

BILL NUMBER: HB 1262			DATE: 3/26/2025	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Educatio	n			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT		FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
	WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER:				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yahoo.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT E 3/26/20	DATE: 125 11:45 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I am in Support of this Bill and Legislation. This Legislation cannot mandate that students are forced to talk about Religion. These activities shall be on a voluntary basis only.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262					TE: 26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			-	
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: DEANNA RHODES	WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER:				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:				
ADDRESS:					
CITY: STATE: ZIP:					
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 3/26/2025 1	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262				DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JOANNE SCHRAD	DER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: crazyhorse1876@gmail.comATTENDANCE: WrittenSUBMIT DATE: 3/24/2025 11:48 PM				
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
This affirms that students have the right to the free exercise of religion voluntarily and on their own				

time at school. I have always said prayer is permitted in public schools as long as it is student led and not mandated. So many people misunderstand that and think that religion is entirely forbidden.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262				DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Sec	ondary Education			
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LOI	BBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: OTTO FAJEN			PHONE NUME 573-634-3	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI NEA			TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 1810 E. ELM ST.				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 3/26/2025 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATI	ON ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262			DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: ☑ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: TIMOTHY FABER		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: tfaber@mobaptist.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 3/26/20	DATE: 125 9:49 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
This is a great piece of legislation and I co was a student at Union High School (Unio during lunch break. Before long, a few frie had been reading. As often happens amor and jeer at us. Soon, the assistant princip read and discuss the Bible during lunch a STUDENTS! They were the ones mocking our rights suppressed If only I knew th students often are not aware of their right them. And – as in Mr. Sandoval's case – th or care, what the students' rights are. This religion. It is simply informing students, a does not stop at the school door, and re-e of the religious factors surrounding them origins of life, etc. Every person has a wor	n, MO) in the early 1980' ands began joining me a ng high school students. le (Mr. Sandoval) came t s it was "disruptive to the and jeering, but we (my en what I know now!But s, and will typically do a here are also school adm s piece of legislation is n nd reminding staff and f nforces the fact that ma – such as the crusades,	s I would read my nd we would sim . Though, others o us and told us the other students friends and I) we that's the thing, s their school ad hinistrators who r tot advocating for aculty, that the fr ny subjects cann the founding of o	y Bible for a bit ply discuss what we began to mock us we could no longer ". TO THE OTHER ere the ones who had high school ministrators tell may not understand, r any particular eedom of religion tot be discussed void our nation, the

worldview, and each worldview is determined by a person's religious beliefs and background. To attempt to teach or discuss any subject void of religion is to intentionally void that discuss of vital components....So yes, HB1262 deserves your full support.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262			DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: DAVID A.ROSMAN		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: David.Rosman@atheists.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 3/25/20	DATE: 125 6:13 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.			
The freedom of religion sections written into the proposed legislation does not seem to comply with Article 1 Section5 of the Missouri state constitution. The language used usually indicates that school			

Article 1 Section5 of the Missouri state constitution. The language used usually indicates that school officials, teachers and other who have direct contact with students may attempt to indoctrinate the students into their religious beliefs, usually one of the many sects of Christianity. This is contrary to the beliefs of non-Christians, agnostics, atheist and other non-believers.



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262				DATE: 3/26/2025	
COMMITTEE: Elementary and S	econdary Education			•	
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JERE HOCHMAN			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: jhstlny@gmail.coi	n	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 3/26/20	DATE: 025 3:07 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
before/after schoo valuable as the me diversity training a particular religiou pictures on a "pos	ol religious clubs. Teac ore one understands a as defined by some). \$ s holy day, and other s sting" could also serve	Y IN LAW. A Missouri dist hing ABOUT religions (ve bout another, respect can Students can pray, given support. POSTING the rig to PROMOTE a religious	s. teaching religion follow (although designated place hts is VAGUE. The or type of beliefs	n) is allowed and n that would be s to pray on he WORDING and s. Do were require	

the posting of the BILL OF RIGHTS? The PREAMBLE to the CONSTITUTION (separate from posters in history classrooms)?Do require posting of a student's rights if asked about their religion, race, gender, birthplace?Do require posting of a student's rights when being questioned by authorities. This is not a problem is "broke" and needs fixing.



			· · · · ·	
BILL NUMBER: HB 1262				DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Sec	condary Education			
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGA	NIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: MICKEY DOLLENS			PHONE NUMB 608-256-89	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION FREEDOM FROM R		N	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 750			1	
CITY: MADISON			STATE: WI	ZIP: 53701
EMAIL: dollensm@ffrf.org		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 3/25/20	ATE: 25 4:07 PM
Capitol AvenueJeffe Members of the Hou FFRF Action Fund (affiliate of the Freed working to uphold t public school in Mis framed as the "Miss the bill is constituti already enjoy full Fi express their belief under threat, when	erson City, MO 65101R use Elementary and Se FFRF AF) to express s dom From Religion Fou the constitutional sepa ssouri to post a statem souri Religious Liberty onally unnecessary an irst Amendment protects s—so long as it doesn	ion CommitteeMissouri e: Testimony in Opposit econdary Education Com trong opposition to Sen undation, a national non ration between church a ent outlining students' a in Schools Awareness A d ideologically charged. ctions. They can pray, re 't disrupt instruction. He ablished and actively pro-	ion to HB 1262Dea mittee:I am writin ate Bill 433. FFRF profit with over 40 and state.HB 1262 and employees' re Act." While it may Students and sch ad religious texts 3 1262 falsely imp	ar Chair Lewis and ng on behalf of the AF is the advocacy 0,000 members, requires every eligious liberties, appear harmless, nool employees , form clubs, and lies these rights are



