OSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MERRY WHITE | | | PHONE NUM | MBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE: | | | | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: amerryheart66@g | mail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/3/20 | DATE: 25 12:19 PM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | | |

This is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Justice Scalia issued a majority opinion years ago, saying essentially, "Political parties have a First Amendment right of political association. A corollary of that right is the right to not associate, and no where is that right more important than in a party's ability to select it's own candidate". Also, a 2024 ruling from the MO 29th Circuit Court in Joplin

indicates political parties have the sole authority to determine who goes on their primary ballots. Through this bill politicians are attempting to silence all parties' First Amendment rights! This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ▼ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL A. MOR | RIS | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: cemi.mike@gmail | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 11:59 AM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS BUILD IC BECORD LINDER CHARTER 610, BSM | | | | |

I DISAGREE COMPLETELY WITH THE PASSAGE OF HB 1064 INTRODUCED BY A ST. LOUIS PROFESSIONAL POLITICIAN. This bill is an absolute violation of our 1st Amendment rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL CLAXTO | ON | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mikeclaxt@gmail. | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 7:03 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

As an elected member of the Platte County Republican Central Committee, we should remain allowed to vet candidates ourselves. This bill will take that authority away from the local elected committee members and is ill advised. Please don't!



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | , |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ▼IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFO | RMATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MICHAEL COMPT | ON | | PHONE N 660-36 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION ACT4MO.ORG; FR | ON NAME: REEDOM PRINCIPLE MO |) | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: WARRENSBURG, | MISSOURI | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 64093 |
| EMAIL: mike@act4mo.org | l | ATTENDANCE: Written | | MIT DATE: 2025 8:33 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

To the Chairman and members of the committee, My name is Michael Compton, and I am a concerned citizen from Warrensburg, in Johnson County, I am writing today to testify against HB 1064, a bill that I strongly oppose. This legislation threatens the autonomy of political parties and violates our constitutional rights, undermining the very foundation of our democratic system. I urge you to consider the serious implications of this bill and vote against it. Opposition to HB 1064: Threat to Political Party Autonomyl am opposed to HB 1064 because it would destroy the ability of political parties to choose candidates who uphold their values. Political parties are private organizations with the right to define their own platforms, beliefs, and identities. They must have the freedom to select candidates who align with their principles without government interference. HB 1064 would strip away this autonomy by allowing the government to dictate who can run under a party's banner. If the government can decide who represents a political party, then it can ultimately determine what that party stands for. This is a dangerous overreach. For example, imagine a candidate who opposes a party's core values being forced onto its ticket. This would confuse voters, dilute the party's message, and erode its ability to advocate effectively for its supporters. Political parties would lose their independence, becoming mere extensions of government authority rather than voices of the people they represent. The integrity of our electoral system depends on parties maintaining the freedom to choose their own candidates. Violation of First Amendment Rights Beyond undermining party autonomy, HB 1064 violates the First Amendment right to freedom of assembly. This fundamental right protects our ability to come together, form groups—including political parties—and express our collective values without undue government control. By dictating who can run under a party's name, this bill interferes with the right of parties to define their membership and leadership. Such interference is an unconstitutional infringement on our freedom to associate as we see fit. The First Amendment exists to safeguard against this exact type of government overreach. If the state can control who represents a political party, it effectively controls the party itself, setting a precedent that could extend to other private organizations. This is not just an attack on political parties—it's an assault on the constitutional rights of all Americans.Conclusion: A Call to ActionIn conclusion, HB 1064 is a dangerous piece of legislation that would undermine the independence of political parties and violate our First Amendment right to freedom of assembly. It threatens the integrity of our electoral system and the democratic principles we hold dear. I respectfully urge you to vote against this bill to protect the autonomy of political parties and the constitutional rights of every citizen. Thank you for your time and consideration.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: MONA JUNE PAR | ROTT | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: monaparrott42@g | mail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 3:37 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | LINDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

