

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4426H.04C
Bill No.: HCS for HB Nos. 2404 & 2172
Subject: Elementary and Secondary Education; Department of Elementary and Secondary Education; Political Subdivisions; Children and Minors
Type: Original
Date: February 5, 2026

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits a political subdivision from adopting policies for property transferred by political subdivisions from being used for lawful education purposes by a charter school.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§160.421 & 162.092 – Property Used by Schools

Officials from the each **Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, Office of Administration – Budget & Planning, Department of Commerce and Insurance, Department of Economic Development, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, Department of Mental Health, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Corrections, Department of Revenue, Department of Public Safety (Fire Safety, Veterans Commission, Director’s Office, Gaming Commission, Highway Patrol, State Emergency Management Agency, and Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control), Department of Social Services, Missouri Department of Transportation, Missouri National Guard, MoDOT & Patrol Employees’ Retirement System, Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance Fund, Office of the State Public Defender, Missouri Department of Agriculture, Office of the State Treasurer, Office of the Lieutenant Governor, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Health and Senior Services, Office of the State Auditor, Missouri House of Representatives, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri Senate, Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, Oversight Division, Legislative Research, Lottery, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Missouri State Employee's Retirement System, University of Missouri System and State Tax Commission** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City, Platte County Board of Elections, St. Louis City Board of Elections, St. Louis City County Assessor’s Office, St. Louis County Board of Elections, and Northwest Missouri State University** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Public Safety – Capitol Police, Office of the Governor, Missouri Ethics Commission, Office of Administration, Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority, University of Central Missouri, City of Springfield, and City of O’Fallon** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **City of Osceola** assumed the proposal will have a fiscal impact on their organization but did not provide any additional information.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1363 (2025), officials from the **East Buchanan Co. C-1 School District** and **Clay County Auditor** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the above-mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other school districts were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. Upon the receipt of additional responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact on small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General’s Office
 Office of Administration
 Administrative Hearing Commission
 Budget & Planning
 Department of Commerce and Insurance
 Department of Economic Development
 Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
 Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development
 Department of Health and Senior Services
 Department of Mental Health
 Department of Natural Resources
 Department of Corrections
 Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
 Department of Revenue
 Department of Public Safety
 Alcohol and Tobacco Control
 Capitol Police
 Fire Safety
 Director’s Office
 Gaming Commission
 Highway Patrol
 Veterans Commission
 State Emergency Management Agency
 Department of Social Services

Office of the Governor
Missouri Department of Agriculture
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Ethics Commission
Missouri Department of Transportation
Missouri National Guard
MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System
Office of Administration
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance Fund
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of the State Treasurer
University of Missouri
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
Office of the State Auditor
Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Senate
Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement
Oversight Division
Legislative Research
Missouri Lottery Commission
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
Missouri State Employee's Retirement System
State Tax Commission
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
City of Osceola
City of Kansas City
City of O'Fallon
City of Springfield
Platte County Board of Elections
St. Louis City Board of Elections
St. Louis County Board of Elections
Northwest Missouri State University
University of Central Missouri
East Buchanan Co. C-1 School District
Clay County Auditor
Office of the Secretary of State

Julie Morff
Director



Jessica Harris
Assistant Director
February 5, 2026