



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2311		DATE: 2/17/2026	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety			
TESTIFYING: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ABBY GERDEMANN		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: Written		SUBMIT DATE: 2/16/2026 9:57 PM
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo. Just make sure this applies to law environment and Rep Chad Perkins, then it's perfect.			



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2311		DATE: 2/17/2026	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety			
TESTIFYING: <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: ARNIE "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: In-Person		SUBMIT DATE: 2/17/2026 11:59 PM

THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.

I am Opposed to this Legislation. By not Disclosing to the Public and being fully Transparent is a mere cover-up for bad-actors. These Lists need to be accurate and truthful to protect Children, Neighborhoods and Communities. Do NOT change the current State Laws and Reporting Disclosure Requirements.



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM

BILL NUMBER: HB 2311		DATE: 2/17/2026	
COMMITTEE: Crime and Public Safety			
TESTIFYING: <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
WITNESS NAME			
INDIVIDUAL:			
WITNESS NAME: SARAH BERRY		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: Written	SUBMIT DATE: 2/17/2026 7:39 PM	
THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.			

Legislative Notice:

The General Assembly is hereby placed on notice that HB 2311, as drafted, creates foreseeable constitutional exposure under the Due Process Clause (procedural barriers and fee-based access to statutory relief), the right to travel (conditioning interstate work/school on compliance with out-of-state registration mechanisms Missouri cannot control), and the

First Amendment (online identifier reporting obligations that may chill lawful speech).

Enactment without clarifying amendments will predictably generate litigation challenging vagueness, unequal access to relief based on indigency, and the punitive effects of expanded registry disabilities when applied beyond prospective cases.

Footnotes

U.S. Const. amend. XIV (Due Process / Equal Protection).

Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976) (procedural due process balancing).

Saenz v. Roe, 526 U.S. 489 (1999) (right to travel).

Kolender v. Lawson, 461 U.S. 352 (1983) (void for vagueness / arbitrary enforcement).

Packingham v. North Carolina, 582 U.S. 98 (2017) (internet access and First Amendment constraints in sex-offense context).

U.S. Const. art. I, §10 (Ex Post Facto); Smith v. Doe, 538 U.S. 84 (2003) (registry “civil” framework; effects matter in analysis); Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez, 372 U.S. 144 (1963) (effects factors).