

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5237S.06A
Bill No.: SS for HCS for HB Nos. 2097 & 1905 with SA1, SA2, SA4 and SA5
Subject: Agriculture; Appropriations; Attorney General; State Auditor; Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Councils; Children and Minors; Crimes and Punishment; Economic Development; Department of Economic Development; Elementary and Secondary Education; Higher Education; Department of Elementary and Secondary Education; Ethics; General Assembly; Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development; Saint Louis City; Tax Credits; Taxation and Revenue - General; Taxation and Revenue - Property; Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use; State Treasurer
Type: Original
Date: May 15, 2026

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to education.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
General Revenue*	(Could exceed \$999,002)	(Could exceed \$1,121,624)	(Could exceed \$3,139,064)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Could exceed \$999,002)	(Could exceed \$1,121,624)	(Could exceed \$3,139,064)

*Oversight notes DESE would house the Missouri Commission on Academic Facilities. Furthermore, the sunset is removed from the Workforce Diploma Program with costs for program continuation.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Fund (1278)*	Could exceed (\$79,601)	Could exceed (\$111,069)	Could exceed (\$119,876)
Missouri School Facilities Partnership Fund**	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	Could exceed (\$79,601)	Could exceed (\$111,069)	Could exceed (\$119,876)

*Costs for DESE to administer the Missouri Empowerment Scholarship and Tax Credit Program.

**Oversight notes transfers and distributions net to \$0.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

*Oversight notes Transfer in and cost will net to \$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
General Revenue	8 FTE	8 FTE	8 FTE
Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Fund*	Could exceed 0 FTE	Could exceed 0 FTE	Could exceed 0 FTE
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	Could exceed 8 FTE	Could exceed 8 FTE	Could exceed 8 FTE

*Oversight estimated 5 FTE to run the Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Tax Credit Program for DESE (based on the current 5 FTE budgeted for the STO). DESE estimated up to 14 FTE to run the Program. Therefore, Oversight will note a net effect that could exceed 0 FTE.

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Local Government*	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)

*Oversight notes school districts that elect to participate in the agriculture education program could require additional resources. Districts may incur cost for providing universal screenings and Schools that qualify for facilities projects may see additional Revenue for approved projects.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§1 – Interscholastic Activities Eligibility

Oversight notes this section states no student shall be declared ineligible to participate in an interscholastic activity or competition, including, but not limited to, an interscholastic athletic activity or competition, solely as a result of school district consolidation or closing a school. A student who is assigned or reassigned to attend a different school district, public school, or attendance center as a consequence of such consolidation shall be deemed not to have transferred schools for purposes of eligibility under any applicable rules governing student participation in interscholastic activities or competitions. Oversight assumes there will be no fiscal impact to school districts. Therefore, Oversight will reflect zero fiscal impact in the fiscal note for this section.

§2 – Missouri Taxpayer Debt Relief and School Facilities Act

Officials from **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** note this section establishes the Missouri Taxpayer Debt Relief and School Facilities Act and the Missouri Commission on Academic Facilities to be housed within DESE. DESE shall provide staff and administrative support to the commission. The commission support will minimally require 8 FTE to include an Executive Director, Administrative Assistant, Director, Assistant Director, Program Coordinator, and three Program Specialists.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the impact as estimated by DESE.

Oversight notes this section does not change how property taxes are calculated; Oversight assumes any impacts to tax levies and local property tax revenues would only occur if state funding reduced the amount of local debt a district needs to issue. Therefore, Oversight will assume this impact is indirect and will not show the impact to local political subdivisions in the fiscal note.

Oversight notes this proposal creates the Missouri School Facilities Partnership Fund which shall consist of money appropriated by the general assembly and any other money authorized by law to be deposited in the fund. Oversight notes school districts may apply to the commission for state financial participation in an academic facilities project. Oversight notes awards are subject to appropriation and it is unclear how many districts have projects that would qualify or the number of districts that would apply. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero or unknown cost to the Missouri School Facilities Partnership Fund and a revenue gain to school districts that may receive additional state aid for projects eligible under the proposal.

