

years.What I DO know is that Joe White and others at Kanakuk used Non Disclosure Agreements to keep me, and parents like me, from finding out the truth about what was going on at Kanakuk.Joe White and all the folks at Kanakuk put my children at risk — SACRIFICED children, if not MY children — just as Catholics and the church sacrificed ME.Before I close, let me mention that all such bills must cover and prohibit not just Non DISCLOSURE Agreements but also Non DISPARAGEMENT Agreements and all Agreements of that nature. As we speak, the Catholic Church in Knoxville, Tennessee, at least, despite the U.S. Catholic Church making a show of banning Non DISCLOSURE Agreements, continues to silence survivors with Non DISPARAGEMENT Agreements.Same difference.I know that because I

know, personally, Catholic survivors who have been silenced.Finally, as I said, I'm here to offer my QUALIFIED support for Missouri House Bill 709, qualified because I can't help but notice that the bill continues the same restrictive timeframe language that — due to the efforts of then Bishop and now Cardinal Dolan — 22 years ago, nearly to the DAY, in the aftermath of SPOTLIGHT, created a Statute Of Limitations problem for me and my lawsuit against the Archdiocese of St. Louis.Language the fails to take into account the manipulation I experienced, first at the hands of Father LeRoy Valentine, who told me he was giving me the power to consecrate the Eucharist, which is why I failed to understand the weird stuff he did with and to me. Sure, the ritual got WEIRD at the end, but Fr. Valentine gave me the power to turn ordinary bread into the LITERAL body of Jesus Christ, so...On top of that are the lies, gaslighting and manipulation, perpetrated by then Bishop and now Cardinal Timothy Dolan who, likely any day now, will be off to Rome to run for Pope.So, yes, I've heard it all.Seen it all.And all I can say is this.Show me.Chris O'LearyUniversity City, MissouriFebruary 26, 2025



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: CHRISTA YANDEL	L		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: christayandell@gr	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT [2/24/20	DATE: 025 11:10 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM		D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
White and Kanaku despicable act tow Newman, and Kan surface until many settlement (given	k Kamps, abused him u vard our son,we discuss akuk Kamps accountab / years later, we signed	buse victim at the age o intil he was 13 yrs old. W sed with many people ab le. Because there was a the NDA that was attach e signed an NDA with Ka t was the only option. it	/hen we found ou oout options to he coverup of inforn ed to our small a anakuk Kamps an	It about this horrible, old Joe White, Pete mation that wouldn't nd embarrassing ad Ace Insurance

break our NDA in order to give our son his voice. The effects of silence of his abuse for years was painful to see and experience first-hand. I'm thankful he is still alive because it's possible he could have died by suicide many times. Please don't silence victims any longer. They need a voice to heal, to release the pain, and mostly to live. I am a Licensed Professional Counselor. I see trauma victims weekly in my practice. The majority, over 75%, are unable to share their traumatic experiences of child sexual abuse until many years later. There is data showing the affects of adverse childhood experiences which many do not disclose until many years later. Once they feel comfortable and have grown mentally, physically, and emotionally enough to share, if an NDA is attached to their trauma, their voice is taken away. The only way healing occurs in trauma is to give the victim back their voice and freedom. An NDA protects the abuser, not the victim.NDA's have no place in sexual abuse cases. The voice and power should belong to the victims. Not the perpetrators.

we realized, due to a huge cover-up that we were lied to and at that point, had no recourse except to



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DA 2/2	TE: 26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR	INFORMATIO	ONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: ELIZABETH PHILL	IPS		Pł	HONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TI	TLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	TATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/26/2025 1	2:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDE	R CHAPTE	ER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GAETANO D'AND	REA		PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: gdandrea@laffeyb	oucci.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/24/2	DATE: 025 11:16 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECORD	UNDER CHA	APTER 610. RSMo.

