

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4672H.02C  
 Bill No.: HCS for HB 2426  
 Subject: Children and Minors; Family Law; Abortion; Health Care; Education, Elementary and Secondary; Mental Health  
 Type: Original  
 Date: March 16, 2026

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes provisions relating to parental rights.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
General Revenue*	More or less than (\$1,213,298)	More or less than (\$1,349,435)	More or less than (\$1,362,181)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,213,298)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,349,435)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,362,181)</b>

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
State Legal Expense Fund (1692)**	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other State Funds***	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
Colleges and Universities***	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other State Funds</u></b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>

\*\*Transfers and distributions net to zero.

\*\*\*The potential fiscal impact to various state funds (and local political subdivisions) stems from a new cause of action that can be brought against the state and other entities in §§1.390, 1.391 and 431.061.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Federal Funds*	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>

\***Oversight** assumes the loss of federal funds could reach the \$250,000 threshold.

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
General Revenue	7 FTE	7 FTE	7 FTE
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>7 FTE</b>	<b>7 FTE</b>	<b>7 FTE</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS**

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>Local Government*</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown)</b>

\*Potential litigation costs.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §§1.390 and 1.391 – Parental Rights

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS), Division of Legal Services (DLS)** state section 1.390 is new and definitions of both a “child” and a “parent”. It also provides that a parent has a fundamental liberty interest in the care, custody, and control of such parent's child, including the right to direct the upbringing, education, health care, and mental health of the child free from government interference. It further provides that government shall not interfere with this fundamental right unless the governmental authority demonstrates that the interference furthers a compelling governmental interest and is the least-restrictive means of furthering that compelling interest. New language in this proposal adds that any interference shall be subject to judicial review by a court of competent jurisdiction, which shall determine if the governmental authority has satisfied the burden of proof set forth in this subsection. Nothing in the section is to be construed as allowing any person to cause physical injury to another, to possess a weapon otherwise authorized by law, or to fail to provide health care for a child suffering from a life-threatening condition.

Section 1.391: Provides a list of parental rights that are exclusively reserved to a parent of a child without obstruction by or interference from this state, any political subdivision of this state, any other governmental entity, or any other institution. This is to include education and access educational records, as well as various other ideas such as directing the upbringing of a child and making all health care decisions. This also includes:

*1.391.1(9) To consent in writing before any governmental authority makes a video or audio recording of a child, unless, without abrogating rights secured under the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the US Constitution, the recording is made as part of:*

*(a) A court proceeding;*

*(b) A law enforcement investigation;*

*(c) A forensic interview in a criminal or **department of social services investigation**;*

*(d) The security or surveillance of buildings or grounds; or*

*(e) A photo identification card;*

*(10) To be notified promptly if any governmental authority suspects that abuse, neglect, or any criminal offense has been committed against the child, unless the governmental authority reasonably believes that notifying the parent is likely to endanger the life or physical safety of the child...*

Also included is the right to have the child excused from school attendance for religious purposes, the right to participate in parent-teacher organizations, the right to receive, upon first contact with a representative of the **Department of Social Services**, an accurate written

itemization containing all details of any reports or allegations concerning abuse or neglect of the child which the division has received, excluding only the name of the person who provided the reports or made the allegations, and the right to view a publicly available accounting of all financial transactions conducted with school district funds without having to make a formal request.

Section 1.391.2: This section also provides that “Except for law enforcement personnel, a governmental authority shall not encourage or coerce a child to withhold information from the child's parent; nor shall a governmental authority withhold from a child's parent information that is relevant to the physical, emotional, or mental health of the child.”

Section 1.391.3: Provides for a parental cause of action where a parent who believes his or her rights have been violated under this section or section 1.390. The parent may assert that violation as a claim or defense in a judicial or administrative proceeding, regardless of whether the government is a party to the proceeding, and obtain appropriate relief against the government, including declaratory relief, injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

There is an anticipated fiscal impact of seven (7) FTE (one for each geographic region) in DLS-litigation for additional attorneys. Should this bill pass, litigation attorneys would spend additional time briefing and in court. Additionally, as the language in Section 1.391.3 purports to make governmental entities liable in proceedings where they are not parties for alleged violations, DLS litigation attorneys may be required to attend many hearings where they otherwise would not attend.