Government should NEVER be able to order or control which people go on a political party's primary ballot.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: NADINE SOLES | | | PHONE NUMBE | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: ns6609ns@gmail. | com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | ATE: 5 10:18 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I oppose HB 1064



natscholl76@gmail.com

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: DATE: **HB 1064** 3/4/2025 COMMITTEE: **Elections** ☐ IN SUPPORT OF **✓** IN OPPOSITION TO FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES **TESTIFYING: WITNESS NAME BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:** WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: **NATALIE SCHOLL** 816-590-9479 BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: CLAY COUNTY REPUBLICAN CENTRAL COMMITTEE TITLE: **PRECINCT COMMITTEEWOMAN 39-1** 8303 NE 103RD TER STATE: **KANSAS CITY** 64157 MO

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

ATTENDANCE:

Written

SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 2:37 PM

I hope the Elections Committee sees that this bill is a blatant violation of organizations like the Democrat and Republican Central Committees in Missouri. This bill represents government overreach at its finest. Rep. Murphy wants the General Assembly to tell local central committees who to put on a ballot? Isn't that the business of the central committees? Just last year, the 29th Circuit Court ruled that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. Please vote "do not pass" for HB 1064.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: NATALIE STEPHE | :NS | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: reidei7889@ymail | .com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/2/202 | OATE: 25 11:20 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | LINDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

I am opposed to this bill because political parties are private and have the right to decide who represents them.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: NITA C DANIEL | | | PHONE NUMBI | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mdaniel@cfaith.co | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/202 | ATE: 5 5:12 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

The 29th circuit court ruled it's the county committee's right to put someone on the ballot.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DAT 3/4 | E: // 2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | · | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIO | NAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: PAMELA K. GROW | | PHONE NUM | MBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: pamelagrowmd@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 025 8:1 | 19 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I served two full terms as the Phelps County Clerk, 2015-2022, HB 1064 prevents a county committee from refusing a filing fee from anyone wanting to file with the LEA, as long as they have that party's affiliation within their voter record for roughly 6 months prior to the opening of the filing period for the primary election. So, the long-time chair of the county GOP could affiliate as a Democrat, as long as this was done within the specified time frame, and could demand to have ballot access (by completing the filing and getting the county Democrat committee his filing fee) and run as a Democrat for sheriff. This, despite being opposed publicly, perhaps, to all the principles of the Democrat party. Why doesn't the bill require the state committee to accept a filing fee from one of the SOS' filers (GA, for example). without the right of refusal? That's curious. The bill is attempting to rein in the local party committees.Party affiliation, which I believe has been possible since January 2023 due to the passage of HB 1878, is not widely known. IMHO it was profoundly under-publicized. Additionally, though the affiliation (if any) in the voter file is part of the publicly available information in a voter registration list, the time of the affiliation is not, as it is part of the voter "audit log" of changes made by the LEA to the voter registration record. The parties will have to know, in advance, of the need to pull voter registration records before the 26-week benchmark and after that time. This would permit comparison. For the 2026 primary, 26 weeks prior to the last Tuesday in February is Tuesday, August 26, 2025. That's two days prior to this bill taking effect, should it become law. How is that going to work? I don't support this bill. Please vote "no".



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|--------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | N SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | IATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: PATRICIA A KNIGHT | | | PHONE NUM | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME | Ξ: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: Patti.Knight@protonmai | il.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/2/202 | DATE: 25 6:08 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610. RSMo. | | | | |

A political party committee and treasurer should have the right to reject a candidate's filing fee, especially if that candidate does not follow that party's beliefs and values.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: PATRICIA ANN M' | YERS | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: patty_myers1@ya | ihoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 8:35 AM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

Do not pass this bill as it violates the freedom of association of political parties and individuals. The United States Supreme Court has said as recently as 2000, that it "violates a political party's Constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of who is does not approve."



