

### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committe	e on Property Tax Refo	orm	·		
TESTIFYING:	<b>☑</b> IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSE	S
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: AMY DECLUE			PHONE NUMBI	ER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DA 9/9/202	ATE: 5 11:15 AM	

### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

As a conservative I heavily support any effort to give tax relief to the citizens of MO. Property tax allows the government to retrieve the property of an individual who cannot pay, making their property actually the property of the government, and the people just renters of such property. I have been knocking doors and talking to the good people of HD 118. One major theme I have heard from the people is the need for tax relief. They need it now. This resolution would give the people an opportunity for swift relief.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: 9/9/2025
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	rm		
TESTIFYING:	☑ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BRENDA HOPFER	2		PHONE NUMI	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT I 9/9/202	DATE: 25 1:02 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECORI	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

I support this bill



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DAT <b>9/9</b>	E: // <b>2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		·	
TESTIFYING:	<b>☑</b> IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO		FORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: JOSHUA WILCUT	Т		PHON	IE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE	:	
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE	E:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written		UBMIT DATE: 19/2025 12:	:09 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER	CHAPTE	R 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		•
TESTIFYING:	<b>☑</b> IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: KATE SICKLES			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT <b>9/9/20</b>	DATE: <b>25 9:54 PM</b>
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	APTER 610. RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm	·	
TESTIFYING:	<b>☑</b> IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MONTY AND JENI	NY SPENA		PHONE NUMB	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:				
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 9/9/202	OATE: 5 11:34 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.

We support this in theory. We want to see the reduction of government as much as possible. We do not want to continue to fund a runaway bloated government. Eventually we should also like to see the abolition of real estate property as well.



BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	rm	·		
TESTIFYING:	<b>☑</b> IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: REBECCA SOFOL	.0		PHONE NUMB	ER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		_
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 9/9/202	OATE: <b>5 4:16 PM</b>	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.	



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DAT <b>9/9</b>	E: <b>/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	rm		·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFO	ORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: AMGROVES				NUMBER: <b>75-3714</b>	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: CITY OF SIKESTON  TITLE: FINANCE DIRECTOR/CITY TREASURER				CTOR/CITY	
ADDRESS: 105 E. CENTER ST	TREET				
CITY: SIKESTON			STATE: MO		ZIP: <b>63801</b>
EMAIL: amgroves@sikest	on.org	ATTENDANCE: Written		BMIT DATE: 9/2025 11:	08 AM
anigroves@sikest	on.org	Wilten	313	3/2023 11.	OU AW

### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The City of Sikeston respectfully opposes Missouri HB 5, 6, and 7. If enacted, these bills would result in an annual revenue loss of approximately \$580,000–\$600,000 for our community. This reduction is equivalent to the cost of 7.5 full-time public safety officers or 8 skilled workers in our Public Works Department. Such a loss would have a direct and negative impact on the City's ability to provide essential services, particularly in the areas of police and fire protection or the elimination of 80% of our staff in our Park's Department.

We urge lawmakers to reject these bills in order to preserve the resources necessary to maintain the level of services our citizens deserve.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>			DA <sup>*</sup>	TE: 0/2025		
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Property Tax Reform						
<b>TESTIFYING</b> : □IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFOR	RMATIC	NAL PURPOSES		
	WITNESS NAME					
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: PHONE NUMBER: BRUCE WILLIAMS						
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: TITLE:			ITLE:			
ADDRESS:						
CITY:		STATE:		ZIP:		
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBM <b>9/9/2</b>	IIT DATE: 2025 10	:54 AM		

### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

My name is Bruce Williams. I am a 75-year-old lifelong Missourian. My wife and I have owned and lived in 5 homes during our 53-year marriage. We paid property taxes on each of those homes. Those property taxes provide for schools, libraries, public safety, etc. Mostly local services, that involves no State revenues. Throughout my life I have voted in countless elections for local Board Members who manage the various public entities that are funded through property taxes and for or against tax or bond issue measures that would affect my taxes and the services that they fund. If I felt a local service was being run properly, I typically voted for the incumbent in an election. If I had concerns, I would vote for their opponent if their platform made sense. The same with tax increases, if a need was put forth that made sense it would get my vote but if I didn't see the need or felt the funding was out of line, I would vote no.

