

BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DAT 1/2	TE: 29/2025	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety						
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFO	RMATIC	NAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: FRED J. FARRIS			PHONE N	UMBER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:						
CITY:			STATE:		ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		MIT DATE: 1/2025 1	2:00 AM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CI	HAPTE	R 610. RSMo.	



BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DATE: 1/29/2025
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public S	Safety			
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	BBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: JESSICA PETRIE			PHONE NUME 573-635-6 0	
REPRESENTING: ST. LOUIS COUNT	Y		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 1905				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT D 1/29/20	DATE: 125 12:00 AM
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DATE: 1/29/2025	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public	Safety				
TESTIFYING:	☑IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:				
WITNESS NAME: MARK BRUNS			PHONE NUM	BER:	
REPRESENTING: ST. LOUIS POLICE FRATERNAL ORD	OFFICERS ASSOCIATI	ON; MISSOURI	TITLE:		
ADDRESS: 715 JEFFERSON STREET					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101	
EMAIL: mark@brunslobby	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 1/29/2	DATE: 025 3:25 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					

In support of HB225



BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DATE: 1/29/2025		
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety						
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME				
BUSINESS/ORGA	NIZATION:					
WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN SCHROE	DER		PHONE NUMB 573-632-42			
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION MISSOURI FOP	NAME:		TITLE: PRESIDEN	IT		
ADDRESS: 715 JEFFERSON ST	Γ					
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101		
EMAIL: center67@yahoo.co	om	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 1/29/20	ATE: 25 3:28 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						

In support of HB225.



BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DATE: 1/29/2025
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public S	Safety			
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	DBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: W. SCOTT SWAIN			PHONE NUME 573-230-8	
REPRESENTING: LEGISLATIVE LAW CHIEFS ASSOC.	ENFORCEMENT COA	ALITION AND MO POLICE	: TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 104 CLAY				
CITY: JC			STATE: MO	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 1/29/20	DATE: 125 12:00 AM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo



BILL NUMBER: HB 225				DAT 1/2	E: 9/2025	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety						
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR IN	FORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONES	T-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	E PUBLIC ADVOCATE	PHOI	NE NUMBER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE	E:		
ADDRESS:						
CITY:			STAT	ΓE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: arniedienoff@yah	oo.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 1/29/2025 1	1:57 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.						

This is a bad Bill and I trust Mr. Myers 0%! This Billwas handled wrongly!



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 225			DAT 1/2	re: 29/2025		
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety						
TESTIFYING: IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR IN	IFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME					
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: KORTNIE HUDDLESTON						
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:						
ADDRESS:						
CITY:		STA	TE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL: kortniehuddleston@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 1/29/2025 1	0:05 PM		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

HB 225 is a dangerous and misguided bill that prioritizes unchecked law enforcement authority over the safety and rights of the public. By permitting officers from outside jurisdictions—including those from other states—to operate within our communities without clear accountability, this bill invites potential misuse of power and undermines local oversight. For instance, during the 2020 protests in Portland, Oregon, federal officers from outside the state were deployed, leading to widespread reports of excessive force, arbitrary detentions, and a lack of transparency. These incidents eroded public trust and created chaos rather than ensuring safety. HB 225 risks repeating these mistakes by allowing outside officers to act without the same level of accountability as local law enforcement, leaving our communities exposed to potential overreach and abuse. Additionally, the provision allowing police vehicles to operate without audible or visual signals during surveillance or when responding to suspected crimes is a reckless and unnecessary change. This poses a direct threat to public safety, as drivers and pedestrians rely on these signals to navigate safely around emergency vehicles. For example, in 2019, an unmarked police car in New York City, operating without lights or sirens, was involved in a high-speed chase that resulted in a collision with a civilian vehicle, injuring multiple people. HB 225 would normalize such practices, increasing the likelihood of similar accidents. Moreover, this provision raises serious concerns about transparency. If officers can operate without clear identification, how can citizens distinguish between legitimate law enforcement activity and potential impersonators? This ambiguity could lead to dangerous misunderstandings and further strain community-police relations.Rather than expanding law enforcement powers, HB 225 should focus on addressing the systemic issues that have fueled calls for reform across the nation. The bill does nothing to improve training, promote de-escalation, or strengthen community policing—measures that are proven to build trust and reduce conflict. For example, cities like Camden, New Jersey, have seen significant success in reducing crime and improving community relations by disbanding and rebuilding their police departments with a focus on accountability and community engagement. HB 225, by contrast, ignores these proven strategies and instead grants law enforcement broader authority without corresponding safeguards. This approach is not only irresponsible but also counterproductive to the goal of creating safer, more just communities. I urge lawmakers to reject this bill and instead pursue policies that prioritize accountability, transparency, and the well-being of all citizens.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 225			DATE: 1/29/2025			
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety						
TESTIFYING : □IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES			
	WITNESS NAME					
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: MICHAEL DREYER						
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:						
ADDRESS:		·				
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:			
EMAIL: mdreyer93@gmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 1/29/202	TE: 5 10:01 PM			

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

Testimony in Opposition to HB 225 – Law Enforcement PracticesHB 225 is a deeply concerning piece of legislation that threatens to erode public trust in law enforcement and undermine the safety and rights of communities. By allowing law enforcement officers from outside jurisdictions—including those from other states—to operate within our communities without the same accountability measures as local officers, this bill opens the door to potential abuses of power. For example, an officer unfamiliar with local laws, customs, or community dynamics could escalate situations unnecessarily, leading to tragic outcomes. We have seen this play out in other states where outside agencies have been brought in during protests or emergencies, resulting in excessive use of force and civil rights violations. This bill does not provide sufficient safeguards to prevent such incidents, leaving our communities vulnerable to over-policing and misconduct. Furthermore, the provision allowing police vehicles to operate without audible or visual signals during surveillance or when responding to suspected crimes is a dangerous overreach. This creates a significant risk to public safety, as drivers and pedestrians rely on these signals to anticipate and respond to emergency vehicles. For instance, if an unmarked police car speeds through a residential neighborhood without lights or sirens to pursue a suspect, it could easily lead to accidents or even fatalities. This provision also raises serious concerns about transparency and accountability. Without clear signals identifying law enforcement activity, how can citizens be sure that the individuals claiming to be officers are indeed who they say they are? This ambiguity could be exploited by bad actors, further eroding trust between law enforcement and the communities they serve. Finally, HB 225 fails to address the broader systemic issues within law enforcement that demand urgent attention. Rather than focusing on improving training, de-escalation tactics, or community policing, this bill prioritizes expanding the reach and powers of law enforcement without corresponding measures to ensure accountability. For example, the bill does not include provisions for cross-jurisdictional oversight or mechanisms to address complaints against out-of-state officers. This lack of accountability is unacceptable and risks perpetuating the very problems that have led to widespread calls for reform. Instead of passing HB 225, we should be investing in policies that build trust, promote transparency, and prioritize the safety and well-being of all citizens. This bill is a step in the wrong direction, and I urge lawmakers to reject it.