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ▼ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPC | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: PATRICIA BAIL | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: pbail65233@gmai | l.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 3:43 PM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS BUILD IC RECORD LINDER CHARTER 610, DSMo | | | | | |

This bill should not pass because government should not have the authority to order who goes on the primary ballot. That should be a local function of the local political committees after a thorough setting process is completed.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPO | OSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: PAULA BRUGERE | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | <u> </u> | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: finderbrugere@m | sn.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 8:55 PM | |
| THE INCORMATION ON THIS CODM IS BURLIC DECORD HINDER CHARTER 610, DSM | | | | | |

Vote NO on HB1064! U.S. Supreme Court in 2000 said, "violates a political party's constitutional right of freedom of association to prevent a political party from rejecting a candidate of whom it does not approve."



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | .TE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: REBECCA MILLER | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mikeoct@live.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 5: | 26 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | TE: 4/2025 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: RICHARD SCHUSTER | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: richardschuster54@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 3: | 43 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ROBERT KELL | | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATI | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: vegaenterprises@ | Ocharter.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/3/202 | DATE: 25 8:28 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO □ | FOR INFORMAT | TONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: RONDA J SMITH | | PHONE NUMBER | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: rndsmith59@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DAT 3/3/2025 | E: 11:26 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: RUSSELL WOJTK | IEWICZ | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: rwojtkiewicz@gm | ail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 11:19 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS DUBLIC PECOP | D LINDED CHY | DTED 610 DSMo |

It will allow members of opposition parties to file as the opposing party. As in a Democrat running as. Republican. Do not allow this. Democrats cheat and find anyway to cheat to win an election or stay in power. And Republican supporting this is likely not a true conservative with fairness, morals and ethics principles.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: SABRINA WALKE | R | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: brinawalker20@gi | mail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 8:17 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORD | LINDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

Please oppose this bill. Listen to the people. Missouri must stand strong for conservative values and do what's right for Missouri. Thank you.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: SCOTT BUERGE | | | PHONE NUME | BER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: sbuerge@metzba | nk.bank | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT I 3/4/202 | OATE: 25 7:47 AM | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS DUBLIC PECOP | D LINDED CHY | DTED 610 DQ | Mo |

I completely opposed to this bill as it takes away the rights of local committees to influence who will be candidates. Also we need closed primaries to give local committees the ability to influence a better selection process.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: SHEILA STEGEMAN | | PHONE NUMBER | ₹: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | • | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: sheila.stegeman@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: SHERYL GORDEN | l | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: shermartin71@gm | nail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 5:55 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I oppose hb1064.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPC | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: STANLEY ERNES | T MEHRHOFF | | PHONE NUME | ER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: n188rv@yahoo.co | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/3/202 | ATE: 5 8:37 PM | |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FORM | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTFR 610. RS | Mo. |

Committee should have the right to accept or reject any filing fee based on their opinion of the candidate.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: STEVEN W COX | | | PHONE NUMBE | R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: clancey49@yahoo | o.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/4/2025 | ATE: 6 6:20 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORE | UNDER CHAP | PTER 610, RSMo. |

I am in strenuous opposition to HB 1064. Please record my objection.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | TE: 4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | , | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TAMARA BRINKMANN | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: tntbrinkmann@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 2: | 04 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a violation of the First Amendment. County Central Committees should be deciding who will represent them in their primary elections, period. SCOTUS said years ago political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TAMMY PALISCH | | | PHONE NUM | MBER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: tammypalisch@gi | mail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/3/20 | DATE: 125 10:02 PM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECORI | D UNDER CH | APTER 610. RSMo. |



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | ATE: 8 /4/2025 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMAT | IONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TAMMY THOMPSO |)N | | PHONE NUMBER: | : |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | N NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | • | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: tmtrph@outlook.co | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE 3/3/2025 4 | E: 1:48 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