My name is Guy D'Andrea, and I am an attorney who has dedicated my career to representing survivors of child sexual abuse, including children in the great State of Missouri. I have spent years in courtrooms across the country fighting for justice on behalf of the most vulnerable members of our society, namely children who have suffered unimaginable harm at the hands of abusers. I speak to you today not just as a lawyer, but in my individual capacity as someone who who has witnessed firsthand the lifelong consequences of child abuse and the devastating impact of forced silence through nondisclosure agreements (NDAs). For decades, powerful institutions, entities and individuals have used NDAs as a legal tool to suppress survivors' voices and shield perpetrators from accountability by keeping the unsuspecting public in the dark. These agreements do not protect victims; they protect abusers and the systems that enable them. They serve only one function-to ensure that the truth remains buried, that patterns of abuse remain undiscovered, and that future children remain at risk.Survivors of child sexual abuse carry their trauma for a lifetime. Many of them struggle for years, even decades, to speak out about their experiences. And yet, when they find the courage to come forward, they are often met not with justice but silencing hammer of an NDA. The enforcement of NDAs in child sexual abuse cases creates a dangerous cycle: They prevent survivors from warning others. If a survivor cannot publicly identify their abuser than the abuser remains free to victimize others. They silence patterns of abuse within institutions, specifically schools, churches, sports organizations, and other entities use NDAs to conceal systematic failures and repeat offenders. They create a culture of impunity. When abusers and the institutions that harbor them know they can buy silence, they are emboldened to continue their misconduct without fear of exposure. Child sexual abuse is not a mere private dispute-it is a matter of public safety. The justice system must never be used to silence survivors in favor of protecting predators and institutions. By allowing NDAs to be enforced in these cases, we are sending a clear and chilling message to survivors. Your pain is secondary to the reputation of your abuser. Survivors deserve dignity. They deserve autonomy over their own stories. They deserve the right to warn others, to seek justice, and to contribute to systemic change. No piece of paper should strip them of that right.



				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSE
		WITNESS NAME		
NDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: GRETCHEN CARL	SON & JULIE ROGINSI	XY/LIFT OUR VOICES	PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	NNAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Gretchen.Carlson(@LiftOurVoices.org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/22/2	DATE: 025 7:33 AM
personal stories o American workpla forward with mech	ces: sexual misconduc anisms like non-disclo	Fox News in 2016, we re to and the silencing of the sure agreements. As adv pass laws to eradicate s	ose who have the vocates in this sp	e courage to come bace we provide



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: JESSICA PETRIE			PHONE NUME 573-635-6	
REPRESENTING: MO NETWORK AG	AINST CHILD ABUSE		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: PO BOX 1805				
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: 65102
EMAIL: jessica@wintonpo	licygroup.com	ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT [2/26/20	DATE: 025 3:02 PM
THE INFORMAT	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DA 2/2	TE: 2 6/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR I	NFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JOE ALARCON			PH	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		רוד	ſLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/26/2025 1	2:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: JOHN FREDERICH	(HOBBS		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: jhobbs007@gmail	.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/24/20	DATE: D25 3:14 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Springs, MO Dr. Je was 11 years old. Boy Scouts etc in say, I have some k	oseph T Mackey. I was You can find more info addition to the guilty p nowledge on this topic	g-standing survivor of cl abused from approxima ormation about me by sea blea on MO Case Net of N c and here regarding "No these wasteful, unnecess	te ages of 14-25 a arching my name Ir. Mackey back ir on Disclosure Agro	and knew him since I and Kansas City, 2017.Needless to eements." I stand by

games," that some have chosen to attach to settlement proceedings. As you are aware from other testimony and stories today, this is a vital bill that must pass into MO LAW ASAP.Please contact me directly for more information and I look forward to seeing this and all other bills related to protecting children in the State of Missouri PASS INTO LAW IN 2025!Thank you.Sincerely and Best,John F. Hobbsjhobbs007@gmail.com816-520-3009Lee's Summit, MO



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DA ⁻ 2/2	re: 2 6/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR I	NFORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: KEITH DYGERT			PH	IONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIC	DN NAME:		דוד	ſLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			ST	ATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:		SUBMIT DATE: 2/26/2025 1	2:00 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					



BILL NUMBER: HB 709			DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary			
TESTIFYING: VIN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
	WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:			
		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: Iyand@acehandymanservices.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/26/20	DATE: 125 9:59 AM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM	I IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
Members of the Missouri Legislature,My n survivor of child sexual abuse and an adv in Missouri. My ongoing legal journey (Ca Inc. et al.) underscores the challenges ma addresses a critical issue: the use of none abuse. This bill proposes that NDAs in su survivors are not legally bound to silence survivors to share their experiences witho	ocate for those who have se No. 23CT-CC00088 - Iny survivors face within disclosure agreements (ch cases should not be .The importance of HB 7	ve been silenced I Logan Yandell v. I n our current syst (NDAs) in cases o judicially enforce 709 lies in its pote	by legal constraints Kanakuk Heritage em.House Bill 709 f childhood sexual able, ensuring that ential to empower

conceal abuse, protect perpetrators and prevent public awareness. By rendering these agreements unenforceable, Missouri can take a stand for transparency, accountability, and the rights of survivors. This legislation represents more than a legal amendment; it signifies a commitment to justice and healing for those affected by childhood sexual abuse. It acknowledges the courage required to come forward and ensures that our legal system supports, rather than hinders, that bravery. I urge you to support and pass HB 709 unanimously. By doing so, you affirm Missouri's dedication to protecting the vulnerable and upholding justice. Let this bill be a testament to our collective resolve to support survivors and prevent future abuse. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Logan Yandell