I have grave concerns that the effect of HJR 5, 6, 7, and 8 will be crippling to the funding of my LOCAL public entities. I have read the legislation and see no hard and fast guarantees that local public entities will not lose revenues if this legislation passes and/or that any lost revenues will be made up by the State. What studies have been made to address these concerns? Does anyone on this committee know what their individual property tax will go to if one of these joint resolutions would go into law? Does anyone on this committee know how the funding would change for their local school district, library, ambulance district, etc. if this would take effect?

As for a possible resolution to the problem. I may be lucky, but I don't see a problem. My assessed value and taxes have risen on property that I have owned, but no more than the cost of living. I don't expect to get the same, or better, services today than 20, 30 or 40 years ago for the same taxes that I paid 20, 30 or 40 years ago.

Today voters look to local officials for a fix to problems with the local services that they oversee. If one of these proposals pass and funding is gutted for the local school district or ambulance district and that entity can no longer function at an acceptable level, do we turn to the Legislature for help?

I would also remind the Committee that many Counties have recently implemented a senior property tax freeze. It might be worthwhile to let that be in effect for a few years and evaluate what that has done to funding levels of the local taxing authorities.

Before proceeding with this legislation, I would urge the Committee to be certain of the final consequences to how the funding would change for the various public entities affected.

Thank you for your time.



BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☑ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSE	s
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: DONNA S GOODII	N		PHONE NUME	BER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			•		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT E 9/9/202	DATE: 2 <b>5 4:40 PM</b>	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D LINDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo	

This shifts the burden to property owners only. There were 2 bills already passed (SB190 & SB3) because the burden on homeowners is already too high. I hope you will find a more equitable solution.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	on Property Tax Refo	rm		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO		ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	BBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: JASON ZAMKUS			PHONE NUME <b>573-291-6</b>	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI REALTO	ORS OFFICE		TITLE: LOBBYIS	Т
ADDRESS: 1320 ELMERINE A	VENUE			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65101</b>
EMAIL: jzamkus@gmail.co	m	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 9/9/2025 11:20 AM	
THE INFORMAT	ION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: 9/9/2025		
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		•		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES		
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: JERE HOCHMAN			PHONE NUMI	BER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:			TITLE:	TITLE:		
ADDRESS:			·			
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:		
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 9/9/202	DATE: 25 1:33 PM		
THE INCODMA	TION ON THIS FOR	MIC DUBLIC DECOR	D LINDED CHA	DTED 640 DCMa		

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. I oppose any change to Property Tax Laws at this time.

- 1. This is an end run, devious, and detrimental to public schools and services.
- 2. DON'T DO TAX REFORM IN A SILO, IN SEPTEMBER.

How will you predict the FISCAL IMPACT THAT AFFECTS EVERY CHILD, EVERY FAMILY, EVERY PUBLIC SERVICE IN MISSOURI in order to make an informed decision.

3. YOU ARE BECOMING TRUMP. Don't like the Constitution, the laws, the rules... you ignore them and blitz change.

**OPPOSE CHANGES** 



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DAT <b>9/9</b>	E: 1 <b>/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee on Property Tax Reform						
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INF	ORMATIO	NAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME				
INDIVIDUAL:						
WITNESS NAME: LINDA LIGHTON			PHONE	E NUMBER:		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:			
ADDRESS:			·			
CITY:			STATE	:	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written		JBMIT DATE: <b>9/2025 1:</b> 4	19 PM	

## THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

The Missouri Constitution says that Congressional redistricting only occurs every 10 years after the census. This accounts for population shifts to ensure that everyone's vote counts. Missouri already did so after the most recent census — and rejected a 7-1 map like the proposal in front of you today. Redrawing lines mid-decade to split up voters' ability to elect candidates of their choice violates our constitution.

