



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 3354</b>		DATE: <b>3/5/2026</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Special Committee on Property Tax Reform</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>ARNIE C. "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCAT</b>		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: <b>In-Person</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>3/5/2026 11:56 PM</b>	

**THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.**

**I am Opposed to decreasing the Percentage of the "State Blind Pension Fund."**



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 3354</b>		DATE: <b>3/5/2026</b>
COMMITTEE: <b>Special Committee on Property Tax Reform</b>		
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES		
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>		
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>		
WITNESS NAME: <b>SARAH BERRY</b>		PHONE NUMBER:
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:
ADDRESS:		
CITY:		STATE:      ZIP:
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE: <b>Written</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>3/5/2026 7:21 AM</b>
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>		

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Committee on Legislative Research, HB 3354 would reduce revenue to Missouri's Blind Pension Fund by approximately \$34.7 million annually.

At the same time, the proposal sets the levy at \$0.00275 per \$100 of assessed valuation, which falls below the minimum rate required by Article III, Section 38(b) of the Missouri Constitution, requiring a levy of no less than \$0.005.

In practical terms, this bill appears to both slash funding for pensions serving blind Missourians and set a tax rate that may be constitutionally invalid.

Even the Department of Social Services notes in the fiscal analysis that the proposal "could not be enacted."

For these reasons, HB 3354 should not advance.