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
NDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA A. SALM	ION		PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			L	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: lisasalmon74@gm	nail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 2/24/20	DATE: D25 11:08 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	RD UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.
exercised for the p confidentiality has male classmate of	protection of the vulne s provided coverage fo f my son's disclosed th	e a high degree of confic rable. What if instead of r institutions and offend nat his Sunday school te	protecting the vul ers? In August 20 acher anally and o	nerable, this 14, a three-year old orally raped him
supervision of this case after a lawsu other toddlers we	s teen offender for mor it was filed against the re completely left out o	being two and three-year re than one ministry year church via a news artic of the investigative proce	r, we learned the a le in late 2015. Bot ss.The church, po	larming details of the th he and dozens of blice, and DCS relied
developmentally of allegations, and the responsibility of in psychological, and	apable of doing. In add nat none of the parties nvestigating as to when d developmental evalu	close abuse to receive h dition, upon learning of t could provide any assur ther our son was abusec ations, we approached t	he exposure to th ance our child wa I fell upon us. Afte he church with att	e convicted offender, s safe from harm, the r significant medical, orneys. We settled
Johnson in Noven	nber 2016. Prior to the	n Williamson County Co mediation and court hea ak publicly about our cas	ring, we expresse	d to our attorneys

shared that I believe secret settlements for child sexual abuse cases are immoral. The church was also aware of our concerns as our attorneys shared a non-financial demand list and explained that it was more important than money. While reviewing our settlement paperwork, we struck out any language that would convey any sense that we were interested in keeping the overall situation confidential. In addition, I sent emails to our attorneys asking to confirm that the only the amount of the settlement was confidential. I also continued to share my concern that it was a public safety issue and desired to maintain the right to speak out publicly about the case. Following the mediation date, I began speaking with reporters from The Tennessean. I informed them of the attorneys with whom we worked and said that I had no problem with them speaking to the attorneys. The reporters also said they were interested in speaking with a witness who attended a meeting with us and church pastors in December 2015 as well as other church members. I contacted all these people either by text, phone, or email. None of my communications were secretive. For our court hearing on November 8, 2016, we went to a back conference room to sign paperwork. The court hearing lasted 10 minutes. We were told that we were signing paperwork we had already reviewed. However, unbeknownst to us, new paperwork was introduced with language that we had not reviewed beforehand. This made the confidentiality of the settlement very broad. We found out about the broad confidentiality after reporters called the church which led to the church giving us notice of the breach. We never intended to enter into an agreement and violate it immediately. We were frightened at what actions the church would take against us. As a result, we retracted any statements about the church and sought to address all issues through legal