Let's be clear - this is about politicians choosing their voters, not voters choosing their representatives. And that's just anti-democratic. This proposal is nothing more than an attempt to rig the 2026 elections before a single vote is even cast - at the behest of Washington DC, and at the expense of real Missourians.

Missourians across the political spectrum oppose this mid-decade redistricting. This proposal would silence hundreds of thousands of Missourians - pitting people against one another and breaking up communities instead of creating a system that works for everyone. Missouri lawmakers: Please stand up for your constituents and reject this effort to rig the district lines and split up our local communities.

Missouri is the show-me state. Please show your constituents and all Missourians that you care about their ability to make their voices heard fairly and reject this proposal.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committe	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: MATT DAVIS			PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 9/8/202	OATE: 25 3:15 PM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610 RSMo

The Eldon School District revenue budget is currently \$28,317,463. If the personal property tax is eliminated, it would result in a decrease of \$2,179,424 in our revenue or a 7.70% decrease.



BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committe	e on Property Tax Refo	orm			
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOS	ES
		WITNESS NAME			
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:				
WITNESS NAME: MIKE TIPTON			PHONE NUME 660-867-5		
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NORTH HARRISO			TITLE: SUPERIN	TENDENT	
ADDRESS: 12023 FIR ST.					
CITY: EAGLEVILLE			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>64442</b>	
EMAIL: tiptonm@nhr3.net	t	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 9/9/202	DATE: 25 4:30 PM	
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS EOD	MIS DUBLIC DECOR	D LINDED CHA	DTED 640 DCM	10

These bills related to the taxation of property will ultimately have a negative impact on school funding, law enforcement, and other important local agencies.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	rm		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	▼IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: OTTO FAJEN			PHONE NUME <b>573-634-3</b>	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI NEA			DIRECTOI POLICY	R OF LEGISLATIVE
ADDRESS: 1810 EAST ELM S	т.			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65101</b>
EMAIL: otto.fajen@mnea.	org	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT 0 9/9/202	DATE: 25 9:25 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.

The joint resolution would make sweeping changes to property taxation across the state. Among the changes, the HJR would eliminate all taxation on personal property across the state. The HJR also eliminates the assessment of residential property based on actual market value. Instead, all residences across the state would be appraised based solely on square footage and age of the residence, regardless of actual market value. If implemented, the joint resolution could reduce local funding for schools and other local governments, scramble the assessments and taxation of properties across the state, and shift tax burden amongst taxpayers.

The Missouri NEA believes property values should be fairly assessed and regularly reassessed, and that assessor's offices should have adequate resources, data and professional staff and be held accountable to regular review by the state. The HJR removes the current requirement for fair and accurate assessment and reassessment. The Association opposes the joint resolution.



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: <b>HJR 5</b>				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>	
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		•	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	✓ IN OPPOSITION TO	☐FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES	
		WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:					
WITNESS NAME: REBECCA KROLL	•		PHONE NUM	IBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:		_
ADDRESS:			·		
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:	
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT <b>9/8/20</b>	DATE: <b>25 11:11 PM</b>	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.					

My name is Rebecca Kroll, and I live in Cole County, Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

I submit this testimony in opposition to HJR 5, HJR 6, HJR 7, and HJR 8. While these measures are framed as "reform," what they really do is unfairly shift Missouri's tax burden in ways that punish working families and undermine our communities.

HJR 5 eliminates personal property taxes and replaces them with a tiered system for real property based only on the size and age of a home. Not its value. Not market conditions. Just square footage and age. That is not a fair or accurate measure of wealth, and it creates serious inequities.

Think about it: a modest, older home in a neighborhood where values have skyrocketed could end up in a low tier, while a larger but less valuable home in a rural area is forced into a higher tax tier. Even worse, high-value vacation homes at the Lake of the Ozarks, many of which are older and well-established, could be pushed into lower tiers, while hardworking Missourians in weathered family homes pay more. That's not fairness—that's distortion.

History shows us where this kind of bad tax design can lead. In 18th- and 19th-century England, there was a window tax: the more windows you had, the more you paid. Families bricked up windows to avoid higher taxes, leaving homes darker and less healthy. The tax code distorted how people lived. Do we really want to repeat that mistake here in Missouri, where the quirks of a tier system matter more than the true value of the property?