§§23.295, 173.2565, 173.2566, 173.2570, 173.2571, 173.2572, 178.550, 178.585, 186.019, 288.040, and 620.010 – Modifies Duties to the Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1628 (2026), officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Public Safety-Missouri Veterans Commission, Department of Social Services, Office of the Governor, Office of Administration, and Missouri Senate** each assumed this section would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1628 (2026), officials from the **University of Missouri, Missouri State University, Northwest Missouri State University, and University Of Central Missouri** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the above-mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1628 (2026), officials from **High Point R-III** assumed that the proposed legislation would have a fiscal impact but did not provide any additional information.

Oversight is unable to determine any direct fiscal impact to the **High Point R-III**; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact to the organization in the fiscal note.

§67.5420 - Sales Tax for Early Childhood Educational Services

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this proposal clarifies how revenue collected from a county sales tax for childhood education should be deposited and spent. This will not fiscally impact DOR.

Oversight notes St. Louis County already has the authority for the local sales tax. Oversight assumes this proposal clarifies where the funds shall be distributed. Therefore, Oversight assumes no fiscal impact from the proposal.

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 2379 (2026), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** deferred to the political subdivisions for the fiscal impact.

§§ 135.713, 135.714, 135.715, 135.716, 135.719, 166.700, 166.705, 166.710, 166.715 – Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Program and Tax Credit

Officials from **DESE** assume this section moves the Tax Credit Program for taxpayers who make a qualifying contribution to an education assistance organization from the State Treasurer's

Office to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). The program will minimally require 4 FTE to include a Program Coordinator, Administrative Assistant and two Program Specialists

Officials from **DESE** assume this section moves the Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Program from the State Treasurer's Office to the DESE. The program will minimally require 10 FTE to include an Executive Director, Administrative Assistant, Director, Assistant Director, Program Coordinator, and five Program Specialists.

Oversight notes there are currently core decision item 4 FTE and 1 new decision item FTE in the State Treasurer's FY 2027 budget to support the program. Oversight notes these FTE are paid through the Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Fund (1278). Oversight assumes once the program administration moves under DESE they will utilize the same fund for their FTE.

DESE estimated up to 14 FTE to run the Missouri Empowerment Scholarship and Tax Credit Program. Oversight notes since the current FTE budgeted for the program in the STO is 5 FTE, Oversight will show the cost for the 4 FTE to run the Tax Credit Program and a cost that could exceed 1 FTE (rather than the 10 FTE estimated by DESE) to run the Scholarship Program.

Additionally, Oversight assumes the proposal expands the definition of a qualified student. Therefore, Oversight will show an unknown cost to the Missouri Scholarship Accounts Fund.

Officials from **DOR** assume this proposal moves the administration of this program from the Office of the State Treasurer to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. This proposal also removes the board that was created to help advise on this tax credit. This would not fiscally impact DOR.

This proposal adds language that would not allow the Empowerment program to be marketed. This will not fiscally impact DOR.

This proposal says that any rules in place by the State Treasurer on this program would remain in effect until DESE can modify them. This will not fiscally impact DOR.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

§161.106 - Career and Technical Student Organizations

Oversight notes this section is expanded to include PAS in the nationally recognized organization approved by DESE. DESE may be provided staffing support subject to appropriation. For the simplicity of the bill Oversight assumes the expansion of accepted programs will not require additional support staff. Therefore, Oversight will show no impact for their organization regarding this section of the proposal.

§162.720 – Universal Screenings for Gifted Students

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 1757 (2026), officials from the **Department of Mental Health** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Upon further inquiry, **DESE** stated nothing in the current formula accounts for gifted students outside of the base funding which is not affected by the amount of gifted students. So, this will not affect the call on the formula.

Oversight notes the base funding for gifted students is \$24,870,104 per the DESE FY 2027 Appropriations Book. This is a line-item in the foundation formula and is not contingent on the number of students receiving gifted services.

In response to similar legislation, HCS for HB 1757 (2026), officials from **Lincoln R-II School District** noted they already provide the screenings defined in the proposal.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1757 (2026), officials from the **High Point R-III School District** assumed the proposal will have fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight contacted Lindbergh School District. The district provided that the annual cost for their screening assessment was approximately \$6.50 per child.