means. Personally, after this experience, I had to seek out medical care for my extreme distress. I had an appointment shortly after the meeting with the attorneys and was prescribed medication for the anxiety, something I hadn't needed until that point in my life. After the new year, we reached out to and found other attorneys to resolve the legal situation as best as we could. In the Settlement Agreement and General Release that we signed, the confidentiality and non-disparagement not only applied to conversations with the media, but also medical personnel, therapists, teachers, and investigative authorities. This was important in our situation, since our son had ongoing medical tests and therapy. and the events at the church are relevant in his evaluations and treatment. Our son had additional medical evaluations in an out of state hospital. I re-initiated contact with Brentwood Police. I met with Chief Jeff Hughes, Assistant Chief Tommy Walsh, Captain David O'Neil, and Detective John Wood. Chief Hughes said that he was interested in looking at the results of the evaluation. During the time that I communicated with Brentwood Police, I shared that I spoke with a woman whose son was in the offender's two-year-old class and not his three-year-old class. She said she was not notified of any incident from the church. There is no guarantee that the parents in the convicted offender's two-yearold class would know their child was exposed to him. Due to the offender's juvenile status, his name and photo were never released. How would a family from his two-year-old class know their child was exposed to a convicted offender? This is a very large church with hundreds of children who attend, and not a small, family church where everyone is known. The police said they would seek out other possible victims and assured me that they were committed to giving the parents of children harmed the best possible information so they can properly care for their child. They also stated that if the investigation revealed enough evidence for additional criminal prosecution, they would present the information to the district attorney's office for prosecution. The police did not keep this commitment. Another police officer later informed me that the convicted offender received a plea deal which protected him from future prosecutions. I have sought to bring attention regarding these serious public safety issues to multiple law enforcement agencies, DCS, Brentwood city officials, multiple legislators, and child sexual abuse advocates. I have also reached out to multiple clergy as well as the Boys Scouts and Metro Nashville Public Schools to inform both organizations of the presence of a convicted sexual offender in their organizations.Between 2016 and 2019, I felt like I was making some headway in raising awareness and potentially resolving some of my concerns. However, it felt as if I ran into roadblocks. And, in some ways, it felt as if my efforts were counterproductive. In addition, it was a tumultuous time in the legislature. My state representative had resigned due to scandals, and my state senator was married to the judge for our case in which paperwork was introduced unbeknownst to us that made the confidentiality of our settlement overly broad. Through 2023, the case and corresponding issues came back into my attention more acutely. I felt strongly compelled to address the public safety issues and sought out legal assistance. In the fall of 2023, I contacted attorneys at Horwitz Law and retained them. Since then, I have been in ongoing litigation seeking a declaration that provisions of my settlement agreement with the church that have the purpose or effect of concealing the details relating to a claim of child sexual abuse are unenforceable under Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-34-103. I wonder if the church, police, and DCS would have responded more robustly and transparently initially in 2014 if NDAs for child sexual abuse were not an available silencing tool. It sounds unbelievable that community leaders from multiple organizations would callously ignore the care and protection of toddlers (many of whom are preverbal) under the supervision of an individual who allegedly committed a violent sexual attack against a classmate. NDAs provide excessive and unnecessary secrecy which often leads to more secrecy protecting evil and allowing it to grow. The church, police, and DCS all had prior ongoing relationships with each other. The police officers were on the church campus every weekend providing security for children's classes. In addition, the children's pastor had been involved with DCS on behalf of foster care and adoption advocacy initiatives. If there were a situation that I would have considered a "best-case scenario" for the three community organizations to come together on the behalf of the health and well-being of the children, this was it. However, they did not. Basic common decency and compassion for the vulnerable did not prevail. Adverse childhood experiences such as child sexual abuse can have a long-term impact on a child's mental and physical health and well-being. Inaction or under investigation of child sexual abuse allegations can be concealed via NDAs. The state and communities have an obligation to act on behalf of young children exposed to an alleged offender that has been in a repeated supervisory role over young children beyond simply removing him from a position of authority. If the investigative process for allegations of abuse in group childcare settings follows this pattern utilized in this childcare setting in the future. I consider that nothing short of government sanctioned cover up of child sexual abuse facilitated and concealed by NDAs. No more "hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil", all the while little children are thrown under the bus. What is more important — the convenience and protection of the powerful or protection of the vulnerable?Additional information may be found via my blog https://janespeaksup.com/.



				1
BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MELISSA RALSTO	DN		PHONE NUM	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	DN NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ralston.melissa@p	protonmail.com	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/26/2	DATE: 025 8:59 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	APTER 610, RSMo.
and family violence who cause harm. I opposition to the o long and nonlinea few days, weeks, i childhood and res (Halvorsen et al., 2 ranged from 0 to 6 (for those who rep of 28.34 years. (Ea summer camp) dis may delay disclos survivors of CSA, gendered boundar	e. The use of NDAs in Most importantly, the u decades of research th r process. A few leadin months, or years, or no earchers estimate that 2020).In a study of mer 33 years with an average ported ever having an i aston et al 2019)Most s sclose when they are b ure beyond average of regardless of gender, ries that may delay dis	Ity 20 years of experience child sexual abuse case use of NDAs for child sexual abuse of NDAs for child sexual at shows disclosure of a g statistics: Children ma ever at all. However, man t on average, it takes chi h aged 19-84 years, the lease of 21.45 years. The lease n-depth discussion) range urvivors who experience between the ages of 50 – f 17 – 21 years (Allagia efficience closure longer for boys disclosure late into adult	s is appalling and cual abuse cases a abuse and healing ay disclose CSA r ly children do not ldren 17 – 21 year ength of time until ngth of time until i ged from 0 to 72 y e sexual abuse in 69, suggesting th t al., 2019); (Child o disclosure, ther compared to girls	I protects only those are is in complete g from that harm is a ight away, within a disclose CSA in their is to disclose CSA first disclosure in-depth discussion rears with an average an institution (e.g. at institutional abuse USA, 2024).While all re are specific, . Boys that
compared to girls.	. Gendered boundaries	disclosure late into adul s for boys include confor	ming to masculin	e norms, shame or
		مالممينا مميرمين (ماميَّس من بطا		