HJR 6 and HJR 7 take a similar wrong turn. HJR 6 creates homestead exemptions for certain veterans, while HJR 7 diverts 10% of gaming revenue from schools to the Veterans Commission. We all want to support veterans. But taking money from schools to cover veterans' needs is trying to fix one wrong with another—it still leaves a wrong. Veterans deserve stable, dedicated funding that doesn't come at the expense of children's education.

HJR 8 demands voter approval for any new taxes and bans state spending without prior appropriation. That might sound appealing, but in practice it ties the hands of government in emergencies, leaving communities without the ability to respond quickly or adequately.

And let's be honest: no one likes taxes. No one likes personal property taxes. But we have tied emergency services, school buildings, municipal buildings, and school funding to those revenues. Removing personal property taxes before fixing the funding formula will defund police, emergency

services, and schools. It's "ready, fire, aim" politics: disorganized and dangerous.

There has to be a better way. If lawmakers want real reform, they need to flesh out a comprehensive plan that covers the services property taxes currently provide. Failure to do so will leave emergency services and schools in a bucket free fall—effectively killing public safety and putting citizens at risk.

Respectfully submitted, Rebecca Kroll Cole County, Missouri



## WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committe	e on Property Tax Refo	orm	·	
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE C. AC "HO	NEST-ABE" DIENOFF-	STATE PUBLIC ADVO	PHONE NUME	BER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			•	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT D 9/9/202	OATE: 5 11:50 PM
THE INCOMMA	TION ON THIS EOD	MIO BUBLIO BECCE	D LINDED OUA	DTED 040 DOM

### THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

This is a Great Start on finally giving Missourians Real and Personal Property -Tax Relief that is sensible. We as Missourians deserve a Tax-Break, instead of a Tax Hike! There is still a lot of work that needs to be done on writing and crafting this Legislation before Filing these Bills in The House,



### WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		•
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	MATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
INDIVIDUAL:				
WITNESS NAME: BRENT JOHNSON			PHONE NUM	IBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATIO	ON NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			·	
CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT <b>9/9/20</b>	DATE: <b>25 2:13 PM</b>
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.				

#### Mr. Chairman and members of the committee:

For the record, my name is Brent Johnson, and I serve as the Greene County Assessor. I have been directly responsible for implementing Missouri's assessment laws, and my testimony today is offered in my professional capacity as a County Assessor within the State of Missouri.

HJR 5–8 propose constitutional amendments that would fundamentally restructure Missouri's assessment system. While the goal may be to reduce taxpayer burden, these measures would dismantle long-standing appraisal practices, destabilize local government revenues, and place Missouri in a position unlike any other state in the country.

#### **Key Concerns**

#### 1. Impact on Homeowners

- Tax liability would shift away from market-based appraisals.
- Smaller, older homes could see lower taxes, while newer or larger homes would pay more, regardless of market location or sales value.
- This creates the risk that a modest 1970s home is taxed less than a newer but lowerquality house, simply due to age. That makes no sense and undermines fairness.
- Older homes could also push lower value homes into higher tax tiers, distorting equity.

#### 2. Impact on Vehicle Owners and Businesses

- Eliminating personal property tax most benefits those with high value vehicles, machinery, or equipment.
- This shifts the burden to real property owners, especially homeowners, as local governments look to replace lost revenue.

#### 3. Impact on Local Governments

- Cities, counties, schools, and special districts would lose substantial personal property tax revenue.
- They would have no choice but to rely more heavily on real property levies, which will strain residential taxpayers and service delivery.

#### 4. Impact on Assessors

- Residential appraisal work would shift from valuation to categorization. Appeals would no longer be about market value but about which "tier" a home belongs in.
- This violates all professional appraisal practices. Missouri's Constitution and statutes

require assessments at true value in money, market value, except for agricultural productivity. This aligns with national standards of the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) and USPAP.