Upon further inquiry, **DESE** noted that there are 227 school districts in the state that offer gifted services which would mean there are 327 districts without services. It is worth noting that of those districts that offer gifted services, the majority are surrounding higher population dense districts. It is unclear whether there are districts that offer screening services that are not within a service district. DESE also noted that there were no dedicated moneys for providing screening services however schools can pay for screening services with other funds.

Oversight notes based on information found on DESE's preliminary school count report for 2025-2026 there are 63,497 third graders in the state of Missouri. Oversight is unclear how many students attend school in a district that does not offer gifted screenings. Oversight notes that if 50% of third grade students are in districts that don't currently screen for gifted students, the estimated cost to provide those screenings is \$206,365 using a cost of \$6.50 per student. Oversight assumes there could be additional costs for staff time.

Oversight notes all school districts shall provide universal screenings for all students at least once before the beginning of grade three starting in the 2027-2028 school year. Therefore, Oversight will show an unknown cost to school districts to implement universal gifted screenings starting in FY 2028.

Oversight notes this proposal requires all universal screenings provided by the school district be reviewed by a minimum of three staff members training in gifted education, administration, or assessment. Oversight assumes not all schools have three staff training in such areas to review the screenings. Therefore, Oversight assumes school districts may need to hire additional staff. **Oversight** will show a zero (no additional staff needed) or an unknown cost to school districts to hire additional staff for screening reviews.

Additionally, Oversight notes if 3% of the students within a district are identified as being gifted, the district shall establish a state-approved gifted program for gifted children. Oversight assumes some districts may be required to establish a gifted program.

Oversight will show a cost to districts for establishing gifted programs and gain to those districts from state funding now being distributed to those programs. Oversight will show a loss to districts with previously established gifted programs as some base funding is shifted to new programs.

§162.261 – School Board Member Contracts for Services

Oversight notes this section allows the Attorney General’s Office or prosecuting or circuit attorney to bring a cause of action in a court with proper jurisdiction if any school board member willfully and intentionally awards a contract for goods or services to any relative within the third degree. The fine is five thousand dollars or ouster from office. Oversight assume the school board member would be responsible for all fines collected against said member if the case if ruled against the member. However, Since the number of cases is unclear oversight assumes any cost the Attorney General’s Office would incur from additional cases could be absorbed by the agency. Therefore, oversight will show no additional impact for this section regarding any state or local prosecuting organization.

§§174.300, 174.332, 174.450, 174.453, 174.610, 175.020, and 178.632 - Governing Bodies of Certain Public Institutions of Higher Education

In response to similar legislation, SCS for HB 2896 (2026), officials from the **Missouri Senate** assumed this section would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation, SCS for HB 2896 (2026), officials from the **Northwest Missouri State University, Office of the State Treasurer, and University of Central Missouri** each assumed this section would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to similar legislation, HB 2896 (2026), officials from the **Office of the Governor** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to similar legislation, SB 939 (2026), **Missouri State University** assumed that the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that the above-mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

§178.530 – Program for Agricultural Education in Elementary Schools

Officials from **DESE** assume §178.530 would expand an agricultural education pilot program to all elementary schools that elect to be in the program.

In order to implement the program as described, elementary teachers will need to receive training for the implementation. One approach would be to provide this instruction through the nine RPDCs. DESE estimates one contract with the RPDCs at an annual cost of \$119,000. This contract would include an initial meeting with 20 participants representing various commodity associations to review the program and finalize training at a cost of \$7,700 (hotel/meal/mileage reimbursement). The commodity groups would then assist the RPDC in training elementary teachers across the state to implement the program.

Upon further inquiry, DESE noted that the training of teachers across the state can be handled by the RPDC working in conjunction with the agriculture commodity groups who are already present in many areas across the state.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimated impact by DESE in the fiscal note.

In response to a previous version, (HB 2097), officials from the **High Point R-III School District** assumed the proposal will have fiscal impact on their organization but did not provide any additional information.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1555 & 1026 (2025), officials from the **Joplin School District** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1555 & 1026 (2025), officials from the **Maries Co. R-II School District**, a district that participated in the pilot program for two years, stated they did not have any real cost. The materials were provided by the pilot program. The only costs were the teachers' time during the school day.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1555 & 1026 (2025), officials from **Washington School District** assumed there is a financial impact for HB1026 of \$45,000 for the first year and every 3-5 years for a curriculum cycle- training for elementary staff \$7,000, and curriculum/course work \$45,000.