stigma against homosexuality (if abused by a male), perceived lack of resources (i.e. resources geared towards girls), and naming the experience as CSA (i.e. denial or "part of growing up") (Easton et al., 2014). Researchers have identified factors that may influence, by either facilitating or impeding, disclosure. At the personal level, internalized victim blaming or shame may impede one's decision to disclose. Similarly, doubting one's own experience could also be a deterrent. (Collin-Vézina et al., 2015). At the interpersonal level, families with strict gender roles or with other forms of violence occurring in the house can impact a child's likelihood of disclosing. Additionally, the social network around the child, either or supportive or unsupportive, may have an impact on a child's likelihood of disclosing or not disclosing. (Guastaferro et al., 2022) (Collin-Vézina et al., 2015). At the environmental level, the level of school involvement with the students can influence disclosure, with schools that are more involved being more likely to encourage disclosure (i.e. following up with behavior problems or poor academic performance). • At the sociocultural level, beliefs about gender roles and masculinity and/or trust of the legal system can influence disclosure (Alaggia et al., 2019). In summary, the factors surrounding disclosure and healing from child sexual abuse are complex. The research is clear that silencing a survivor of abuse through NDAs both replicates and compounds the trauma.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025	
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: NANCY GOTH			PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 2/25/20	DATE: 125 8:37 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
Victime are affected the rest of their lives. Their voices must have be smothered					

Victims are affected the rest of their lives. Their voices must never be smothered.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DAT 2/2	E: 6/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				·	
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ORMATIC	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN MACRAE			PHON	E NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:		
ADDRESS:					
CITY:			STATE	Ξ:	ZIP:
EMAIL: macrae.susan@gmail.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	s 2	UBMIT DATE: /21/2025 9	:57 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
l am in favour of bill HB 709 Nondisclosure Agreements in Child Sexual Abuse Cases passing in the state of Missouri. As a person with an NDA for childhood sexual abuse for the past 28 years, this legal					

state of Missouri. As a person with an NDA for childhood sexual abuse for the past 28 years, this legal silencing tool has affected all areas of my life, including my sexuality, my work, my creativity, my voice and my sanity. These NDAs are extremely powerful and destructive tools to silence victims and protect abusers. Indeed, it costs society a great deal more to have abusers hidden as it allows them to continue to abuse others. And other victims can not warn anyone else about the potential damage that could be inflicted on them because victims have been silenced. NDAs are unconscionable tools in the hands of abusers, and have literally destroyed many young people's lives. They must be banned.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	✓ IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: TIMOTHY FABER			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	N NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
		ATTENDANCE: In-Person	SUBMIT E 2/25/20	DATE: 125 9:14 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I support HB709 because in order for truth to be pursued, the truth of who is involved must be known.				

I support HB709 because in order for truth to be pursued, the truth of who is involved must be known Anonymous claims, anonymous witnesses, anonymous anything has no place is a legal system that truly seeks justice.



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C."HONES	T-ABE" DIENOFF-STAT	PHONE NUMBER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: ATTENDANCE: In-Person		SUBMIT DATE: 2/26/2025 11:57 PM		
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				
I am Opposed to this Bill. This is Bad Public Polity and not being Transparent. Defeat this Bill!				



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025
COMMITTEE: Judiciary				
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: BRANDON KOCH			PHONE NUME 573-893-4	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: MISSOURI INSURANCE COALITION			TITLE: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
ADDRESS: 220 EAST HIGH S	TREET			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY	,		STATE: MO	ZIP: 65101
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: 2/26/2025 12:00 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				



BILL NUMBER: HB 709				DATE: 2/26/2025	
COMMITTEE: Judiciary					
TESTIFYING:	IN SUPPORT OF	IN OPPOSITION TO		IATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: SUSAN GIBSON			PHONE NUM	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL: Onesuegibson@protonmail.com		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 2/22/2	DATE: 025 6:21 AM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					
The time to report should not be limited. Such trauma can take decades to surface					

The time to report should not be limited. Such trauma can take decades to surface.