BILL NUMBER: HB 1262			DATE: 3/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Elementary and Secondary Education			
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		IONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:			
		PHONE NUMBER:	:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: AMERICAN ATHEISTS		TITLE: STATE POLI	CY SPECIALIST
ADDRESS: 225 CRISTIANI STREET			
CITY: CRANFORD		STATE: NJ	ZIP: 07016
EMAIL: vanderson@atheists.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATI 3/26/2025	9:27 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHAPT	ER 610, RSMo.
to HB 1262, an unconstitutional bill that w schools by allowing them to engage in rel education of other students. This would e practice a religious minority faith up to sta that invites for religious coercion. We strict a national civil rights organization that wo protecting what Thomas Jefferson called created by the First Amendment. We strict members of our nation's communities and and unacceptable. We promote understan -building, and work to end the stigma assi- believes that no young person should be transparent attempt to give Christian stud disregarding others' right to be free from religious freedom with the nonexistent rig unwelcome behavior on others; it seeks to coercive activity under the guise of free s teachers the unfettered ability to use relig against vulnerable members of Missouri's of all non-Christians and atheists. Simply nation's most important institutions — pu impermissible risk of religious coercion. I and willfully ignores our country's long hi religious perspective.Our nation was four remain in separate spheres to ensure all A Clause of the First Amendment requires the elevate religious belief over nonbelief to p practice their faith — or lack thereof. In ot includes the right to not be subjected to u does not include, however, is the right to su	igious activities during of xpose LGBTQ+ students ate-sanctioned discrimin ongly urge you to reject the orks to achieve religious of the "wall of separation" I e to create an environme d where bigotry against of ding of atheists through ociated with being an athe subject to religious coerci- dents and teachers licens religious coercion. This bill to be free from the so- oredefine religious freed peech. If enacted, HB 126 ious belief in order to just public schools while fai public schools while fai put, this bill would entre blicly funded, nonsectari t undermines America's of story of religious pluralis aded upon the principle the mericans' religious belief at the government not fai prevent religious coercion her words, the fundamer inwanted religious prose subject others to unwant	A standard s	bense of the and those who in environment erican Atheists is ericans by nt and religion re accepted as een as abhorrent h, and community nerican Atheists ment.HB 1262 is a campus while ndamental right to of imposing engage in stian students and d discrimination religious freedom one of the create an eligious freedom eging a single vernment should the Establishment ver another or dividual to freely s freedom gious freedom

especially critical when children are involved, as they are particularly vulnerable to religious coercion within educational settings. The United States Supreme Court has noted that, when it comes to the education of our children, the government should be "particularly vigilant" to ensure schools do not promote religious views that may conflict with the religious beliefs of students and their families. This includes laws or policies that allow schools to direct students in activities which espouse a sectarian point of view. The Supreme Court has additionally made clear that students are free to engage in voluntary, nondisruptive religious expression in school, but schools are not constitutionally permitted to promote specific religious beliefs without violating the nation's long history and tradition of religious freedom.HB 1262's vague language allows for impermissibly broad interpretations and creates an environment ripe for state-supported proselytization in violation of the First Amendment. It lacks sufficient safequards to protect against religious coercion or otherwise ensure that all students' religious freedom rights are respected. For example, the provision which allows teachers to "discuss the influence of religion on history and culture" could easily be used as a loophole for introducing their religious beliefs into the classroom so long as they can provide some historical justification. This is precisely the type of impermissible religious coercion the Supreme Court warned against in Kennedy v. Bremerton School District, as public school students would be constantly subjected to religious expression by authority figures regardless of whether the student wishes to receive that messaging Additionally, HB 1262 creates opportunities for students to use classroom assignments as vehicles for proselytization by allowing them to "express [their] beliefs about religion in a classroom assignment." While students already have the right to include religious expression in their schoolwork, schools must be able to establish limits. The expression must be responsive to the assignment, and the school is required to prohibit any expression that infringes on the rights of other students. Students cannot use book reports, class presentations, or any other classroom activity to subject others to unwanted proselytization. This bill, however, would permit such behavior and leave the other students little recourse through which they could assert their First Amendment right to be free from religious coercion. Even more concerning, HB 1262 would place a target on the backs of LGBTQ+ children, religious minorities, and nonreligious students by allowing their classmates to harass or bully them under the quise of religious expression. These children are particularly vulnerable to religionbased harassment and discrimination, especially in predominantly Christian school districts. Although this bill purports to protect religious liberty, it would actively undermine for every student who does not adhere to the majority faith practiced in their school. Missouri lawmakers should not single out vulnerable children by purposefully encouraging unconstitutional and exclusionary religious activities in schools. Finally, if this bill were passed, Missouri schools would be forced into an impossible situation where they could neither prevent a student from evangelizing to their classmates nor allow evangelizing because it would infringe upon the religious liberty of other students. Both paths would be unlawful, either under the Constitution or Missouri state law, and schools would be held liable for failing to adhere to unworkable requirements. By deliberately blurring the line of schools' constitutional duties regarding religion, HB 1262 removes any possibility for a school to avoid expensive and unnecessary litigation at taxpayers' expense. Taxpayer dollars should fund public education, not be wasted on efforts to protect religious coercion or defend unconstitutional indoctrination in court.HB 1262 is a blatant attempt to impermissibly inject religion into Missouri's education system. Public schools should be a place where every child is welcome and can receive a quality education — not a place where children can be subjected to state-sanctioned religious indoctrination. We urge you to protect the religious freedom of all students by rejecting this bill. Should you have any questions regarding American Atheists' opposition to HB 1262, please contact me at vanderson@atheists.org.Sincerely,Victoria AndersonState Policy SpecialistAmerican Atheists