Oversight notes for the 2027-28 school year and all subsequent school years, the program may be implemented in elementary schools in which their school board elects to take part in the program. Oversight is unable to determine how many school districts would elect to take

participate and of those districts how many would hire additional staff such as an AG teacher. Therefore, Oversight will reflect zero (no participation) or Unknown cost to school districts.

Additionally, Oversight notes with the implementation of any new program, there may be varying costs to school districts based on specific needs for supplies, substitute teachers while training is conducted, and potential other costs to implement the program. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown cost to school districts in the fiscal note.

Oversight notes, based on information provided by DESE in the previous version, if districts elect to hire an AG teacher, they can also expect an expense for a teacher. The average Missouri teacher salary without benefits and retirement on a standard 10-month contract is \$55,000. An Ag teacher on an extended 12-month contract would average \$66,000/year.

§210.1700 – Residential Camp Staff Requirements

Oversight notes this section requires staff or volunteers at residential camps who are eighteen years or older to acquire a criminal background check prior to commencing employment or volunteer service. Oversight assumes the camp or the individual would be responsible for covering the expense of acquiring a criminal background check. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer, Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, State Tax Commission, and City of Kansas City** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, SS for HCS for HB Nos. 2097 & 1905, officials from the **Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, Missouri House of Representatives, and Missouri Department of Agriculture** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other school districts, colleges, community colleges, and cities were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. Upon the receipt of additional responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

In response to similar legislation, HB 1628 (2026), officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** noted many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Senate Amendment 1

Oversight assumes Senate amendment 1 would have no fiscal impact on the underlying bill.

Senate Amendment 2

Oversight assumes Senate amendment 2 would have no fiscal impact on the underlying bill.

Senate Amendment 4

§173.831 - Workforce Diploma Program

Oversight notes this section will automatically sunset August 28, 2028. This proposal removes the sunset clause from this section.

Oversight is providing data based on information found in DESE's FY 2027 Budget book for program history regarding the Workforce Diploma Program as seen in the table below:

Year	Budget Authority	Actual Expenditure	Unexpended
2023	\$1,940,000	(\$586,250)	\$1,353,750
2024	\$1,940,000	(\$1,940,000)	\$0
2025	\$3,880,000	(\$3,411,000)	\$469,000
2026 (As of 08/31/2025)	\$1,940,000	(\$481,750)	\$1,458,250

Oversight assumes based on prior fund activity, if the proposal passes, DESE would continue to appropriate funds for the program, the fund is subject to appropriation. Therefore, Oversight will

reflect a continued cost for DESE as could exceed \$2,000,000 (based on most consistent appropriation) in FY 2029 for the continuation of the program.

Senate Amendment 5

Oversight assumes Senate amendment 5 would have no fiscal impact on the underlying bill.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Transfer out – DESE (§2) – School Facilities Partnership state financial participation p.4</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost – DESE (§2) p.4</u>			
Personal Service	(\$477,700)	(\$584,705)	(\$596,399)
Fringe Benefits	(\$301,038)	(\$365,856)	(\$370,560)
Expense & Equipment	(\$93,564)	(\$52,063)	(\$53,105)
<u>Total Costs – DESE</u>	<u>(\$872,302)</u>	<u>(\$1,002,624)</u>	<u>(\$1,020,064)</u>
FTE Change – DESE	8 FTE	8 FTE	8 FTE
<u>Cost – DESE (173.831) Workforce Diploma Program p.11</u>	\$0	\$0	(Could exceed \$2,000,000)
<u>Cost – DESE (§178.530) Contractor/ Professional development p.9</u>	\$0 to (\$119,000)	\$0 to (\$119,000)	\$0 to (\$119,000)
<u>Cost – DESE (§178.530) Commodity association meeting p.9</u>	\$0 to (\$7,700)	\$0	\$0
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	(Could exceed <u>\$999,002</u>)	(Could exceed <u>\$1,121,624</u>)	(Could exceed <u>\$3,139,064</u>)
Estimated Net FTE Change on General Revenue	8 FTE	8 FTE	8 FTE