- Tiering based on square footage and age is not an appraisal method. It ignores location, condition, quality, and neighborhood market influences.

  Equity and Professional Standards
- Two homes of identical size and age, one worth \$100,000 and the other \$500,000, would face the same tax factor. This undermines equity by value, a bedrock principle of property taxation.
- No other state uses a statewide square-footage-and-age system. States like California, Michigan, Oregon, and Florida impose limits (caps or freezes), but all still rely on market value as the base. Even there, distortions and inequities have become a major problem for taxing districts.
- Missouri would be unique in abandoning valuation entirely for residential property. The only parallels are in some European systems, not in U.S. practice.

#### **Additional Issues**

- Agricultural property: HJR 5–8 do nothing to address the longstanding undervaluation of agricultural land, which continues to push a disproportionate share of taxes onto residential homeowners. The production values have not increased for many years, and some are lower now than 20 years ago, unlike residential properties.
- Assessment funding: Missouri's assessor's offices remain underfunded, with the Legislature repeatedly failing to support the true cost of doing business. Shifting to this new methodology would only increase costs and complexity while funding remains inadequate.
- State assessed properties: Utilities, railroads, airlines, and pipelines have been undervalued for years. This resolution does nothing to correct those imbalances.
- Governance: By handing control of the tier system to the State Tax Commission, a nonelected body, you take assessment decisions out of the hands of locally accountable officials and put them in the hands of unelected bureaucrats.

#### Conclusion

In Greene County, personal property accounts for 12.5% of the total property tax base. HJR 5–8 would wipe that away without a clear, stable replacement, while simultaneously abandoning market value as the foundation of residential assessment.

These proposals represent a radical shift that would:

- eliminate personal property taxes,
- introduce an untested tier system for residential property.
- distort equity and fairness,
- ignore the undervaluation of agriculture and state-assessed property, and
- impose a costly new methodology that has never been implemented anywhere in the United States.

Market based assessment is the true and time tested measure of property value. It has provided fairness and accountability since the beginning of Missouri's system. Abandoning it for an unproven system would jeopardize taxpayer trust, local revenues, and the integrity of property taxation in our state.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: 9/9/2025
COMMITTEE: Special Committe	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: BRIAN GAUDET			PHONE NUME <b>314-220-6</b>	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION HILLSBORO FIRE	ON NAME: PROTECTION DISTRIC	СТ	TITLE: FIRE CHIE	 ≣F
ADDRESS: 120 5TH ST				
CITY: HILLSBORO			STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>63050</b>
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT I 9/9/202	DATE: 25 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTFR 610 RSMo



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	on Property Tax Refo	orm		
TESTIFYING:	☐ IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
BUSINESS/ORG	ANIZATION:			
WITNESS NAME: KENNY MOHR			PHONE NUME <b>573-819-2</b> 2	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION MISSOURI STATE	N NAME: ASSESSOR'S ASSN.		TITLE: ASSESSO	R
ADDRESS: <b>801 E. WALNUT ST</b>	•			
CITY: COLUMBIA			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65201</b>
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 9/9/202	DATE: 25 12:00 AM
THE INFORMAT	ON ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610, RSMo.



BILL NUMBER: HJR 5				DATE: <b>9/9/2025</b>
COMMITTEE: Special Committee	e on Property Tax Refo	orm		
TESTIFYING:	☐IN SUPPORT OF	☐ IN OPPOSITION TO	FOR INFORM	ATIONAL PURPOSES
		WITNESS NAME		
REGISTERED LO	OBBYIST:			
WITNESS NAME: LUKE REED			PHONE NUME <b>573-634-3</b>	
REPRESENTING: MISSOURI CHAME	BER OF COMMERCE A	ND INDUSTRY	TITLE:	
ADDRESS: 428 E CAPITOL AV	/E			
CITY: JEFFERSON CITY			STATE: MO	ZIP: <b>65102</b>
EMAIL:		ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT 0 9/9/202	DATE: 25 12:00 AM
THE INFORMA	TION ON THIS FOR	M IS PUBLIC RECOR	D UNDER CHA	PTER 610. RSMo.