



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2508</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2026</b>
COMMITTEE: <b>Economic Development</b>		
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES		
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>		
<b>BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION:</b>		
WITNESS NAME: <b>ANTHONY LIOLIOS</b>		PHONE NUMBER: <b>503-446-7130</b>
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME: <b>LOCKTON COMPANIES</b>		TITLE: <b>ASSISTANT VP</b>
ADDRESS: <b>444 W 47TH STREET SUITE 900</b>		
CITY: <b>KANSAS CITY</b>		STATE: <b>MO</b>
		ZIP: <b>64112</b>
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2026 12:00 AM</b>
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>		



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2508</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2026</b>
COMMITTEE: <b>Economic Development</b>		
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES		
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>		
<b>REGISTERED LOBBYIST:</b>		
WITNESS NAME: <b>JARED HANKINSON</b>		PHONE NUMBER: <b>573-634-3511</b>
REPRESENTING: <b>MO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</b>		TITLE:
ADDRESS: <b>PO BOX 149</b>		
CITY: <b>JEFFERSON CITY</b>		STATE: <b>MO</b>
		ZIP: <b>65102</b>
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2026 12:00 AM</b>
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>		



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2508</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2026</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Economic Development</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>REGISTERED LOBBYIST:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>SUSAN HENDERSON MOORE</b>		PHONE NUMBER: <b>573-268-6924</b>	
REPRESENTING: <b>POLSINELLI</b>		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: <b>630 BOLIVAR ST.</b>			
CITY: <b>JEFFERSON CITY</b>		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>65101</b>
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2026 12:00 AM</b>	
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>			



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2508</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2026</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Economic Development</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>ARNIE C. DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE</b>		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: <b>In-Person</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2026 1:21 AM</b>	
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>			

**I am Opposed to this Bill. This Bill is hiding Segments and Assets of L.L.C.'s to the General Public. This is the wrong course of action.**



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 2508</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2026</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Economic Development</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>SARAH BERRY</b>		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: <b>Written</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/1/2026 7:48 PM</b>	

**THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.**

**HB 2508 represents a legislative overreach that materially alters the balance between private entity formation and judicial enforcement of creditor rights.**

**By statutorily conferring separate-entity status and liability insulation on internally created “series” without imposing commensurate standards of capitalization, disclosure, or operational independence, the bill invites structures designed to defeat equitable recovery while constraining courts after the fact.**

**This is not merely an expansion of business flexibility; it is a preemptive narrowing of judicial remedies.**

**HB 2508 effectively instructs courts to honor formalistic internal partitions even where economic reality reflects centralized control, integrated operations, and shared risk.**

**That encroaches on the judiciary’s traditional role in substance-over-form analysis, veil-piercing, and equitable relief—functions grounded in Missouri common law and due process principles.**

**The bill’s preservation of fraudulent conveyance remedies does not cure this defect.**

**Post-injury litigation is not a substitute for constitutionally sound statutory design.**

**By shifting the burden of uncovering abuse to injured parties and courts, HB 2508 externalizes enforcement costs while insulating sophisticated actors ex ante.**

**That is a policy choice favoring entity engineering over accountability.**

**Legislation that meaningfully restricts recoverability while expanding liability shields must include proportional safeguards.**

**HB 2508 does not.**

**Instead, it codifies a regime that predictably increases litigation, burdens judicial resources, and weakens creditor protections without a compelling public necessity.**

**So, Vote NO.**

**Footnotes:**

**Anderson v. Abbott, 321 U.S. 349, 362–63 (1944).**

**(Entity separateness may be disregarded where organizational form is used to defeat public convenience, justify wrong, or evade legal obligations.)**

**United States v. Bestfoods, 524 U.S. 51, 62–63 (1998).**

**(Liability analysis turns on control and operational reality, not formal internal partitions or nominal separateness.)**

**Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co., 455 U.S. 422, 428–33 (1982).**

**(Due process protects meaningful access to adjudicatory remedies; statutory schemes that materially burden enforcement of rights are constitutionally suspect.)**