

BILL NUMBER: HB 129				DA 2/1	TE: 12/2025	
COMMITTEE: Judiciary						
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	OPPOSITION TO GROWINFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE			PH	PHONE NUMBER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TIT	TITLE:		
ADDRESS:						
CITY:		STA	ATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person		SUBMIT DATE: 2/11/2025 11:52 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						



BILL NUMBER: HB 129				DATE: 2/12/2025	
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	GR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
			PHONE NUME 573-636-2		
	OMMISSION		TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 100 E. HIGH					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/11/2025 12:00 AM		
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HB 129				DA 2/	TE: 12/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				·	
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIC	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JON E. BEETEM			PF	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:					
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/11/2025 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 129		ATE: 2 /12/2025			
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING: □IN SUPPORT OF □IN OPPOSITION TO □F	OR INFORMATI	IONAL PURPOSES			
WITNESS NAME					
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:					
WITNESS NAME: GWEN SMITH	PHONE NUMBER: 314-324-9656				
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: EMPOWER MISSOURI	TITLE: CRIMINAL JUSTICE POLICY MANAGER				
ADDRESS:	•				
CITY: ST. LOUIS	STATE: MO	ZIP: 63116			
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/11/2025 10:39 AM				
Missouri has focused on the criminal justice system and its impacts. HB 129 would create a taxpayer fund to reimburse counties for the costs of sequestering juries when a change of venue happens in first-degree murder cases only when the death penalty is sought. Empower Missouri and the Community Justice Coalition strongly believe that Missouri's public safety dollars should be invested in programs proven to keep communities safe, rather than spending taxpayer dollars to support increased usage of the death penalty. Instead of creating a fund to preserve the death penalty system, we urge the legislature to look at how it is applied. In Missouri, the death penalty is usually pursued in only a handful of counties, though all taxpayers share the expense. 40% of those currently on death row in our state are from two of Missouri's 114 counties (25% - St. Louis County and 15% - Boone County). The disparate and highly clustered use of the death penalty by certain counties raises serious questions of unequal and arbitrary application of the law.Each decision to seek the death penalty is made by a single county district attorney, but all state taxpayers bear the substantial financial costs of each death penalty case. The cost of sequestering a jury in a capital case is one of the many hidden costs of the death penalty system. More than a dozen states have tried to capture the cost of death penalty cases and found evidence that they are up to 10 times more expensive than other comparable cases. Instead of passing legislation for change of venue cases, Missouri should do a full audit of the death penalty system to fully understand all of the hidden costs of this government program, which spends millions of public safety dollars and is proven not to deter crime.Empower Missouri respectfully asks the committee to oppose HB 129. Thank you for your time and					
consideration of this matter. Source: Wasteful and Inefficient: The ala Conservatives Concerned about the Death Penalty. 2020.					