**Oversight** does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by the DSS/DLS.

Officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** state sections 1.390 and 1.391 of this legislation create provisions and damages relating to governmental interference of all parental rights. This has the potential to increase costs to the LEF but would be subject to judicial construction; therefore, the cost is unknown.

**Oversight** does not have any information contrary to that provided by OA. Therefore, Oversight will reflect OA's potential unknown impact for fiscal note purposes to the State Legal Expense Fund. Oversight notes the Legal Expense Fund is funded by the General Revenue Fund as well as other state funds and federal funds. Oversight notes this possible litigation exposure as described by OA could also apply to colleges and universities and local political subdivisions.

#### §162.192 – School Financial Ledger

Officials from **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume some ITSD costs may be incurred if this legislation is passed. Modifications to the Annual Secretary of the Board Report (ASBR) also DESE and Office of Data System Management (ODSM) will

collect any historical information in a standard data layout, that aligns with the database/fields they define and compile all data from the school districts.

In addition to this, this section allows DESE to withhold state aid from schools who have violated the provisions in section 162.192. If DESE were to withhold state aid, this could trigger due process and lead to hearings to resolve the issue. DESE estimates the cost of hearings to between \$0 to \$100,000 but notes that this will depend on the amount of hearings that are required.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the ITSD and hearings impact as estimated by DESE in the fiscal note for this agency.

Oversight notes §162.192.10 states if the department finds that any school district has violated the provision of this section, the department may withhold state aid to which the school district is entitled under chapter 163 until the school district proves to the satisfaction of the department that the school district is no longer in violation of this section. Oversight notes it is indeterminable how many districts would violate this section or how long it would take to remediate the violation. Therefore, Oversight will show potential savings, \$0 or unknown, to DESE for withholding school aid and a Revenue loss, \$0 to (unknown) to school districts that are found to be in violation.

In response to similar legislation, SCS for SB 1029 (2026), officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

In response to similar legislation, SCS for SB 1029 (2026), officials from **Republic R-III School District** assumed this bill may require school districts to hire an additional person in the business services part of the school district. In the Republic School District, they would likely shift some duties to ensure compliance with the law. These shifts may cause an additional part-time opening which would cost approximately \$30,000. There could be additional costs associated with the State Auditor taking civil action against a district.

In response to similar legislation, SB 1029 (2026), officials from the **Wellington-Napoleon R-IX School District** noted there are already state requirements written in statute on the quarterly and annual reporting of school finance to the state, and public. This information is already readily available on school websites and the DESE site on an annual basis through the ASBR (Annual Secretary of the Board Report).

In response to similar legislation, SB 1029 (2026), officials from the **Sedalia 200 School District** noted that they already are fulfilling the requirements of the proposal and further noted that each month this information is published in the board packet. It is also part of every budget amendment and the final budget amendment.

**Oversight** assumes there is potential for additional IT cost for school districts that would need to update their website to provide a link directly to their district's financial ledger as defined in the proposal. Oversight notes school districts are responsible to update the ledger monthly. Furthermore, they must maintain complete financial ledger data for no fewer than the five prior fiscal years on their website. Therefore, Oversight will show a cost to school districts as zero (school is currently compliant) to unknown cost in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **DSS** state this section relates to school districts, "financial ledgers", and publicly accessible databases maintained by school districts. Section does not impact DLS or DSS.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency for this section.

#### §431.061 - Modifies Who May Give Consent to Surgical or Medical Treatment

Officials from the **DSS** state section 431.061 relates to the power of an individual to make decisions about one's own health care decisions. The language as it relates to minors has been modified. The proposed language removes health decisions related to venereal diseases and substance abuse as health conditions that a minor can consent to. The language currently indicates that minors can make their own decisions as it relates to pregnancy, excluding abortions.

DSS did not indicate a fiscal impact on their organization for this section. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency for this section.

#### Responses Regarding the Proposed Legislation as a Whole

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state the proposed legislation has a negative fiscal impact as it increases the City's potential liability.

Although Oversight received limited responses from city officials, Oversight assumes that cities statewide could be negatively impacted by this proposal due liability issues. Therefore, for fiscal note purposes, Oversight will show a cost of \$0 or Unknown to city governments for potential increased costs due to increased liability.

In response to similar legislation, SB 948 (2026), officials from the **Richland R-I School District** assumed the provisions allowing parents to make “reasonable choices within public schools” for a child’s education created an open-ended and undefined obligation for school districts that could result in unknown and potentially significant costs.