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
MISSOURI EMPOWERMENT SCHOLARSHIP ACCOUNTS FUND (1278)			
<u>Cost – DESE (\$166.700) Expansion of the definition of qualified student p.5-6</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost – DESE (\$166.710) p.5-6</u>	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed
Personal Service	(\$58,333)	(\$71,400)	(\$72,828)
Fringe Benefits	(\$37,075)	(\$45,053)	(\$45,627)
Expense & Equipment	(\$16,887)	(\$9,456)	(\$9,625)
<u>Total Costs – DESE</u>	(\$112,295)	(\$125,909)	(\$128,080)
FTE Change – DESE	Could exceed 1 FTE	Could exceed 1 FTE	Could exceed 1 FTE
<u>Cost – DESE (\$135.713) p.5-6</u>			
Personal Service	(\$221,300)	(\$270,871)	(\$276,289)
Fringe Benefits	(\$143,460)	(\$174,288)	(\$176,467)
Expense & Equipment	(\$93,564)	(\$52,064)	(\$53,105)
<u>Total Costs – DESE</u>	(\$458,324)	(\$497,223)	(\$505,861)
FTE Change – DESE	4 FTE	4 FTE	4 FTE
<u>Cost Avoidance – STO (\$166.710) p.5-6</u>			
Personal Service	\$298,225	\$311,292	\$312,720
Fringe Benefits	\$192,793	\$200,771	\$201,345
Expense & Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Total Costs – STO</u>	\$491,018	\$512,063	\$514,065
FTE Change – STO	(5) FTE	(5) FTE	(5) FTE
NET EFFECT ON MISSOURI EMPOWERMENT SCHOLARSHIP ACCOUNTS FUND (1278)	Could exceed (\$79,601)	Could exceed (\$111,069)	Could exceed (\$119,876)
Estimated Net FTE Change on Missouri Empowerment Scholarship Accounts Fund (1278)	Could exceed 0 FTE	Could exceed 0 FTE	Could exceed 0 FTE

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
MISSOURI SCHOOL FACILITIES PARTNERSHIP FUND			
<u>Transfer in</u> – DESE (§2) – from General Revenue p.4	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Cost</u> – DESE (§2) – awarding of state financial participation for eligible projects p.4	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
NET EFFECT ON MISSOURI SCHOOL FACILITIES PARTNERSHIP FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Revenue Gain</u> – School Districts (§2) – School Facilities Project receiving state financial participation awards p.4	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Revenue Gain</u> – School Districts (§162.720) From base state funding distributed to new programs p.7	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Revenue Loss</u> – School Districts (§162.720) From base state funding shifted to new programs p.7	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> – School District (§162.720) to establish gifted programs if 3% of students are identified as gifted p.7	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> – School Districts (§162.720) Provide universal screenings p.7	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> – School Districts (§162.720) Additional staff for reviews p.7	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
<u>Cost – School Districts (\$178.530) Hire additional staff p.9</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Cost – School Districts (\$178.530) Implement agriculture program p.9</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown to (Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact on small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act creates, repeals, and modifies provisions relating to education.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
 Missouri Department of Agriculture
 Joplin School District
 Office of the State Treasurer
 Department of Economic Development
 Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
 Department of Public Safety-Missouri Veterans Commission
 Department of Social Services
 Office of Administration
 Department of Revenue
 Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)
 Department of Mental Health
 Lincoln R-II School District
 Missouri Senate
 Northwest Missouri State University
 University of Central Missouri
 Office of the Governor

L.R. No. 5237S.06A

Bill No. SS for HCS for HB Nos. 2097 & 1905 with SA1, SA2, SA4 and SA5

Page **16** of **16**

May 15, 2026

Missouri State University
High Point R-III School District
Joplin School District
Maries Co. R-II School District
Washington School District
Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development
Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission
City of Kansas City
Missouri House of Representatives
State Tax Commission
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of the Secretary of State



Julie Morff
Director
May 15, 2026



Jessica Harris
Assistant Director
May 15, 2026