While I understand that there have been instances in the state where the Central Committee has refused filing fees for individuals who did not go through their vetting process, I think this legislation hinders the ability to refuse filing fees for individuals who may have affiliated with the party but may have other issues that we may not want them on the ballot. For example, maybe that person is serving probation for a crime they have committed, have donated to a Democrat in the prior 26 weeks. Do not put a restriction on Central Committees because there are a few out there refusing filing fees for Republicans because they don't agree with them. I think a good solution to this vetting issue is to have closed primaries, that is where true vetting can take place with the Republican/Democrat voters. With open primaries, you may have persons of the opposition party pulling a primary ballot to vote for the person that is least desired for the actual party. I believe this legislation will not solve this issue.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | .TE: 4/2025 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TERESA MCBRIDE | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: kcchiefs.teresa@proton.me | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/3/2025 1 | 1:19 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a total violation of the First Amendment. The government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. A ruling by the Supreme Court said several years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important that in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights. This bill needs to receive a "do not pass" vote from the Elections Committee



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | • |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | NATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TERRY L BELL | | | PHONE NUM | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: terry-bell@sbcglo | bal.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 3/4/20 | DATE: 25 7:07 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

HB1064 will take away the voice of each party's central committee. The only vetting each central committee is capable of doing currently is to put an individual on the ballot for their party. It is a fundamental right to have an individual belong to a party and for that party to agree with a candidate for their ability to represent each party. To leave this opportunity at the choice of a possible unknown candidate nullifies an individual party's representation.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: THOMAS WARD | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: thomx60@earthlink.net | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/3/202 | PATE: 25 9:09 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I adamantly OPPOSE HB1064 for the following rational.1) The U.S. Supreme Court has long recognized that political parties, to include a County level Central Committee, have a constitutional right to freedom of association under the First Amendment. This includes the right to exclude candidates or members who do not align with the party's beliefs. (See California Democratic Party v. Jones (2000), Justice Scalia emphasized): "A political party's freedom to exclude [is] critical to its identity and purpose]... Freedom of association would prove an empty guarantee if associations could not limit control over their decisions to those who share the interests and persuasions that underlie the Missouri courts have similarly upheld this principle. (See State ex rel. Dunn association's being."2) v. Coburn (1914), the Missouri Supreme Court ruled that parties "cannot be compelled to associate with candidates who do not share their ideological commitments, as doing so would constitute a "fraud upon the voters" through "false labels."3) HB1064 directly challenges the precedent set by Dunn v. Coburn and federal cases such as Duke v. Cleland (1992), where courts upheld a party's right to reject candidates deemed ideologically unfit. Furthermore, in Miller v. Carnahan (2006), the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri affirmed that a political party's rejection of a filing fee—even if procedurally valid—constitutes a lawful exercise of associationally rights. By mandating acceptance of candidates who meet the 26-week affiliation rule, HB1064 removes the party's discretion to evaluate a candidate's alignment with its platform.4) If enacted, HB1064 would likely face immediate challenges on constitutional grounds, (See Tashjian v. Republican Party of Connecticut (1986), the Supreme Court invalidated a statute requiring parties to allow non-members to vote in primaries, stating such laws "burden the right of political parties to self-governance."5) represents a significant encroachment on the constitutional rights of political parties to freely associate and define their membership. Courts will invalidate HB1064 unless Missouri can demonstrate a compelling state interest that outweighs the First Amendment burden—a high bar given existing jurisprudence. And the State has not and will not be able to show greater "compelling interest", by constraining a party's ability to vet candidates. The bill risks fragmenting ideological coalitions, confusing voters, and inviting protracted legal battles. The intent of HB1064 is disingenuous in that its true goal is to prevent Republican Central Committees from vetting Candidate filers, affirming the Candidates pledge to uphold the Republican platform and give measure to the same for accountability to constituents of the Republican Party. If HB1064 is passed, the Legislators voting for this Bill would be codifying into law a provision that ignores precedence and would be considered unconstitutional. Submitted by: Thomas WardDate 3-3-2025