Unlike existing mandates tied to disability or statutory programs, these provisions may require districts to respond to individualized instructional requests based solely on parental preference, including alternative materials, pacing, instructional methods, or supervision, without clear limits or funding mechanisms. Additionally, restrictions on video and voice recordings absent written parental consent may increase staffing, documentation, compliance tracking, and administrative burdens while reducing operational efficiency in instruction, supervision, discipline, and investigations.

Because the scope, frequency, and complexity of such requests cannot be reasonably projected, district officials are unable to estimate the fiscal impact, which would be borne by local districts and could be significant, particularly for districts with limited staffing capacity.

In response to similar legislation, SB 948 (2026), officials from the **Republic R-III School District** stated if this proposal were to become law, it would absolutely have a fiscal impact to school districts (it is hard to quantify but it will happen). The proposal will eventually increase costs for liability insurance companies, school districts, and perhaps even taxpayers.

In response to similar legislation, SB 948 (2026), officials from the **High Point R-III School District** indicated they anticipate a fiscal impact, but do not elaborate on the specifics of any potential costs.

Based on the responses from the Richland R-I School District and Republic R-III School District indicating potential litigation related costs and broad variety of possible school district obligations, **Oversight** will show a cost of \$0 or Unknown to schools for potential increased costs in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state this proposal may have some impact but there is no way to quantify that amount currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

**Oversight** notes OSCA assumes this proposal may have some impact on their organization although it can’t be quantified at this time. As OSCA is unable to provide additional information regarding the potential impact, Oversight assumes the proposed legislation will have a \$0 or (Unknown) cost to the General Revenue Fund. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight also assumes the impact will be under \$250,000 annually. If this assumption is incorrect, this would alter the fiscal impact as presented in this fiscal note. If additional information is received, Oversight will review it to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek approval to publish a new fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** assume the proposed legislation is not intended to impact the educational services provided in DMH hospitals; therefore, no fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance, Department of Corrections, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri Department of Transportation, Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, City of O’Fallon, Newton County Health Department, Phelps County Sheriff’s Department, Branson Police Department, Kansas City Police Department, Northwest Missouri State University and University Of Central Missouri** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, local health departments, circuit clerks, public administrators, law enforcement agencies, schools, and colleges were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. Upon the receipt of additional responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>GENERAL REVENUE</b>			
<u>Cost – DSS/CD (§§1.390 and 1.391) p.3-4</u>			
Personal service	(\$613,208)	(\$743,208)	(\$750,640)
Fringe benefits	(\$341,926)	(\$413,270)	(\$416,259)
Equipment and expense	(\$100,897)	(\$81,217)	(\$83,248)
<u>Total Costs - DSS/CD</u>	<u>(\$1,056,031)</u>	<u>(\$1,237,695)</u>	<u>(\$1,250,147)</u>
FTE Change - DSS/CD	7 FTE	7 FTE	7 FTE

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
<u>Transfer Out – OA (§§1.390 and 1.391) To the State Legal Expense Fund for potential increase in litigation p.4</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost – OSCA (§§1.390, 1.391, 162.192, &amp; 431.061) Potential increase in court costs p.7</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Savings – DESE (§162.192) State aid withheld p.5</u>	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Cost – DESE (§162.192) OSDM changes p.5</u>	(\$57,267)	(\$11,740)	(\$12,034)
<u>Cost – DESE (§162.192) Hearings p.5</u>	\$0 to (\$100,000)	\$0 to (\$100,000)	\$0 to (\$100,000)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,213,298)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,349,435)</b>	<b>More or less than (\$1,362,181)</b>
Estimated Net FTE Change on General Revenue	7 FTE	7 FTE	7 FTE
<b>STATE LEGAL EXPENSE FUND (1692)</b>			
<u>Transfer In – (§§1.390 and 1.391) From General Revenue and other State and Federal funds p.4</u>	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Cost – OA (§§1.390 and 1.391) Potential increase in litigation p.4</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE STATE LEGAL EXPENSE FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<u>Transfer Out – OA (§§1.390 and 1.391)</u> To the State Legal Expense Fund for potential increase in litigation p.4	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>
<b>COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES</b>			
<u>Cost – (§§1.390 and 1.391) Potential increase in litigation p.4</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES</b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>
<b>FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<u>Transfer Out – OA (§§1.390 and 1.391)</u> To the State Legal Expense Fund for potential increase in litigation p.4	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO FEDERAL FUNDS</b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b>\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
<b>LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>			
<u>Cost</u> – City governments (§§1.390, 1.391, 162.192 & 431.061) Increase in liability/potential increase in litigation p.6	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> – Schools (§§1.390, 1.391 & 431.061) Potential costs related to litigation and possible school district obligations p.4-5	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Revenue Loss</u> – School Districts (§162.192) State aid withheld p.5-6	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> – School Districts (§162.192) Maintain financial ledger p.5-6	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact on small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill provides that a parent has a fundamental right to direct the upbringing, education, health care, and mental health of such parent's child free from government interference. A governmental authority shall not restrict parental rights unless the authority demonstrates that the restriction is essential to further a compelling governmental interest and is the least restrictive means of furthering that compelling interest. This provision applies to all state and local laws, resolutions, and ordinances and to the implementation of such laws, resolutions, and ordinances.

