

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3984H.01P  
Bill No.: Perfected HB 1847  
Subject: Dentists; Compacts  
Type: Original  
Date: February 11, 2026

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to the dental professions.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §§332.081 - 332.760 - Dental Profession Provisions

#### §332.700 – 332.760 – Dental Compact

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance (DCI)** state there are now 12 states that have passed the compact language. The Council of State Governments is estimating that it will take another 18 to 24 months before compact privileges are able to be given. There is no timeframe on when the rules establishing the costs will be promulgated. It will be sometime before they start issuing privileges.

The department believes the costs of this bill can be absorbed within current appropriations. However, should the cost exceed the anticipated amount, the department would request an increase to FTE and/or appropriations as appropriate through the budget process.

According to section 332.031, RSMo, the board shall set fees at a level to produce revenue which does not substantially exceed the cost and expense of administering this chapter. Therefore, this legislation may require the board to raise licensure fees in order to cover the cost and expense of administering the compact at some point in the future.

**Oversight** notes the DCI has stated the cost of the proposal could be absorbed with current appropriations or the cost could be offset with raising licensure fees. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

#### Bill as a whole:

Officials from the **Department of Social Services, Department of Corrections, City of Kansas City** and the **City of O’Fallon** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

#### Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain

amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, hospitals and Cities were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. Upon the receipt of additional responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Licensed Dentist and Dental Hygienist could have a direct fiscal impact as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Commerce and Insurance  
Department of Social Services  
Department of Corrections  
City of Kansas City  
City of O'Fallon  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules



Julie Morff  
Director  
February 11, 2026



Jessica Harris  
Assistant Director  
February 11, 2026