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | • | |
| TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TIM BORNEMANN | | PHONE NUMBE | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: tim@bic-investments.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | ATE: 5 11:30 AM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | .TE: 4/2025 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORMATION | ONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TOM THOMAS | | PHONE NUMBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | • | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: busymethis@protonmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DATE: 3/4/2025 12 | 2:41 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I Oppose this bill. HB 1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a political party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a political party's ballot. The United States Supreme Court ruled years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidates. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they do not want to be on the ballot violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court ruled in 2024 that county political committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court if they want to defend their right to select their own candidates for their primary ballots.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | · | |
| TESTIFYING: IN SUPPOR | RT OF IN OPPOSITION T | O FOR INFORMA | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TRAVIS COLE | | PHONE NUMBI | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | · | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: npdcole@yahoo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D. 3/3/2029 | ATE: 5 5:39 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | MATIONAL PURPO | SES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: TRAVIS HEINS | | | PHONE NUM | IBER: | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: | |
| EMAIL: Travisheins@yah | oo.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 2/28/2 | DATE: 025 6:55 PM | |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | | |

Political parties are private entities. The government is not the arbitor of who qualifies to be a member of ANY political party. This bill violates many constitutional protections of individuals and private organizations such as the 1st amendment right to free association and would surely end up being challenged in the Supreme court. The author of this bill should be ashamed of themselves for even

considering something so aggregious.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐ IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: VALERIE SWEAR | INGEN | | PHONE NUMB | ER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | · | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: mikezach4@aol.c | om | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT D 3/3/202 | ATE: 5 1:28 PM |
| THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. | | | | |

I strongly oppose this bill. It is a government overreach into private choices of political parties and is anti-Trump! Republican and Democrat political parties are allowed to support whoever they wish. The freedom to choose who represents the party must remain within the parties. The members of each political party do not need the meddling of legislators ELECTED to choose for us.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | |
| TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF | ☑ IN OPPOSITION TO | ☐FOR INFORMA | TIONAL PURPOSES |
| | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: WILLIAM A FELDMAN | | PHONE NUMBE | :R: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | |
| CITY: | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: feldmanbill2@gmail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT DA 3/3/2025 | TE: 11:01 PM |

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB1064 is a blatant violation of the First Amendment. Government should never be able to order or control who goes on a party's primary ballot. This bill effectively puts the county election authority in charge of a party's ballot. SCOTUS said years ago that political parties have a First Amendment right of association and that nowhere is that right more important than in the selection of its candidate. Ordering a party to put individuals on a ballot that they may not want violates First Amendment rights. Additionally, the 29th circuit court just ruled last year that county committees have the right to determine whether a candidate goes on the party's primary ballot. This bill violates that ruling. Political parties will be forced to once again go to court to defend their rights.



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | | |
| TESTIFYING: | \square IN SUPPORT OF | ✓ IN OPPOSITION TO | | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| INDIVIDUAL: | | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: WILLIAM A PROS | Т | | PHONE NUME | BER: |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION | ON NAME: | | TITLE: | |
| ADDRESS: | | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | ZIP: |
| EMAIL: bill.edie.prost@gr | mail.com | ATTENDANCE: Written | SUBMIT 0 3/4/202 | DATE: 25 7:36 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D LINDER CHA | PTER 610 RSMo |

I strongly oppose this bill because it does not allow for any debate nor objections to a person based on their stands on issues nor personal history (even criminal convictions like DWI or abusive behavior, etc.).



| BILL NUMBER: HB 1064 | | | | DATE: 3/4/2025 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| COMMITTEE: Elections | | | - | |
| TESTIFYING: | ☐IN SUPPORT OF | ☐ IN OPPOSITION TO | FOR INFORM | ATIONAL PURPOSES |
| | | WITNESS NAME | | |
| BUSINESS/ORG | ANIZATION: | | | |
| WITNESS NAME: ERIC FEY | | | PHONE NUMB 314-615-19 | |
| BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION ST. LOUIS COUNT | ON NAME: Y ELECTION BOARD | | TITLE: DIRECTOR | R OF ELECTIONS |
| ADDRESS: 725 NW PLAZA DF | RIVE | | | |
| CITY: ST. ANN | | | STATE: MO | ZIP: 63074 |
| EMAIL: | | ATTENDANCE: | SUBMIT D 3/4/202 | ATE: 5 12:00 AM |
| THE INFORMA | TION ON THIS FOR | M IS PUBLIC RECOR | D UNDER CHA | PTER 610. RSMo. |