The bill outlines certain parental rights that are exclusively reserved to a parent without obstruction by or interference from this State, any political subdivision of the State, any governmental entity, or any other institution. Under the bill, parents have the right to: (1) Direct the education of the child; (2) Access and review all written and electronic educational records of the child; (3) Direct the child's upbringing; (4) Direct the child's moral or religious training;

(5) Consent in writing to all physical and mental health care decisions for the child; (6) Access and review all health and medical records of the child; (7) Consent in writing before a biometric scan of the child is made, shared, or stored; (8) Consent in writing before any record of the child's blood or DNA is created, stored, or shared, unless authorized pursuant to a court order; (9) Consent in writing before any governmental authority makes a video or voice recording of the child, unless, without abrogating rights secured under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution, such recording is made as part of an event or circumstance described in the bill; (10) Be notified promptly if a governmental authority suspects that abuse, neglect, or a criminal offense has been committed against the child, unless such notification is reasonably believed to be likely to endanger the life or physical safety of the child; (11) Opt the child out of any personal analysis, evaluation, survey, or data collection by a school district except what is necessary and essential for establishing a student's educational record for a student of the school district; (12) Excuse a child from school attendance for religious purposes; (13) Participate in parent-teacher organizations and other school organizations that are sanctioned by the board of education of a school district; (14) Receive, upon first contact with a representative of the Department of Social Services, an accurate written itemization containing all details of allegations of child abuse or neglect of the child, excluding only the name of the person who made the allegations; and (15) View a publicly available, easily accessible accounting of all financial transactions conducted with school district funds without being required to submit a formal request or otherwise make direct contact with the school district to access such information.

Except for law enforcement personnel, a governmental authority shall not encourage or coerce a child to withhold information from the child's parent; nor shall a governmental authority withhold from a child's parent information that is relevant to the physical, emotional, or mental health of the child.

A parent who believes his or her rights have been violated under this bill may assert that violation as a claim or defense in a judicial or administrative proceeding, regardless of whether the government is a party to the proceeding, and obtain appropriate relief against the government, including declaratory relief, injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

The bill requires each school district to maintain an easily accessible online record of all moneys the district receives and spends. Each public school within a school district must post the district's financial ledger on the school's website, as specified in the bill. The financial ledger must be updated monthly, within 45 days of the close of the calendar month, and the website must contain at least five fiscal years of financial information.

The Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) may provide standardized language or icons, and provide or approve templates or platforms for a school district to use to comply with these provisions. Each school district is required to certify compliance to DESE annually and if DESE finds that a school district is in violation of these provisions they may

withhold state aid to the district. The bill requires DESE to establish a process for members of the public to file a complaint.

The bill additionally repeals provisions authorizing minors to consent to medical treatment or procedures involving venereal disease and drug or substance abuse.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements. It would require additional rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Commerce and Insurance  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development  
Department of Health and Senior Services  
Department of Mental Health  
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations  
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol  
Department of Social Services  
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan  
Missouri Department of Conservation  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
City of Kansas City  
City of O'Fallon  
Newton County Health Department  
Phelps County Sheriff's Department  
Branson Police Department  
Kansas City Police Department  
High Point R-III School District  
Republic R-III School District  
Richland R-I School District  
Sedalia 200 School District  
Wellington-Napoleon R-IX School District  
Northwest Missouri State University  
University Of Central Missouri



Julie Morff  
Director  
March 16, 2026



Jessica Harris  
Assistant Director  
March 16, 2026